

## Study of *Cryptosporidium parvum* infection in calves in Thi-Qar province using mZN stain and ELISA methods

F.S. Khlaif      N. N. A'aiz  
Coll. of Vet. Med./Univ. of Al-Qadissyha.  
E.mail : noamannaji@ymail.com

### Abstract

*Cryptosporidium parvum* is a zoonotic protozoan parasite with a wide range of vertebrate hosts. The present study was conducted to determine the incidence of *Cryptosporidium parvum* in diarrhetic and non diarrhetic calves in Thi -Qar province in south of Iraq. Two hundred fecal samples were collected from suspected calves between November 2011 and February 2012 and examined by mZN stain and 94 sample were selected and examined by direct ELISA. The studied calves were divided according to sex (male and female), age (<1 months, 1- 6 months, 6-12 months) and clinical signs (diarrhetic non diarrhetic). 32 (16%) out of 200 and 26 (27.65%) out of 94 suspected samples were given positive result by using mZN stain and ELISA respectively. According to mZN and ELISA the infection was more prevalent (27.5% and 38.9%) and (18.6% and 31.9%) with significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) in age group < 1 month and diarrhetic calves respectively, in addition to that it was highly (13.63% and 26.3%) in female but with no significant differences ( $P > 0.05$ ). This study showed that *Cryptosporidium parvum* can be a risk factor, particularly for those with diarrhea syndrome and under one month age.

### Introduction

Cryptosporidiosis is caused by protozoan parasites of the genus *Cryptosporidium*, in which there are 18 'valid' species. In livestock, *C. parvum*, *C. andersoni*, *C. baileyi*, *C. meleagridis* and *C. galli* have been reported to cause morbidity and outbreaks of disease (11). *Cryptosporidium parvum* causes scour in young, un weaned mammalian livestock, however, weaned and adult animals can also become infected. Signs range from a mild in apparent infection to severe scouring, and the young, old or immunocompromised are most susceptible (14). *Cryptosporidium parvum* is an apicomplexan parasite that causes the diarrhetic disease cryptosporidiosis in humans and economically important food animals throughout the world (12). It has become a major economic concern for livestock producers and public health officials (5). Cryptosporidiosis in ruminants is said to be on the increase (6) and it is now considered a major disease and one of the main causes of morbidity and mortality in newborn livestock (7,25). The disease in calves, children, lambs and

goat kids is characterized by diarrhea, anorexia, abdominal pain, apathy and depression. It has been suggested that destruction of the intestinal epithelia by the parasite may also increase susceptibility to other enteric pathogens (7,19). The disease is transmitted by the thick walled oocyst form which is remarkably resistant to common disinfectants and routine chlorination of drinking water. Person to person transmission specially among children is common, *Cryptosporidium* oocysts are prevalent in surface waters, extremely resistant to commonly used disinfectants, and generally survive for several months in aquatic environments (24). *Cryptosporidium* has little or no host specificity and animals such as rodents, cattle, and domestic pets serve as a reserve for zoonotic transmission to human, Such transmission occur either by direct contact or by contamination of water supplies with fecal matter (7) There was no previous study conducted to demonstrate the *Cryptosporidium parvum* infection in calves in Thi-Qar province specially by

using ELISA method, so the aims of study were:

- Using of ELISA method in comparing with mZN stain to detect the incidence of infection of *Cryptosporidium parvum* in calves ,in addition to determine the effect of sex, age and clinical signs on this incidence.

## Materials and Methods.

### Sample collection.

Fecal samples were collected from 200 calves in Thi-Qar province in south of Iraq , of different sexes (88 males and 112 females) and ages (<1 months, 1- 6 months,6-12months ) during the period from November 2011 to February 2012.

5-10 gm of each fecal sample were collected in sterile disposable plastic containers, and the data were recorded in special questionnaires sheet for each sample including ,age, sex, clinical signs, color and smell of feces ,date, and district. All samples were transported under cold conditions to laboratory and each sample was divided into two parts (one part in 10% formal-saline for ELISA method and other without preservative for examination by mZN stain ).

### Modified Zeil Nelson examination.

The samples were examined by mZN stain as described previously (28) that briefly, moderately thick smear was prepared, the slide was immersed in cold

strong carbol-fuchsin and it was stained for 15 minutes, rinsed in tap water and then the slide decolorized in 1% acid methanol for 10–15 seconds with over detained must be avoided, rinsed again, and counterstained with 0.4% malachite green or methylene blue ( two smears were prepared ) for 30 seconds, rinsed and air-dried the slide. Microscopical examination was done using a 100X magnification.

### ELISA examination.

94 out of 200 fecal samples were subjected to ELISA examination to detect the *Cryptosporidium parvum* antigens by used a commercial ELISA kit (Eruoclone S.P.A.code L11113.Italia). these samples were processed according to the manufacturer's recommendations.

**Statistical analysis.** Chi-Square (X<sup>2</sup>) was used for detect statistical difference of data prevalence of disease and effect of other factors .Results considered significant when the p-value was less than 0.05.

## Results

### 1- Cryptosporidiosis according to mZN stain

#### A- Incidence rate of *C. parvum* in calves by using mZN stain method.

32(16%) out of 200 calves' fecal samples were positive according to examination with modified Zeil Nelson stain distributed in different region of

south ,middle and north of Thi-Qar province .

#### B- Incidence of *C. parvum* according to the sex:

Ult Highly positive result of infection were seen among females 20(17.85%) when compare with males 12(13.63%) but with no significant differences (p>0.05) (Table 1).

Table(1): Incidence of *C. parvum* according to the sex

Sample	Sex	Total No.	No of positive	Percentage( %)
Calves	Male	88	12	13.63
	Female	112	20	17.85
	Total	200	32	16

#### C- Incidence rate of *C. parvum* in different age groups of diarrheatic and non diarrheatic calves .

According to symptom of diarrhea the infection of Cryptosporidiosis was detected in 28(18.66%) and 4 (8%) of 150 diarrheatic and 50 non diarrhoeic calves

respectively ,the intensity of oocysts was higher in diarrheatic animals than in non diarrheatic with significant differences (p<0.05).The infection was given highest

percentage (27.5%) in calves under(<1 month) age group when compared with other age groups. (Table2)

Table(2): Incidence rate of *C. parvum* in diffe.rent age groups of diarrheatic and non diarrheatic calves

Age groups (month)	No.of examined cases	No.&% of positive cases	Diarrheatic calves		Non diarrheatic calves	
			Examined	Positive %	Examined	Positive %
<1	80	22 (27.5%)	60	20 (33.33%)	20	2 (10%)
1 -6	60	6 (10%)	50	5 (10%)	10	1 (10%)
6-12	60	4 (6.66%)	40	3 (7.5%)	20	1 (5%)
Total	200	32 (16%)	150	28 (18.66%)	50	4 (8%)

**2-Cryptosporidiosis according to ELISA method.**

**A- Incidence of *C. parvum* in calves by using ELISA method**

26(27.65%) out of 94 fecal samples were given a positive result according to ELISA method.

**B- Incidence of *C. parvum* according to the sex using ELISA method.**

As same as of mZN stain the females recorded a high percentage 16 (28.57%) in contrast with males 10( 26.31 %) with no significant difference ( p<0.05) ( Table 3).

Table (3): Incidence of *C. parvum* according to the sex using ELISA method

Sex	Total No.	No. of positive	Percentage (%)
Male	38	10	26.31
Female	56	16	28.57
Total	94	26	27.65

**C- Incidence of *C. parvum* infection in different age groups of diarrheatic and non diarrheatic calves.**

*C. parvum* infection was detected in 23 (31.94.%) and 3 (13.63%) of 72 diarrhoeic and 22 non diarrhoeic calves respectively ,the intensity of oocysts was higher in diarrhoeic animals than in non diarrheic, statistically, an association between

present of diarrhea sing and the infection with *C. parvum* at level p<0.05. In connection to the age groups the rate of infection was highest 16(38.09%) in under one month age group when compared with other studied groups with significant differences (p<0.05). (Table 4)

Table (4): Incidence of *C. parvum* infection in different age groups of diarrheatic and non diarrheatic calves.

Age groups (month)	No. of examined cases	No.&% of positive cases	Diarrheatic calves		Non diarrheatic calves	
			Examined	Positive %	Examined	Positive %
<1	42	16 (38.09%)	32	14 (43.75%)	10	2 (20%)
1 -6	28	6 (21.42%)	20	5 (25%)	8	1 (12.5%)
6-12	24	4 (16.66%)	20	4 (20%)	4	0
Total	94	26 (27.65%)	72	23 (31.94%)	22	3 (13.63%)

**C -Evaluation of Sensitivity and Specificity of mZN stain and ELISA methods**

Out of 94 fecal sample were tested, 26 sample were positive by ELISA ,only 12

sample were positive by modified zeil nelson stain. On other hand out of 81 negative by mZN stain 14 sample were positive by ELISA(Table 5).

Table (5): correlation between mZN stain & ELISA methods

Test		M zn stain test		Total
		Positive	Negative	
ELISA	Positive	12 True positive	14 False positive	26
	Negative	1 False negative	67 True negative	68
Total		13	81	94

Sensitivity = $12/(12+1) \times 100 = 92\%$

Specificity= $67/(67+14) \times 100 = 94\%$

**Discussion**

*Cryptosporidium parvum* is an intestinal protozoan parasite and primary pathogen causing acute diarrhoea in calves and children (15)The most evident symptom of *Cryptosporidiosis* is diarrhoea ,and furthermore may be non specific signs such as dehydration, fever, anorexia, weakness and progressive loss of condition .Diarrhoea is usually selflimiting in immunocompetent animals, however it can be life threatening in young (1-4 weeks old) and immunocompromised animals. Infected immunocompetent animals are

usually asymptomatic, and are potential reservoirs for the infection of other farmed animals and humans (13).

**Prevalence of *Cryptosporidium parvum* with mZN stain in calves .**

This is the first study in Thi-Qar province about the prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* in calves of under one year old, the results of these study revealed that 16% of the calves were infected with *Cryptosporidium parvum*,that completely similar to result which reported by (2) in Al Qadissia province but lower than the result of (3)

who reported that 34 % of calves in Baghdad were infected with Cryptosporidiosis. Studies carried out in other countries report different percentages of prevalence. Paroxysmal Similar values to those found in the present study were reported in Tanzania ,(22) record 16.5% , in Canada (29), found a prevalence of 20%, in Argentina (38) found a prevalence of 17% , and in France, (18), reported a prevalence of 17.9%. Lower percentages have been observed by (39) in the United States (17) in Norway and (23) in Canada when they showing prevalences of 0.9%, 12%, and 13%, respectively. Higher values were observed by (10) in Tanzania ,(30) in Kuwait, (35) in India, (6) in Spain, and (37) in Japan ,they were showed prevalences of 35%, 38.3% ,38.1%., 47.9% and 93%, respectively. These discrepancies in the prevalence could be attributed to the criteria used in the selection of the study population, and different between geographical localities and the reports may reflect differences in the level of calves management practices employed a farm level, housing-related factors, single housed calves, or cleanness of the calf sleeping places. In the present study, infection with *Cryptosporidium parvum* in neonatal calves was prevalent and was also associated with clinical diarrhea and intensity of oocysts was significantly higher in diarrheatic calves than in non diarrheatic . Thus there is a significant association between *Cryptosporidium parvum* infection and diarrhea, these result in agreement with many other studies like (20,31,34) the detection rate of Cryptosporidiosis. in newborn diarrheic calves in this study was 28 (18.66%), that which lower than the result (51.8%) recorded by (9) in Iran(Mashhad) , and higher than those found in neonatal diarrheic calves in other parts of Iran(Kerman) 14.1% (32). The high negative percentage in diarrhoeic calves suggest the presence of other enteropathogens that could cause acute diarrhea in calves(15) The results of this study showed relationship between age and

infection in which present in 27.5% under 1 month age . These results are agreement with those reported by (6,8,17,21,31,35) they reported the highest prevalence of Cryptosporidiosis in calves between one month old. It could, therefore, be concluded that infection took place immediately after birth. The initial exposition to infective oocysts seems to take place in the maternity pen, or immediately after being transferred to the cowshed. It indicates a heavy environmental contamination in calves area. The study referred to that ,there is no significant association between gender and *Cryptosporidium* infection at ( $p < 0.05$ ), this result is in agreement with another studies such(36,40) ,which may be explained by the fact that the both sex are exposed to the similar source of infection, but (3) in Baghdad pointed to that there is significant differences in infection between males and females.

#### **Prevalence of *Cryptosporidium parvum* with ELISA in calves .**

the result of this study showed that the cryptosporidium coproantigen was found in 27.65% (26/94) of calves samples were tested ,these suggested of the shedding of cryptosporidium oocysts in environment from bovine infection and possibility of human infection in thi qar province this result similar to (27.3%) by (34) in turkey, higher values recorded in other contries in calves ,54% by (31) and 38% by (27) in Tanzania,(42.8%) by ( 16) in Zambia, and (4) record prevalence of 32.3% in calves in Nigeria. Lower results record 2.4% (39) and 5.3% (22) in Uganda ,these differences attributed to environmental conditions ,size of samples and season of studies. The present study showed association between clinical diarrhea and cryptosporidium infection *C. parvum* was detected in 23(31.94%) and 3(13.63%) of 72 diarrhoic and 22 non diarrhoiec calves, respectively, the intensity of oocysts was significantly higher in diarrhoiec calves than in non diarrhoiec calves, in this study the magirity of positive were less than one month age

(43.75%). Several other studies also observed a higher prevalence of *C. parvum* among diarrhoeic calves as compared with non diarrhoeic calves (6,18,26,37) this results supported by (8) which found that this parasite is the primary cause of acute diarrhea in newborn calves. This results disagree with (4) in Nigeria who showed no significant difference between diarrhoeic and non diarrhoeic calves. Cryptosporidiosis is associated with age

that agreement with another studies (20,33,30) which this may attributed to the immature immunity, factors related to variation in age groups examined, or sufficient feed with colostrum (34) this finding is in contrast with (1) in Malisia who showed no statistical difference in ages of calves with infection. This study showed no significant differences between sex and this indicates both sexes live in same conditions (4).

## References

- 1- Aida, M. A.; Ian Robertson, B.; Josephine, N.B.; Una Ryan. (2011). (Prevalence of and management factors contributing to *Cryptosporidium* sp. infection in pre-weaned and post-weaned calves in Johor, Malaysia *Experimental Parasitology* 127 (2011) 534–538.
- 2- Al-Qabi, S.R. (2006). A study of Epidemiology of *Cryptosporidium parvum* and causes of diarrhea in Diwania province. thesis of MSC. College of Education. University of Al Qadissia. Iraq.
- 3- AL-Zubidy, M.T. (1994). Epidemiology of *Cryptosporidium parvum* in calves. Masrer Thesis. College of vet Med Baghdad University.
- 4- Ayinmode, A.B. and Fagbemi, B.O. (2011). Cross-Reactivity of Some *Cryptosporidium* Species with *Cryptosporidium parvum* Coproantigen in a Commercial ELISA Kit. *Nigerian Veterinary Journal* Vol. 32(1): 2011; 1 – 4pp5-8
- 5- Casomer, D.P., S.E. Wright and R.L. Coop, (1997). Cryptosporidiosis human and animal epidemiology. In *Cryptosporidium and cryptosporidiosis*, Fayer, R. CRC press Boca Rotan, Florida, pp:65-92.
- 6- Castro-Hermida, J.A.; Gonzalez-Losada, Y.A.; and Ares-Mazas, E. (2002). Prevalence of and risk factors involved in the spread of neonatal bovine cryptosporidiosis in Galicia (NW Spain). *Vet. Parasitol.* 106 (1), 1–10.
- 7- De Graaf, D.C.; Vanopdenbosch, E.; Ortega-Mora, L.M.; Abbassi, H. and Peeters, V. (1999). A review of the importance of cryptosporidiosis in farm animals. *International Journal for Parasitology* 29: 1269–1287.
- 8- De la Fuente, R.; Garcia, A.; Ruiz-Santa-Quiteria, J.A.; Luzo, N. M.; Cid, D.; Garcia, S.; Orden, J.A.; Gomez-Bautista, M., (1998). Proportional morbidity rates of enteropathogens among diarrhoeic dairy calves in central Spain. *Prev. Vet. Med.* 36 (2), 145–152.
- 9- Ehsan, A.S.; Gholam, R. M.; Abolghasem, N.; Mehrnaz, R. (2011). Prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* spp. infection in some dairy herds of Mashhad (Iran) and its association with diarrhea in newborn calves *Comp Clin Pathol*, 107–20:103
- 10- Emanuel, S. Swail and Luuk, Schoonman. (2004). Investigation into the Prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* Infection in Calves among Small Holder Dairy and Traditional Herds in Tanzania. *SAGE-Hindawi Access to Research Veterinary Medicine International* Volume 2010, Article ID 676451, 5 -6
- 11- Fall, A.; Thompson, R.C.; Hobbs, R.P.; Morgan-Ryan, U. (2003). Morphology is not a reliable tool for delineating species within

- Cryptosporidium*. J. Parasitol ;89:399-402.
- 12- Fayer, R.; Speer, C.A. and Dubey, J.P. (1997). General biology of *Cryptosporidium*. In: *Cryptosporidium* and Cryptosporidiosis. Edited by R. Fayer. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press. pp 1-42.
- 13- Fayer, R.; Graczyk, T.K.; Lewis, E.J.; Trout, J.M.; Farley, C.A., (1998). Survival of infectious *Cryptosporidium parvum* oocysts in seawater and eastern oysters (*Crassostrea virginica*) in the Chesapeake Bay. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 64, 1070–1074.
- 14- Fayer, R., (2008). Biology. In: Fayer, R., Xiao, L. (Eds.), *Cryptosporidium* and Cryptosporidiosis, second ed. CRC Press and IWA Publishing, Boca Raton, FL, pp. 1–42.
- 15- Gracia, L.S.; Shimizu, R.Y. and Berndard, C.N. (2000). Detection of *Giardia lamblia*, *Entamoeba histolytica*/Entamoeba dispar, and *Cryptosporidium parvum* Antigens in Human Fecal Specimens Using the Triage Parasite Panel Enzyme Immunoassay *J. Clin. Microbiol.*, , 38(9) : 3337-3340.
- 16- Geurden ,T.; Goma, F.Y.; Siwila ,J., ;Phiri, I.; G, Mwanza ,A.M.; Gabriel ,S.; Claerebout, E.; Vercruyse ,J .(2006) Prevalence and genotyping of *Cryptosporidium* in three cattle husbandry systems in Zambia. *Vet Parasitol* 138:217–222.
- 17- Hamnes, I.S.; Gjerde, B.; and Robertson, L (2006). Prevalence of *Giardia* and *Cryptosporidium* in dairy calves in three areas of Norway. *Vet. Parasitol.* 140 (3–4), 204–211.
- 18- Lefay, D.; Naciri, M.; Poirier, P.; and Chermette, R (2000). Prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* infection in calves in France. *Vet. Parasitol.* 89 (1–2), 1–9.
- 19- Lefay, D.; Naciri, M.; Poirier, P. and Chermette, R. (2001). Efficacy of halofuginone lactate in the prevention of cryptosporidiosis in suckling calves. *Veterinary Record* 148:108–112.
- 20- Maddox-Hyttel, C.; Langkjaer, R.B.; Enemark, H.L. and Vigre, H. (2006). *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* in different age groups of Danish cattle and pigs - Occurrence and management associated risk factors. *Vet Parasitol* 141, 48-59.
- 21- Maldonado-Camargo, S.; Atwill, E.R.; Saltijeral-Oaxaca, J.A.; Herrera-Alonso, L.C. (1998). Prevalence of and risk factors for shedding of *Cryptosporidium parvum* in Holstein Friesian dairy calves in central Mexico. *Prev. Vet. Med.* 36 (2), 95–107.
- 22- Matambo, M.M. A.; Sebatwale, J.B.; kamarage, D.M.; Muhairwa, A.P.; M eda G.E., Kusiluka, L.J.M., Kazwala, R.R. (1997). Prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* spp. oocyst in cattle and wild-life in Morogoro Region, Tanzania. *prev.vet.med.* 31, 185-190.
- 23- McAllister, T.A.; Olson, M.E.; Fletch, A.; Wetzstein, M.; Entz, T.; (2005). Prevalence of *Giardia* and *Cryptosporidium* in beef cows in southern Ontario and in beef calves in southern British Columbia. *Can. Vet. J.* 46 (1), 47–55.
- 24- Miller ,W.A.; Gardner, I.A.; Atwill ,E.R.; Leutenegger ,C.M.; Miller M.A.; Hedrick ,R.P.; Melli ,A.C.; Barnes ,N.M.; Conrad, P.A. (2006). Evaluation of methods for improved detection of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in mussels (*Mytilus californianus*). *J Microbiol Methods* 65: 367–379.
- 25- Munoz, M., Alvarez, M., Lanza, I. and Carmenes, P. (1996). Role of enteric pathogens in the aetiology of neonatal diarrhoea in lambs and goat kids in Spain. *Epidemiology and Infection* 117: 203-211.

- 26- Naciri ,M.; Lefay, M.P.; Mancassola, R.; Poirier, P. and Cjermetter(1999). Role of *Cryptosporidium parvum* as a pathogen in neonatal diarrhoea complex in suckling and dairy calves in France *Vet. Parasitol.*, 1999, 85 : 245-257.
- 27- Nizeyi,J.B.; Cranfield, M.R.;Graczyk,T.K.(2002).Cattle near the Bwindi Impenetrable National Park Uganda as a reservoir of *Cryptosporidium parvum* and *Giardia duodenalis* for local community and free-ranging gorills.*parasitol.Res.*88,380-385.
- 28-OIE (Office International des Epizooties) (2008). (Cryptosporidiosis.*In: Manual of Standards for Laboratory Tests and Vaccines.Fifth edition, Paris, [online edition available at [http://www.oie.int/eng/normes/en\\_mmanual.htm](http://www.oie.int/eng/normes/en_mmanual.htm)].*
- 29-Olson, M.E.; Thorlakson, C.L.; Deselliers, L.; Morck ,D.W.; McAllister TA (1997). *Giardia* and *Cryptosporidium* in Canadian farm animals. *Vet Parasitol* 68:375–381.
- 30-Qais,A.H.Majeed.; Maha.k.; Nadra. M.A, (2011).Infectious causes of neonatal Diarhea in Kuwait with Special Refrence to Cryptosporidiosis .*Journal of animal and veterinary advances* 10(17)2282-2286.
- 31- Quilez, J.; Sanchez-Acedo ,C.; Del Cacho, E.; Clavel, A.; Causape, A.C .(1996) .Prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* infections in cattle in Aragon (northeastern Spain). *Vet Parasitol* 66:139–146.
- 32-Radfar ,M.H.; Molaei, M.M.; Baghbannejad, A .(2006) .Prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* spp. oocysts in dairy calves in Kerman, southeastern Iran. *IJVR* 7(2):81-84.
- 33- Santín, M.; Trout ,J.M.; Xiao, L.; Zhou ,L.; Greiner, E.; Fayer, R. (2004). Prevalence and age related variation of *Cryptosporidium* species and genotypes in dairy calves. *Vet Parasitol* 122:103–117.
- 34- Sevinc.;Irmak.K.;Sevinc.M.(2003).The prevalence of *Cryptosporidium parvum* infection in the diarrhoiec and non diarrhoiec calves.*rev-Med* 154,5-357-361.
- 35- Singh, B.B.; Sharma, R.; Kumar, H.; Banga, H.S.; Aulakh, R.S.; Gill, J.P.and Sharma, J.K. (2006). Prevalence of *Cryptosporidium parvum* infection in Punjab (India) and its association with diarrhea in neonatal dairy calves. *Vet. Parasitol.* 140 (1–2), 162–166.
- 36- Siveralis C, U.; Emanuelson, K.; De Verdier, and C.Bjorkman.(2009). “Prevalence and associated management factors of *Cryptosporidium* shedding in 50 Swedish dairy herds,” *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, vol. 90, no. 3-4, pp. 242–253.
- 37-Uga, S.,Matsuo, J.,Kono, E.,Kimura, K., Inoue,M., Rai, S.K.,Ono,K., (2000). Prevalence of *Cryptosporidium parvum* infection and pattern of oocyst shedding in calves in Japan.*Vet. Parasitol.*94 (1–2), 27–32.
- 38- Valeria ,F. ; Mari’a ,A. Co’rdoba .; Juan ,A. ;and Basualdo (2008).*Cryptosporidium* infection in calves from a rural area of Buenos Aires, Argentina. *Vet. Parasitol.* 158 . 31–35
- 39-Wade ,S.E ;.Mohammed, H.O.;and Schaaf, S.L (2000). (Prevalence of *Giardia* sp .,*Cryptosporidium parvum* and *Cryptosporidium muris* )C .andersoni (in 109 dairy herds in five counties of southeastern New York. *Vet Parasitol.*11–93:1
- 40-Wilson. J. B.,S. A.;McEwen, R. C.;Clarke,K. E.;Leslie,D. Waltner-Toews, and C.L.Gyles.(1992) .“A case-control study of selected pathogens including verocytotoxigenic *Escherichia coli*



## دراسة الأصابه بطفيلي الـ *Cryptosporidium parvum* في العجول في محافظة ذي قار باستخدام طريقتي صبغة زيل نلسون المحورة والأليزا

فاضل صعيب خليف      نعمان ناجي عايز

كلية الطب البيطري / جامعة القادسية

E.mail: [noamannaji@ymail.com](mailto:noamannaji@ymail.com)

### الخلاصة

يعد طفيلي الـ *Cryptosporidium parvum* من الطفيليات الابتدائية المشتركة الإصابة بين الحيوان والإنسان بحيث تصيب طيف واسع من المضائف الفقرية. أجريت هذه الدراسة لتحديد مدى حدوث الإصابة بطفيلي الـ *C. parvum* في العجول في محافظة ذي قار في العراق. تم جمع 200 نموذج براز من عجول مشكوك بإصابتها خلال الفترة من بداية شهر تشرين الثاني 2011 وحتى نهاية شباط 2012، حيث فحصت أولاً باستخدام صبغة زيل نلسون المحورة ( mZN)، بعدها اختبر 94 نموذج براز وتم فحصه بطريقة الأليزا ( ELISA). تم تقسيم العجول المدروسة حسب الجنس (ذكور وأناث) وحسب العمر (أقل من شهر ومن شهر الى 6 اشهر ومن 6 اشهر الى 12 شهر) وحسب العلامات السريرية ( وجود الاسهال وعدم وجود الاسهال). ظهر ان 32(16%) من أصل 200 و 26(27.65%) من أصل 94 من النماذج المفحوصة قد أعطت نتائج موجبة للإصابة باستخدام صبغة زيل نلسون المحورة والأليزا حسب التوالي. وطبقاً لطريقتي صبغة زيل نلسون المحورة والأليزا فقد كانت الإصابة أكثر حدوثاً (27.5% و 38.9%) و (18.6% و 31.9%) وبفرق مهم احصائياً ( $p < 0.05$ ) في العجول بعمر اقل من شهر واحد والتي أظهرت علامات الإسهال وحسب الترتيب، كما ان الإصابة في الإناث (13.63% و 26.3%) أعلى مما عليا في الذكور ولكن بدون فرق معنوي ( $P > 0.05$ ). لقد بينت الدراسة الحالية ان الإصابة بطفيلي الـ *C. parvum* قد تكون عامل خطورة خاصة في العجول التي تقل أعمارها عن الشهر والتي ظهرت فيها علامات الإسهال