

Some Properties of a certain Class of Multivalent Analytic Functions with a Fixed Point

Abbas kareem Wanas

Department of Mathematics, College of Computer Science and Mathematics, University of Al-Qadisiya, Diwaniya, Iraq

Abstract

We introduce subclass $\mathcal{AB}_p^w(\lambda, \eta, \mu)$ of multivalent analytic functions with a fixed point w . We obtain coefficient inequalities, extreme points, integral representation, Hadamard product and radii of starlikeness and convexity.

Keywords: Multivalent function, Extreme points, Integral representation.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}(p, w)$ denote the class of functions f of the form:

$$f(z) = (z - w)^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+p}(z - w)^{n+p} \quad (p \in \mathbb{N}), \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in the unit disk $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ and w is a fixed point in U .

Let $\mathcal{B}(p, w)$ denote subclass of $\mathcal{A}(p, w)$ containing of functions of the form:

$$f(z) = (z - w)^p - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+p}(z - w)^{n+p} \quad (a_{n+p} \geq 0, p \in \mathbb{N}), \quad (1.2)$$

For the functions $f \in \mathcal{B}(p, w)$ given by (1.2) and $g \in \mathcal{B}(p, w)$ defined by

$$g(z) = (z - w)^p - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n+p}(z - w)^{n+p} \quad (b_{n+p} \geq 0, p \in \mathbb{N}),$$

we define the convolution (or Hadamard product) of f and g by

$$(f * g)(z) = (z - w)^p - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+p} b_{n+p} (z - w)^{n+p}.$$

Now, we define the class $\mathcal{AB}_p^w(\lambda, \eta, \mu)$ consisting the functions $f \in \mathcal{B}(p, w)$ such that

$$\left| \frac{(z - w)f''(z) + (1 - p)f'(z)}{\lambda(z - w)f''(z) + (\lambda + \eta)f'(z)} \right| < \mu, \quad (1.3)$$

where $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, 0 < \eta \leq 1$ and $0 < \mu \leq 1$.

Such type of study was carried out by various authors for another classes, like, Atshan and Wanas [1], Ghanim and Darus[2], Najafzadeh and Rahimi [3] and Shenan [4].

2. Coefficient Inequalities

Theorem 2.1. Let $f \in \mathcal{B}(p, w)$. Then $f \in \mathcal{AB}_p^w(\lambda, \eta, \mu)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n + p)[n(\lambda\mu + 1) + \mu(\lambda p + \eta)]a_{n+p} \leq \mu p(\lambda p + \eta), \quad (2.1)$$

where $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, 0 < \eta \leq 1$ and $0 < \mu \leq 1$.

The result is sharp for the function f given by

$$f(z) = (z - w)^p - \frac{\mu p(\lambda p + \eta)}{(n + p)[n(\lambda\mu + 1) + \mu(\lambda p + \eta)] \times (z - w)^{n+p}} \quad (n \geq 1). \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. Suppose that the inequality (2.1) holds true and $(z - w) \in \partial U$ where ∂U denotes the boundary of U .

Then, we find from (1.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{(z - w)f''(z) + (1 - p)f'(z)}{\lambda(z - w)f''(z) + (\lambda + \eta)f'(z)} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{-\mu \left[\lambda(z - w)f''(z) + (\lambda + \eta)f'(z) \right]}{\lambda(z - w)f''(z) + (\lambda + \eta)f'(z)} \right| \\ &= \left| - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(n + p)a_{n+p}(z - w)^{n+p-1} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \mu p(\lambda p + \eta)(z - w)^{p-1} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(n + p)(\lambda(n + p) + \eta)a_{n+p}(z - w)^{n+p-1} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(n + p)a_{n+p}|z - w|^{n+p-1} - \mu p(\lambda p + \eta)|z - w|^{p-1} \\ & \quad + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(n + p)(\lambda(n + p) + \eta)a_{n+p}|z - w|^{n+p-1} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n + p)[n(\lambda\mu + 1) + \mu(\lambda p + \eta)]a_{n+p} - \mu p(\lambda p + \eta) \\ &\leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by maximum modulus theorem, we conclude $f \in \mathcal{AB}_p^w(\lambda, \eta, \mu)$.

Conversely, suppose that $f \in \mathcal{AB}_p^w(\lambda, \eta, \mu)$. Then from (1.3), we have

$$\left| \frac{(z-w)f''(z) + (1-p)f'(z)}{\lambda(z-w)f''(z) + (\lambda+\eta)f'(z)} \right| = \left| \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(n+p)a_{n+p}(z-w)^{n+p-1}}{p(\lambda p + \eta)(z-w)^{p-1} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+p)(\lambda(n+p) + \eta)a_{n+p}(z-w)^{n+p-1}} \right|$$

< μ .
So, we obtain

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(n+p)a_{n+p}(z-w)^{n+p-1}}{p(\lambda p + \eta)(z-w)^{p-1} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+p)(\lambda(n+p) + \eta)a_{n+p}(z-w)^{n+p-1}} \right\} < \mu.$$

By letting $(z-w) \rightarrow 1^-$, through real values, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+p)[n(\lambda\mu + 1) + \mu(\lambda p + \eta)]a_{n+p} \leq \mu p(\lambda p + \eta).$$

Corollary 2.1. Let $f \in \mathcal{AB}_p^w(\lambda, \eta, \mu)$. Then

$$a_{n+p} \leq \frac{\mu p(\lambda p + \eta)}{(n+p)[n(\lambda\mu + 1) + \mu(\lambda p + \eta)]} \quad (n \geq 1).$$

3. Extreme Points

Theorem 3.1. Let $f_p(z) = (z-w)^p$ and $f_{n+p}(z) = (z-w)^p$

$$- \frac{\mu p(\lambda p + \eta)}{(n+p)[n(\lambda\mu + 1) + \mu(\lambda p + \eta)]} (z-w)^{n+p} \quad (n \geq 1).$$

Then $f \in \mathcal{AB}_p^w(\lambda, \eta, \mu)$ if and only if it can be expressed in the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{n+p} f_{n+p}(z), \quad (3.1)$$

where $\gamma_{n+p} \geq 0$, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{n+p} = 1$.

Proof. Let the f of the form (3.1). Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \gamma_p f_p(z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{n+p} \\ &\times \left((z-w)^p - \frac{\mu p(\lambda p + \eta)}{(n+p)[n(\lambda\mu + 1) + \mu(\lambda p + \eta)]} (z-w)^{n+p} \right). \\ &= (z-w)^p - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu p(\lambda p + \eta)}{(n+p)[n(\lambda\mu + 1) + \mu(\lambda p + \eta)]} \gamma_{n+p} \\ &\times (z-w)^{n+p}. \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n+p)[n(\lambda\mu + 1) + \mu(\lambda p + \eta)]}{\mu p(\lambda p + \eta)} \\ &\times \frac{\mu p(\lambda p + \eta)}{(n+p)[n(\lambda\mu + 1) + \mu(\lambda p + \eta)]} \gamma_{n+p} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{n+p} = 1 - \gamma_p \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $f \in \mathcal{AB}_p^w(\lambda, \eta, \mu)$.

Conversely, let $f \in \mathcal{AB}_p^w(\lambda, \eta, \mu)$. It follows from Corollary 2.1 that

$$a_{n+p} \leq \frac{\mu p(\lambda p + \eta)}{(n+p)[n(\lambda\mu + 1) + \mu(\lambda p + \eta)]} \quad (n \geq 1).$$

Setting

$$\gamma_{n+p} = \frac{(n+p)[n(\lambda\mu + 1) + \mu(\lambda p + \eta)]}{\mu p(\lambda p + \eta)} a_{n+p} \quad (n \geq 1)$$

and $\gamma_p = 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{n+p}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= (z-w)^p - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+p}(z-w)^{n+p} \\ &= (z-w)^p - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu p(\lambda p + \eta)}{(n+p)[n(\lambda\mu + 1) + \mu(\lambda p + \eta)]} \gamma_{n+p} \\ &\times (z-w)^{n+p} \\ &= (z-w)^p - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left((z-w)^p - f_{n+p}(z) \right) \gamma_{n+p} \\ &= \left(1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{n+p} \right) (z-w)^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{n+p} f_{n+p}(z) \\ &= \gamma_p f_p(z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{n+p} f_{n+p}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{n+p} f_{n+p}(z), \end{aligned}$$

that is the required representation.

4. Integral Representation

Theorem 4.1. Let $f \in \mathcal{AB}_p^w(\lambda, \eta, \mu)$. Then

$$f(z) = \int_0^z \exp \left[\int_0^t \frac{\mu(\lambda + \eta)\psi(t) + p - 1}{(t-w)(1 - \lambda\mu\psi(t))} dt \right] dt,$$

where $|\psi(z)| < 1, z \in U$.

Proof. By putting $\frac{(z-w)f''(z)}{f'(z)} = Q(z)$ in (1.3), we have

$$\left| \frac{Q(z) + 1 - p}{\lambda Q(z) + \lambda + \eta} \right| < \mu,$$

or equivalently

$$\frac{Q(z) + 1 - p}{\lambda Q(z) + \lambda + \eta} = \mu\psi(z), \quad (|\psi(z)| < 1, z \in U).$$

So

$$\frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} = \frac{\mu(\lambda + \eta)\psi(z) + p - 1}{(z - w)(1 - \lambda\mu\psi(z))},$$

after integration, we obtain

$$\log(f'(z)) = \int_0^z \frac{\mu(\lambda + \eta)\psi(t) + p - 1}{(t - w)(1 - \lambda\mu\psi(t))} dt.$$

Therefore

$$f'(z) = \exp \left[\int_0^z \frac{\mu(\lambda + \eta)\psi(t) + p - 1}{(t - w)(1 - \lambda\mu\psi(t))} dt \right].$$

After integration, we have

$$f(z) = \int_0^z \exp \left[\int_0^t \frac{\mu(\lambda + \eta)\psi(t) + p - 1}{(t - w)(1 - \lambda\mu\psi(t))} dt \right] dt$$

and this the required result.

5. Hadamard Product

Theorem 5.1. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{AB}_p^w(\lambda, \eta, \mu)$. Then

$$f * g \in \mathcal{AB}_p^w(\lambda, \sigma, \mu),$$

where

$$\sigma \leq \frac{\lambda p(n + p)[n(\lambda\mu + 1) + \mu(\lambda p + \eta)]^2}{\mu^2 p(\lambda p + \eta)^2 - (n + p)[n(\lambda\mu + 1) + \mu(\lambda p + \eta)]^2} - \frac{\mu p(\lambda p + \eta)^2(n + \lambda\mu(n + p))}{\mu^2 p(\lambda p + \eta)^2 - (n + p)[n(\lambda\mu + 1) + \mu(\lambda p + \eta)]^2}.$$

Proof. We must find the largest σ such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n + p)[n(\lambda\mu + 1) + \mu(\lambda p + \sigma)]}{\mu p(\lambda p + \sigma)} a_{n+p} b_{n+p} \leq 1. \tag{5.1}$$

Since $f, g \in \mathcal{AB}_p^w(\lambda, \eta, \mu)$, then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n + p)[n(\lambda\mu + 1) + \mu(\lambda p + \eta)]}{\mu p(\lambda p + \eta)} a_{n+p} \leq 1$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n + p)[n(\lambda\mu + 1) + \mu(\lambda p + \eta)]}{\mu p(\lambda p + \eta)} b_{n+p} \leq 1.$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n + p)[n(\lambda\mu + 1) + \mu(\lambda p + \eta)]}{\mu p(\lambda p + \eta)} \sqrt{a_{n+p} b_{n+p}} \leq 1. \tag{5.2}$$

We want only to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(n + p)[n(\lambda\mu + 1) + \mu(\lambda p + \sigma)]}{\mu p(\lambda p + \sigma)} a_{n+p} b_{n+p} \\ & \leq \frac{(n + p)[n(\lambda\mu + 1) + \mu(\lambda p + \eta)]}{\mu p(\lambda p + \eta)} \sqrt{a_{n+p} b_{n+p}}. \end{aligned}$$

This equivalently to

$$\sqrt{a_{n+p} b_{n+p}} \leq \frac{(\lambda p + \sigma)[n(\lambda\mu + 1) + \mu(\lambda p + \eta)]}{(\lambda p + \eta)[n(\lambda\mu + 1) + \mu(\lambda p + \sigma)]}$$

From (5.2), we get

$$\sqrt{a_{n+p} b_{n+p}} \leq \frac{\mu p(\lambda p + \eta)}{(n + p)[n(\lambda\mu + 1) + \mu(\lambda p + \eta)]}.$$

Thus, it is enough to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\mu p(\lambda p + \eta)}{(n + p)[n(\lambda\mu + 1) + \mu(\lambda p + \eta)]} \\ & \leq \frac{(\lambda p + \sigma)[n(\lambda\mu + 1) + \mu(\lambda p + \eta)]}{(\lambda p + \eta)[n(\lambda\mu + 1) + \mu(\lambda p + \sigma)]}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\sigma \leq \frac{\lambda p(n + p)[n(\lambda\mu + 1) + \mu(\lambda p + \eta)]^2}{\mu^2 p(\lambda p + \eta)^2 - (n + p)[n(\lambda\mu + 1) + \mu(\lambda p + \eta)]^2} - \frac{\mu p(\lambda p + \eta)^2(n + \lambda\mu(n + p))}{\mu^2 p(\lambda p + \eta)^2 - (n + p)[n(\lambda\mu + 1) + \mu(\lambda p + \eta)]^2}.$$

6. Radii of Starlikeness and Convexity

Theorem 6.1. If $f \in \mathcal{AB}_p^w(\lambda, \eta, \mu)$, then f is starlike of order δ ($0 \leq \delta < p$) in the disk $|z - w| < r_1$, where

$$r_1 = \inf_n \left\{ \frac{(n + p)(p - \delta)[n(\lambda\mu + 1) + \mu(\lambda p + \eta)]^{\frac{1}{n}}}{\mu p(\lambda p + \eta)(n + p - \delta)} \right\}.$$

The result is sharp for the function f given by (2.2).

Proof. It is sufficient to show that

$$\left| \frac{(z - w)f'(z)}{f(z)} - p \right| \leq p - \delta \quad \text{for } |z - w| < r_1. \tag{6.1}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{(z - w)f'(z)}{f(z)} - p \right| &= \left| \frac{-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_{n+p} (z - w)^{n+p}}{(z - w)^p - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+p} (z - w)^{n+p}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_{n+p} |z - w|^n}{1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+p} |z - w|^n}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (6.1) will be satisfied if

$$\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_{n+p} |z - w|^n}{1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+p} |z - w|^n} \leq p - \delta,$$

or if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n + p - \delta)}{(p - \delta)} a_{n+p} |z - w|^n \leq 1, \tag{6.2}$$

with the aid of (2.1), (6.2) is true if

$$\frac{(n + p - \delta)}{(p - \delta)} |z - w|^n \leq \frac{(n + p)[n(\lambda\mu + 1) + \mu(\lambda p + \eta)]}{\mu p(\lambda p + \eta)},$$

or equivalently

$$|z - w| \leq \left\{ \frac{(n + p)(p - \delta)[n(\lambda\mu + 1) + \mu(\lambda p + \eta)]}{\mu p(\lambda p + \eta)(n + p - \delta)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n}},$$

which follows the result.

Theorem 6.2. If $f \in \mathcal{AB}_p^w(\lambda, \eta, \mu)$, then f is convex of order δ ($0 \leq \delta < p$) in the disk $|z - w| < r_2$, where

$$r_2 = \inf_n \left\{ \frac{(p - \delta)[n(\lambda\mu + 1) + \mu(\lambda p + \eta)]}{\mu(\lambda p + \eta)(n + p - \delta)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

The result is sharp for the function f given by (2.2).

Proof. It is sufficient to show that

$$\left| \frac{(z - w)f''(z)}{f'(z)} + 1 - p \right| \leq p - \delta \quad \text{for } |z - w| < r_2. \quad (6.3)$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{(z - w)f''(z)}{f'(z)} + 1 - p \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(n + p)a_{n+p}(z - w)^{n+p-1}}{p(z - w)^{p-1} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n + p)a_{n+p}(z - w)^{n+p-1}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(n + p)a_{n+p}|z - w|^n}{p - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n + p)a_{n+p}|z - w|^n}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (6.3) will be satisfied if

$$\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(n + p)a_{n+p}|z - w|^n}{p - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n + p)a_{n+p}|z - w|^n} \leq p - \delta,$$

or if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n + p)(n + p - \delta)}{p(p - \delta)} a_{n+p}|z - w|^n \leq 1, \quad (6.4)$$

with the aid of (2.1), (6.4) is true if

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(n + p)(n + p - \delta)}{p(p - \delta)} |z - w|^n \\ & \leq \frac{(n + p)[n(\lambda\mu + 1) + \mu(\lambda p + \eta)]}{\mu p(\lambda p + \eta)}, \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently

$$|z - w| \leq \left\{ \frac{(p - \delta)[n(\lambda\mu + 1) + \mu(\lambda p + \eta)]}{\mu(\lambda p + \eta)(n + p - \delta)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n}},$$

which follows the result.

References

- [1] W. G. Atshan and A. K. Wanas, Subclass of p -valent analytic functions with negative coefficients, *Advances and Appl. Math. Sci.*, Vol. 11, No. 5, 2012, 239-254.
- [2] F. Ghanim and M. Darus, On a new subclass of analytic univalent function with negative coefficient I, *Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sci.*, Vol. 3, No. 27, 2008, 1317-1329.
- [3] S. Najafzadeh and A. Rahimi, Application of differential Subordination on p -valent functions with a fixed point, *General Mathematics*, Vol. 17, No. 4, 2009, 149-156.
- [4] J. M. Shenan, On a subclass of p -valent prestarlike functions with negative coefficient defined by Dziok-Srivastava linear operator, *Int. J. Open Proplems Complex Analysis*, Vol. 3, No. 3, 2011, 24-35.