



RESEARCH ARTICLE

The Inhibitory Effect of *Lactobacillus Spp*, on Pathogenic Bacteria in AL-Qadisiyah Province, Iraq.

Hind Hamzah Abdulhussein *

Department of Biology, College of Science, University of AL - Qadisiyah , Iraq.

Received: 20 Mar 2018

Revised: 17 Apr 2018

Accepted: 22 May 2018

* Address for correspondence

Hind Hamzah Abulhussein

Department of Biology ,
College of Science,
University of AL - Qadisiyah, Iraq.
Email: hind.hamzah@qu.edu.iq



This is an Open Access Journal / article distributed under the terms of the **Creative Commons Attribution License** (CC BY-NC-ND 3.0) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. All rights reserved .

ABSTRACT

Lactic acid bacteria (LAB) are noteworthy to human wellbeing because of the generation of some antimicrobial substances and capacity to restrain pathogenic microscopic organisms. Moreover, the microscopic organisms are likewise utilized as a part of the creation of different nourishment items. The point of this examination was disengagement and portrayal of *Lactobacillus* species from dairy items and concentrates the antimicrobial impact on numerous pathogenic microbes. Thirty (30) strains of lactic acid microscopic organisms (LAB) secluded from fifty (50) test of Milk, Yogurt, Cheese, Bovine drain and raw drain which arbitrarily gathered from business sectors in AL- Qadisiyah, Iraq between October 2017 to January 2018. The *Lactobacillus* spp disconnected from tests utilizing MRS soup and agar refined media. Strains disengaged were described by infinitesimally and biochemical properties. Other portrayal like deliver antimicrobial substances dynamic against chose pathogens disconnects (clinical separate). Discoveries from this investigation bolster the likelihood to investigate the tried lactobacilli and their CFSs as characteristic bio -additives, alone or in mix with affirmed bacteriocins in sustenance and pharma plans in the wake of approving their wellbeing .

Keywords: *Lactobacillus* spp, Milk, yoghurt, cheese, Pathogenic Bacteria.

INTRODUCTION

Notwithstanding the extensive innovative and business significance of their part in the assembling and safe guarding of numerous matured nourishment items, lactic corrosive microbes (LAB) including *Lactobacillus spp* likewise assume a vital part in the assurance of the intestinal and urogenital tracts (1,2). The sort *Lactobacillus* comprises of a





hereditarily and physiologically differing gatherings of Gram-positive, bar molded, catalase negative and non -spore shaping microscopic organisms (3). A large number of *Lactobacillus* spp. are utilized as a part of starter societies for nourishment and sustain maturations, and a few species are as often as possible experienced in the human gastrointestinal tract [4]. *Lactobacilli* are throughout the board in nature ,sever a kinds have discovered packages in the sustenance business. *Lactobacilli* are located wherein wealthy, carbohydrates containing substrate are available, and along those traces, in an collection of residing spaces, as an instance, mucosal movies of hum an beings and creatures, (mainly in oral cavity, digestive device, and vagina) and on plant cloth and maturing nourishment, for instance, cheddar (5,6) *lactobacilli* are entirely fermentative, air tolerant to anaerobic, acid uric or acidophilic and they have complicated nutritional requirements (7) *lactobacilli* can deliver diverse antimicrobial parts together with natural acids (lactic, acidic, propionic acids), hydrogen peroxide, carbon dioxide, low-atomic weight antimicrobial materials, bacteriocins and grip inhibitors and consequently have picked up important satisfactory as probiotics (eight) and (nine). One approach that brought on the decrease and, in diverse condition, quit of gut pathogenic microorganisms in humans, creatures contains the ingestion of probiotics inside the journal abstain from food (10, eleven). Probiotics are stay microorganisms that, when administered in first -class sums, provide useful influences on the host through modifying indigenous microbiota and stopping illnesses (12). Lactic corrosive microbes (lab) with probiotic homes, for example, bifid bacterium spp. What is greater, *lactobacillus* spp. Have been utilized to preserve a few intestinal pathogenic contaminations and to invigorate the host's invulnerable framework in the two people and creatures (13, 14, 15). It's miles all round archived that *lactobacillus* spp. With probiotic properties hold the development and poison generation of microorganisms, for instance, *campylobacter jejuni*, *listeria monocytogenes*, *helicobacter pylori*, *salmonella*, *shigella* and *Escherichia coli* (sixteen, 17, 18, 19).

The foe movement of probiotics on pathogenic micro organism might be associated with the opposition for dietary supplements and locales of grip within the mucosa of the small digestive system and the generation of carbon dioxide, hydrogen peroxide and di -acetyl (11). Except, the inhibitory impact at the improvement of a few enter pathogenic microorganisms is possibly connected with the antimicrobial mixes produced with theaid of lactic corrosive microbes, for instance, bacteriocin and lactic, acetic and other short -chain natural acids, which can be chargeable for a decrease within the intestinal ph (18, 20). Lactic corrosive speaks to the essential antimicrobial compound present in cultures of lactic corrosive microscopic organisms (21, 22, 23, 24, 25). Feeble acids have better antimicrobial pastime than solid acids, which ionize definitely in a watery arrangement (26). The non separated types of natural acids can work as protonophores, inducing the the cell's inward pH influences the deluge of protons through the cell film, which dissipates the proton -thought process constrain, decreasing cell vitality (ATP) and influencing substrate take -up in the cell (26, 27). A few in vitro and in vivo thi nks about exhibited the antagonism of various strains of *Lactobacillus*, including *L. delbrueckii* var *delbrueckii*, *L. plantarum*, *L. acidophilus*, *L. reuteri* and *L. casei*, against an assortment of pathogens (16, 17, 18,19). Disregarding numerous point by point examines concerning the hostile impacts of these microscopic organisms on pathogens, there is as yet a requirement for new bacterial strains with antimicrobial properties for clinical and business benefits (28). The main objective of this work was to evalua te the performance of *Lactobacillus* isolated Milk, yoghurt, cheese, bovine milk and Raw milk with respect to their inhibitory effect on the growth of *Escherichia coli* , *Salmonella* spp *Shigella* spp, *Proteus* spp, *Pseudomonas auerogenosa* , *Enterobacter* spp, *Staphylococcus aureus*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample Collection

An aggregate of thirty (50) distinctive secluded (Milk, Yogurt, Cheese, Bovine drain and Raw drain) tests were gathered from nearby markets in the AL -Qadisiyah territory, Iraq between October 2017 to January 2018. The examples were put away aseptically at 4°C to anticipate pollution instantly after gathering.



**Hind HamzahAbdulhussein**

Samples preparation and bacterial cultivation

Ten grams (10 g) of each example were independently weakened in 90 ml of clean ordinary saline. Tests were enhanced in MRS juices (PH6.2) for 24 h at 37°C under anaerobic conditions. Tests were then taken and streaked on to the MRS agar (PH6.2) plates and were hatched in an anaerobic jug at 37°C for 72 h. Suspected states were decontaminated and streaked on MRS agar for encourage distinguishing proof (29).

Preliminary identification of the isolates

Recognizable proof of the *Lactobacillus* spp. was performed by their morphological, social, physiological and biochemical attributes. Perceptible appearance of the considerable number of states was analyzed for social and morphological qualities. Estimate, shape, shading, and surface of the provinces were recorded. Disengages were portrayed in light of Gram's stain response, cell morphology, the nearness of case or endospore, motility, catalase response, oxidase response and by development at 15°C and 45°C as depicted by Benson (30). Trial of, nitrate diminishment, sulfide, and indole creation, and CO₂ from glucose and H₂S generation were performed by (31).

Antimicrobial activity assay

Antimicrobial action of the chose probiotic separates was checked by utilizing the agar-spot test. *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella* spp, *Shigella* spp, *Proteus* spp, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Enterobacter* spp, *Staphylococcus aureus* (clinical seclude) as the marker microorganisms. Quickly, the segregates were refined in MRS soup overnight at 37 °C and cell free supernatants (CFS) were set up by centrifuging the way of life juices at 8000×g for 15 min. The supernatants were changed in accordance with pH 6.5 and separated through 0.22 µm film filtration, and after that 50 µl of each filtrate was added to 7 mm distance across wells, made in the Mueller -Hinton agar plates (Sigma -Aldrich, USA), which before were brooded overnight by pointer pathogens at 37 °C (14). After overnight brooding, the antimicrobial movement was tested in view of the measurement of the unmistakable zones around of the each well (hindrance zone) (32).

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was performed using SAS (Statistical Analysis System - version 9.1) (33).

RESULTS

Identification of *Lactobacillus* strains

The confines were contemplated for their morphological portrayal. The settlements seemed little and extensive in their shape. The shade of settlements ran from grayish, sparkling white to smooth white. In view of Gram recoloring and different biochemical tests, 30 confines had been chosen (Table -1) and (Figures -1 and 2).

Testing for antibacterial activity

The opposing impact of the secluded LAB species on some normal pathogenic microorganisms was assessed utilizing the agar-spot strategy (34). Results in the table (1) demonstrated that all LAB species display the opposing impact on both Gram -positive and Gram -p) negative microscopic organisms.





Hind HamzahAbdulhussein

DISCUSSION

Probiotic microorganisms are as of late utilized as a part of various wellbeing related regions, for example, the control of aggravation and contaminations, administration of unfavorably susceptible sicknesses, anti-microbial related looseness of the bowels gastroenteritis, clogging, lactose narrow mindedness a preventive part in the beginning of tumors (35). Lactobacilli are broadly spread in nature and the most generally utilized as probiotics in the nourishment business (36, 37). Customary matured dairy nourishments, for example, different cheeses or yogurts are great stores for finding new probiotics specifically the variety of Probiotic microorganisms are as of late utilized as a part of Lactobacilli (36). Lactic corrosive microbes are notable maker of antimicrobial mixes particularly bacteriocins which have high antimicrobial movement (38). *Lactobacillus* spp. is known for their creation of different antimicrobial mixes. The creation of these mixes by intestinal micro flora is a standout amongst the most critical systems in charge of the adversarial wonder and in this way it is fundamental to look at this property in confines that possibility for probiotic (39). The great probiotics should introduce their antimicrobial activities especially to the pathogens in the GI framework (40). In this examination, *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella spp*, *Shigella spp*, *Proteus spp*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Enterobacter spp*, *Staphylococcus aureus*. were utilized as the test microbes since they are incidentally found as sustenance borne microorganisms that may cause gastroenteritis and another ailment. The possibly probiotic *Lactobacillus* segregates were subjected to antibacterial action examine. The outcomes are appeared in table that the most antibacterial intensity to *Enterobacter* spp and *E. coli* while, the antibacterial intensity against *Salmonella* spp, *Shigella* spp, *Proteus* spp, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* were weaker.

The creation of natural corrosive and hydrogen peroxide by *Lactobacilli* was accounted for to hinder both gram positive and gram negative microscopic organisms, though bacteriocin influences just the development of gram positive microbes (40). Our outcome concurs with (41) which demonstrated the most antibacterial strength to *S. aureus* and *E. coli*. The (42) demonstrated that all LAB species display the hostile impact on both Gram -positive and Gram-negative microorganisms which are like our finding. Our examination is likewise concurrence with (21) which found that most *Lactobacillus* strains create substances that repress pathogenic, non-pathogenic and decay creatures in maturing nourishments and drinks. As a rule, the antimicrobial movement of lactobacilli might be because of lactic corrosive, acidic corrosive, formic corrosive, phenyllactic corrosive, caproic corrosive, natural acids, ethanol natural acids, hydrogen peroxide, bacteriocins or other inhibitory metabolites. Lactic corrosive and acidic corrosive are especially vital mixes, repressing a wide scope of microorganisms (43). Our discoveries are as per (44), who likewise evaluated the inhibitory capability of human drain lactobacilli against various pathogens. Dairy items alongside meat and eggs are the most well-known reasons for *Salmonella* spp. intervened nourishment borne diseases (45). Curiously, CFSs of a large portion of our detaches showed opposing action against *Salmonella Typhi* (46) and (47) additionally announced solid to -frail inhibitory action of *Lactobacillus* supernatants against *Salmonella* spp. Furthermore, we have additionally watched the adversarial action of *Lactobacillus* CFSs against *P. aeruginosa*, one of the disturbing crafty pathogen in hospitalized, immuno-bargained, and cystic fibrosis patients. *P. aeruginosa* - intervened contaminations are regularly hazardous and muddled to treat, because of constrained powerlessness to usually honed antimicrobial medications (48). Our outcomes are as per the discoveries of (49), who additionally showed opposing action of lactobacilli CFS against *P. aeruginosa*.

CONCLUSION

Our discoveries bolster the theory that these LAB disconnects may have application as common antimicrobial operators' sustenance framework, and the metabolites delivered by these strains could be investigated as elective pharmaceutical mixes with promising restorative records, after recognizable proof of their dynamic part, testing their cytotoxic impacts and approving security under in vitro and in vivo models.





Hind HamzahAbdulhussein

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors sincerely wish to acknowledge the members of the Laboratory of the College of Science, University of AL - Qadisiyah for supporting.

REFERENCES

1. Wood BJB. The lactic acid bacteria in health and disease. The lactic acid bacteria. Appl Sci. 1992; 1.
2. Gharaei-Fathabad E, Eslamifar M. Isolation, and applications of one strain of lactobacillus paraplantarum from tea leave (*Camellia sinensis*). Am J Food Technol. 2011; 6: 429-434.
3. MacFaddin JF. Biochemical tests for identification of medical bacteria. 3rd edn. Lippincott Williams and Wilkins : USA. 2000.
4. Vaughan EE, de Vries MC, Zoetendal EG, Ben -Amor K, Akkermans A D, de Vos WM. The intestinal LABs. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek. 2002; 82: 341-352.
5. Hammes WP, Weiss N, and Holzapfel WH. The genera Lactobacillus and CarnobacteriumIn the prokaryotes. In: Handbook on the Biology of Bacteria: Ecophysio -logy, Isolation, Identifi cation, Applications. Balows A, Truper HG, Dworkin M, et al, eds. 2nd ed. New York, Springer 1991; 1535 -1594.
6. Pot B, Ludwig W, Kersters K, et al. Taxonomy of lactic acid bacteria: Bacteriocins of lactic acid bacteri a: Genetics and Applications. De Vuyst L. and Vandamme EJ. Eds. Chapman and Hall, Glasgow, UK: 1994; 13 -89.
7. Desai A. Strain identification, viability and probiotics properties of Lactobacillus casei. Thesis, school of Biomedical and health sciences. Victoria University, Werribee campus Victoria, Australia 2008: 48 -53.
8. Stiles, M.E. and W.H. Holzapfel. Lactic acid bacteria of foods and their current taxonomy. International Journal of Food Microbiology, 1997. 36: 1-29.
9. Quwehand, A .C. and S. Vesterlund (2004): Antimicrobial components from lactic ac id bacteria. Lactic Acid Bacteria Microbiological and Functional Aspects, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, USA.
10. Gopal P.K., Prasad J., Smart J. & Gill H.S. 2001. In vitro adherence proper ties of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* DR20 and *Bifidobacteriumlactis* DR10 strains and their antagonistic activity against enterotoxigenic *Escherichiacoli*. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 67:207-216.
11. Guarner F. & Schaafsma G.J. Probiotics. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 1998. 39: 237 -238.
12. FAO/WHO . 2001. Joint FAO/WHO Expert consultation on evalua tion of health and nutritional properties of probiotics in food including powder milk with live lactic acid bacteria .
13. Perdigón G., Vintiñi E., Alvarez S., Medina M. & Medici M. Study of the possible mechanisms involved in the mucosal immune system acti vation by lactic acid bacteria. J. Dairy Sci. 1999. 82:1108 -1114.
14. Fang H ., Elina T., Heikki A. & Seppo S. Modulation of humoral immu ne response through probiotic intake. FEMS Immunol. Med. Microbiol.2000. 29:47 -52.
15. Nakazato G., Paganelli F.L., Lago J.C., Aoki F.H., Mobilon C., Brocchi M., Ste hling E.G. & Silveira W.D. *Lactobacillus acidophilus* decreases *Salmonella* Typhimurium invasion *in vivo*. J. Food Saf. 2011. 31:284 -289.
16. Jin L.Z., Ho Y.W., Ali M.A., Abdullah N. & Jalaludin S. Effect of adherent Lactobacillu s spp. on in vitro adherence of salmonellae to the intestinal epithelial cells of chicken. J. Appl. Bacteriol. 1996. 81:201 -206.
17. Kalantzopoulos G. Fermented products with probiotic qualities. An aerobe. 1997. 3:15-19.
18. Servin A.L . & Coconier M.H. Adhesion of probiotic strains to the intestinal mucosa and interaction with pathogens. Best Pract. Res. 2003. 17:741-754.
19. Poppi L.B., Mancilha I.M., Ferreira A.J.P. & Leal D.D.M. Evaluation of the antagonistic effect of Lactobacill us on *Listeria monocytogenes* in vitr o. Braz. J. Food Technol. 2008. 11:113 -119.
20. Cheng H.Y., Yu R.C. & Chou C.C. Increased acid tolerance of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 as affected by acid adaptation time and conditions of acid challenge. Food Res. Int. 2003. 36:49 -56.





Hind HamzahAbdulhussein

21. Earnshaw R.G. 1992. The antimicrobial action of lactic acid bacteria: natural food preservation systems, p.211 - 232. In: Wood B.J.B. (Ed.), The Lactic Acid Bacteria: the lactic acid bacteria in health and disease . Chapman and Hall, London, UK.
22. Navarro L., Zarazaga M., Sáenz J., Ruiz-Larrea F. & Torres C. Bacteriocin production of by lactic acid bacteria isolated from Rioja red wines. J. Appl. Microbiol. 2000 88:44 -51.
23. Todorov S.D. & Dicks L.M.T. 2005. *Lactobacillus plantarum* isolated from molasses produces bacteriocins active against Gram-negative bacteria. Enzyme Microb. Technol. 36:318 -326.
24. Rossland E., Langsrud T., Granum P.E. & Sorhaug T. Production of antimicrobial metabolites by strains of *Lactobacillus* or *Lactococcus* co-cultured with *Bacillus cereus* in milk. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 2005. 98:193-200.
25. Moraes P.M., Perin L.M., Silva Jr A. & Nero L.A.. Comparison of phenotypic and molecular tests to identify lactic acid bacteria. Braz. J. Microbiol. 2013. 44:109-112.
26. Axe D.D. & Bailey J.E. Transport of lactate and acetate through the cytoplasmic membrane of *Escherichia coli*. Biotechnol. Bioeng. 1995. 47:8-19.
27. Diez-Gonzalez F. & Russell J.B. The ability of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 to decrease its intracellular pH and resist the toxicity of acetic acid. Microbiol. 1997. 143:1175 -1180
28. Chaucheyras-Durand F. & Durand H. Probiotics in animal nutrition and health. Beneficial Microbes. 2010. 1:3-9.
29. Hadeel H. Alrubaye*, Saad S. Fakhry, Zahraa A. Jebur, and Farqed Farhan. Biochemical and Molecular Characterization of *Lactobacillus* spp. Isolated from Dairy Products. JSM Microbiology .2018. 6(1): 1048.
30. Benson HJ. Microbiological Application, Laboratory Manual in General Microbiology. WMC Brown Publishers: Dobuque, USA. 1994.
31. Merck KA. Microbiology Manual Darmstadt. Germany. Microbiology. WMC. Brown Publishers: Dobuque, USA. 1997.
32. Zeinab F, Bahram PG, Amir S, Maryam S and Ahmad Y. The Investigation of the Diversity of *Lactobacilli* Spp. and Assessment Their Some Probiotic Properties in Traditional Dairy Products in East Azerbaijan Province in Iran. Iranian Journal of Pharmaceutical Research 2017, 16 (4): 1538 -1545.
33. SAS. SAS/STAT Users Guide for Personal Computer. Release 9.13. SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, N.C., USA. 2010.
34. Marianelli C, Cifani N, Pasquali P. Evaluation of antimicrobial activity of probiotic bacteria against *Salmonella enterica* subsp. Enteric serovar typhimurium 1344 in a common medium under different environmental conditions. Res Microbiol. 2010; 161:673 -680.
35. Uccello M, Malaguarnera G, Basile F, Di Agata V, Malaguarnera M, Bertino G, Vacante M, Drago F and Biondi A. Potential role of probiotics on colorectal cancer prevention. BMC Surg. 2012. 12: S35.
36. Hassanzadazar H, Ehsani A, Mardani K and Hesari J. Investigation of antibacterial, acid and bile tolerance properties of *Lactobacilli* isolated from Koozeh cheese. Vet. Res. Forum 2012. 3: 181-5.
37. Coeuret V, Gueguen M and Vernoux JP. *In-vitro* screening of potential probiotic activities of selected *Lactobacilli* isolated from unpasteurized milk products for incorporation into soft cheese. J. Dairy Res. 2004. 71: 451-60.
38. Aween MM, Hassan Z, Muhiaddin BJ, Noor H. and El-jamel YA. Evaluation on Antibacterial Activity of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* strains isolated from Honey. American Journal of Applied Sciences .2012. 9(6): 807-817.
39. Bilkova A, Sepova, HK, Bukovsky M and Bezakova L. Antibacterial potential of *Lactobacilli* isolated from a lamb. Veterinarni Medicina. 2011 7(56): 319 –324
40. Klayraung S, Viernstein H, Sirithunyalug, J and Okonogi S. Probiotic properties of *Lactobacilli* isolated from Thai traditional food. Scientia Pharmaceutica 2008. 76(3): 485-503
41. Vasiee A R., Tabatabaei Y F, Mortazavi A and Edalatian MR. Isolation, identification and characterization of probiotic *Lactobacilli* spp. from Tarkhineh. International Food Research Journal 2016: 2487 -2492.
42. Hadeel H. Alrubaye, Saad S. Fakhry, Zahraa A. Jebur, and Farqed Farhan. Biochemical and Molecular Characterization of *Lactobacillus* spp. Isolated from Dairy Products Food Contamination Research Center, Ministry of Science and Technology, Iraq 18 April 2018
43. Helander IM, Von Wright A, Mattila-Sandholm MT. Potential of lactic acid bacteria and novel antimicrobials against Gram-negative bacteria. Trends in Food Sci. Technol. 1997; 8: 146 -150





Hind HamzahAbdulhussein

44. Diba FS, Hossain KM, Azim MA, Hoque M (2013) Isolation characterization and determination of antimicrobial properties of lactic acid bacteria from human milk. *Jordan J BiolSci* 116 -6:111
45. Arques JL, Rodriguez E, Langa S, Landete JM, Medina M. Antimicrobial activity of lactic acid bacteria in dairy products and gut: effect on pathogens. *BioMed Res Int*. 2015
46. Abdel-Daim A, Hassouna N, Hafez M, Ashor MS, Aboulwafa MM. Antagonistic activity of *Lactobacillus* isolates against *Salmonella typhi* in vitro. *BioMed Res Int*. 2013 .
47. Bahri F, Lejeune A, Dubois-Dauphin R, Elmejdoub T, Boulahrouf A, Thonart P (2014) Characterization of *Lactobacillus* strains isolated from Algerian children faeces for their probiotic .
48. Jamalifar H, Rahimi HR, Samadi N, Shaverdi AR, Sharifian Z, Hosseini F, Eslahi H, Fazeli MR. Antimicrobial activity of different *Lactobacillus* species against multi-drug resistant clinical isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Iran J Microbiol –properties. Afr J Microbiol Res*. 2011. 3:21 8:297 –30325.
49. Aminnezhad S, Kermanshahi RK, Ranjbar R. Evaluation of synergistic interactions between cell-free supernatant of *Lactobacillus* strains and amikacin and gentamicin against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Jundishapur J Microbiol*. 2015;8:e16592.

Table 1: The inhibition effect of the LAB on the pathogenic isolated bacteria

No	Pathogenic Bacteria Isolate	Diameter of Inhibition Zone
1	<i>Enterobacter</i> spp	22 mm
2	<i>E. coli</i>	20 mm
3	<i>Shigella</i> spp	18 mm
4	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	15 mm
5	<i>Salmonella</i> spp	15 mm
6	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	11 mm
7	<i>Proteus</i> spp	10 mm
8	*Control 1	--
9	**Control 2	--

*Control 1 (MRS broth)

**Control 2 (Pathogenic Bacteria in MRS broth without *Lactobacillus*)





Figure 1: - Gram-positive *Lactobacillus* spp



Figure 2: - Rod shape *Lactobacillus* spp

