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#### Abstract

The purpose of the present paper is to derive sandwich results involving Hadamard product for certain normalized analytic functions with generalized operator in the open unit disk.


## 1. Introduction

Let $H$ be the class of analytic functions in the open unit disk $U=\{z \in C:|z|<1\}$. For $n$ a positive integer and $a \in C$, let $H[a, n]$ be the subclass of $H$ consisting of functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=a+a_{n} z^{n}+a_{n+1} z^{n+1}+\cdots \quad(a \in C) . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, let $A$ be the subclass of $H$ consisting of functions of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f, g \in H$. The function $f$ is said to be subordinate to $g$, or $g$ is said to be superordinate to $f$, if there exists a schwarz function $w$ analytic in $U$ with $w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)|<1(z \in U)$ such that $f(z)=g(w(z))$. In such a case we write $f \prec g$ or $f(z) \prec g(z)(z \in U)$. If $g$ is univalent in $U$, then $f \prec g$ if and only if $f(0)=g(0)$ and $f(U) \subset g(U)$.

Let $p, h \in H$ and $\psi(r, s, t ; z): C^{3} \times U \rightarrow C$. If $p$ and $\psi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z), z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) ; z\right)$ are univalent functions in $U$ and if $p$ satisfies the second -order differential superordination

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z) \prec \psi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z), z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) ; z\right), \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $p$ is called a solution of the differential superordination (1.3). (If $f$ is subordinate to $g$, then $g$ is superordinate to $f$ ). An analytic function $q$ is called a subordinate of (1.3), if $q \prec p$ for all the functions $p$ satisfying (1.3). An univalent subordinat $q$ that satisfies $q \prec q$ for all the subordinants $q$ of (1.3) is called the best subordinant. Recently Miller and Mocanu [10] obtained conditions on the functions $h, q$ and $\psi$ for which the following implication holds:

$$
h(z) \prec \psi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z), z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) ; z\right) \Rightarrow q(z) \prec p(z) .
$$

For the functions $f \in A, f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ and $g \in A$ defined by $g(z)=z+$ $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}$, we define the Hadamard product (or convolution ) of $f$ and $g$ by $(f * g)(z)=$ $z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} b_{n} z^{n}=(g * f)(z)$.

[^0]For $m \in N_{0}=N \cup\{0\}, \beta \geq 0, \alpha \in R$ with $\alpha+\beta>0$ and $f \in A$. The generalized operator $I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m}($ see $[16])$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m} f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\alpha+n \beta}{\alpha+\beta}\right)^{m} a_{n} z^{n} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (1.4) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta z\left(I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m} f(z)\right)^{\prime}=(\alpha+\beta) I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+1} f(z)-\alpha I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m} f(z), \beta>0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the genralized operator $I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m}$ unifies many operators of $A$. In particular :
(1) $I_{\alpha, 1}^{m} f(z)=I_{\alpha}^{m} f(z), \alpha>-1$ (see Cho and Srivastava [6] and Cho and Kim [7]).
(2) $I_{1-\beta, \beta}^{m} f(z)=D_{\beta}^{m} f(z), \beta \geq 0$ (see Al-Oboudi [2]).
(3) $I_{l+1-\beta, \beta}^{m} f(z)=I_{l, \beta}^{m} f(z), \beta \geq 0$ (see Catas [5]).

Using the results of Miller and Mocanu [10], Bulboacã [4] considered certain classes of first order differential super ordinations as well as superordination-preserving integral operators (see [3]). Recently many authors [1,8,11-15] have used the reaults of Bulboacã [4] and obtain certain sufficient conditions applying first order differential subordinations and superordinations.

The main object of the present paper is to find sufficient condition for certain normalized analytic functions $f$ in $U$ such that $(f * \Psi)(z) \neq 0$ and $f$ to satisfy $q_{1}(z) \prec \frac{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+1}(f * \Phi)(z)}{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m}(f * \Psi)(z)} \prec$ $q_{2}(z)$, where $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ are given univalent functions in $U$ and $\Phi(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} t_{n} z^{n}, \Psi(z)=$ $z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} s_{n} z^{n}$ are analytic functions in $U$ with $t_{n} \geq 0, s_{n} \geq 0$ and $t_{n} \geq s_{n}$. Also, we obtain the number of results as their special cases.

## 2. Preliminaries

To establish our main results, we need the following:
Definition 2.1. [9] Denote by $Q$ the set of all functions $f$ that are analytic and injective on $\bar{U} \backslash E(f)$, where

$$
E(f)=\left\{\zeta \in \partial U: \lim _{z \rightarrow \zeta} f(z)=\infty\right\}
$$

and are such that $f^{\prime}(\zeta) \neq 0$ for $\zeta \in \partial U \backslash E(f)$.
Lemma 2.1. [9]Let $Q$ be univalent in the unite disk $U$ and let $\theta$ and $\phi$ be analytic in a domain $D$ containing $q(U)$ with $\phi(w) \neq 0$ when $w \in q(U)$. set $Q(z)=z q^{\prime}(z) \phi(q(z))$ and $h(z)=\theta(q(z))+Q(z)$. Suppose that
(1) $Q(z)$ is starlike univalent in $U$.
(2) $\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}\right\}>0$ for $z \in U$.

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(p(z))+z p^{\prime}(z) \phi(p(z)) \prec \theta(q(z))+z q^{\prime}(z) \phi(q(z)) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $p \prec q$ and $q$ is the best dominant of (2.1).
Lemma 2.2. [4] Let $q$ be convex univalent in the unit disk $U$ and let $\theta$ and $\phi$ be analytic in a domain $D$ containing $q(U)$.Suppose that
(1) $\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\theta^{\prime}(q(z))}{\phi(q(z))}\right\}>0$ for $z \in U$,
(2) $Q(z)=z q^{\prime}(z) \phi(q(z))$ is starlike univalent inU.

If $p \in H[q(0), 1] \cap Q$, with $p(U) \subset D, \theta(p(z))+z p^{\prime}(z) \phi(p(z))$ is univalent in $U$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(q(z))+z q^{\prime}(z) \phi(q(z)) \prec \theta(p(z))+z p^{\prime}(z) \phi(p(z)), \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $q \prec p$ and $q$ is the best subordinat of (2.2).

## 3. Subordination Results

Theorem 3.1. Let $\Phi, \Psi \in A$ and $q$ be univalent in $U$ with $q(z) \neq 0, q(0)=1$ and assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{\lambda_{2}(\gamma-\sigma)}{\lambda_{3} \sigma}+\frac{\lambda_{1} \gamma}{\lambda_{3} \sigma} q(z)+\left(\frac{\gamma}{\sigma}-2\right) \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \gamma \in C, \lambda_{3}, \sigma \in C \backslash\{0\}$.
Suppose that $z(q(z))^{\frac{\gamma}{\sigma}-2} q^{\prime}(z)$ is starlike univalent in $U$.IF $f \in A, \frac{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+1}(f * \Phi)(z)}{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m}(f * \Psi)(z)} \neq 0, z \in U$, satisfies the differential subordination

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{1}\left(f, \Phi, \Psi, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \gamma, \sigma, \alpha, \beta ; z\right) \prec(q(z))^{\gamma}\left(\lambda_{1}+\frac{\lambda_{2}}{q(z)}+\lambda_{3} \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{(q(z))^{2}}\right)^{\sigma} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
N_{1}\left(f, \Phi, \Psi, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \gamma, \sigma, \alpha, \beta ; z\right)=\left(\frac{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+1}(f * \Phi)(z)}{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m}(f * \Psi)(z)}\right)^{\gamma} \times \\
\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2} \frac{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m}(f * \Psi)(z)}{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+1}(f * \Phi)(z)}+\frac{\lambda_{3}(\alpha+\beta)}{\beta} \frac{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m}(f * \Psi)(z)}{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+1}(f * \Phi)(z)}\left(\frac{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+2}(f * \Phi)(z)}{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+1}(f * \Phi)(z)}-\frac{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+1}(f * \Psi)(z)}{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m}(f * \Psi)(z)}\right)\right)^{\sigma}, \tag{3.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

$\beta>0$, then

$$
\frac{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+1}(f * \Phi)(z)}{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m}(f * \Psi)(z)} \prec q(z)
$$

and $q$ is the best dominant.
Proof. Define the function $p$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=\frac{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+1}(f * \Phi)(z)}{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m}(f * \Psi)(z)}, \quad z \in U \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{align*}
& (p(z))^{\gamma}\left(\lambda_{1}+\frac{\lambda_{2}}{p(z)}+\lambda_{3} \frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{(p(z))^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}=\left(\frac{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+1}(f * \Phi)(z)}{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m}(f * \Psi)(z)}\right)^{\gamma} \times \\
& \left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2} \frac{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m}(f * \Psi)(z)}{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+1}(f * \Phi)(z)}+\frac{\lambda_{3}(\alpha+\beta)}{\beta} \frac{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m}(f * \Psi)(z)}{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+1}(f * \Phi)(z)}\left(\frac{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+2}(f * \Phi)(z)}{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+1}(f * \Phi)(z)}-\frac{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+1}(f * \Psi)(z)}{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m}(f * \Psi)(z)}\right)^{m}\right. \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.2) and (3.5), we have $(p(z))^{\gamma}\left(\lambda_{1}+\frac{\lambda_{2}}{p(z)}+\lambda_{3} \frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{(p(z))^{2}}\right)^{\sigma} \prec(q(z))^{\gamma}\left(\lambda_{1}+\frac{\lambda_{2}}{q(z)}+\lambda_{3} \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{(q(z))^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}$. This equivalently to $(p(z))^{\frac{\gamma}{\sigma}}\left(\lambda_{1}+\frac{\lambda_{2}}{p(z)}+\lambda_{3} \frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{(p(z))^{2}}\right) \prec(q(z))^{\frac{\gamma}{\sigma}}\left(\lambda_{1}+\frac{\lambda_{2}}{q(z)}+\lambda_{3} \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{(q(z))^{2}}\right)$.

By setting $\theta(w)=\left(\lambda_{1} w+\lambda_{2}\right) w^{\frac{\gamma}{\sigma}-1}$ and $\phi(w)=\lambda_{3} w^{\frac{\gamma}{\sigma}-2}$, we see that $\theta(w)$ and $\phi(w)$ are analytic in $C \backslash\{0\}$ and that $\phi(w) \neq 0, w \in C \backslash\{0\}$.Also, we get $Q(z)=z q^{\prime}(z) \phi(q(z))=$ $\lambda_{3} z(q(z))^{\frac{\gamma}{\sigma}-2} q^{\prime}(z)$ and $h(z)=\theta(q(z))+Q(z)=(q(z))^{\frac{\gamma}{\sigma}}\left(\lambda_{1}+\frac{\lambda_{2}}{q(z)}+\lambda_{3} \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{(q(z))^{2}}\right)$.

It is clear that $Q(z)$ is starlike univalent in $U$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}\right\}=\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{\lambda_{2}(\gamma-\sigma)}{\lambda_{3} \sigma}+\frac{\lambda_{1} \gamma}{\lambda_{3} \sigma} q(z)+\left(\frac{\gamma}{\sigma}-2\right) \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}\right\} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.1)and (3.6), we have $\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}\right\}>0$. Therefore by Lemma 2.1, we get $p(z) \prec q(z)$. By using (3.4), we obtain the result .

By taking $\beta=1$ and $\alpha>-1$ in Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following Corollary for the operator $I_{\alpha}^{m}[6]$.

Corollary 3.2. Let $\Phi, \Psi \in A$ and $q$ be univalent in $U$ with $q(z) \neq 0, q(0)=1$ and assume that (3.1) holds true. Suppose that $z(q(z))^{\frac{\gamma}{\sigma}-2} q^{\prime}(z)$ is starlike univalent in $U$.If $f \in A, \frac{I_{\alpha}^{m+1}(f * \Phi)(z)}{I_{\alpha}^{m}(f * \Psi)(z)} \neq 0, z \in U$,satisfies the differential subordination

$$
N_{2}\left(f, \Phi, \Psi, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \gamma, \sigma, \alpha ; z\right) \prec(q(z))^{\gamma}\left(\lambda_{1}+\frac{\lambda_{2}}{q(z)}+\lambda_{3} \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{(q(z))^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
N_{2}\left(f, \Phi, \Psi, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \gamma, \sigma, \alpha ; z\right)=\left(\frac{I_{\alpha}^{m+1}(f * \Phi)(z)}{I_{\alpha}^{m}(f * \Psi)(z)}\right)^{\gamma} \times \\
\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2} \frac{I_{\alpha}^{m}(f * \Psi)(z)}{I_{\alpha}^{m+1}(f * \Phi)(z)}+\lambda_{3}(\alpha+1) \frac{I_{\alpha}^{m}(f * \Psi)(z)}{I_{\alpha}^{m+1}(f * \Phi)(z)}\left(\frac{I_{\alpha}^{m+2}(f * \Phi)(z)}{I_{\alpha}^{m+1}(f * \Phi)(z)}-\frac{I_{\alpha}^{m+1}(f * \Psi)(z)}{I_{\alpha}^{m}(f * \Psi)(z)}\right)^{\sigma}\right. \tag{3.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

then

$$
\frac{I_{\alpha}^{m+1}(f * \Phi)(z)}{I_{\alpha}^{m}(f * \Psi)(z)} \prec q(z)
$$

and $q$ is the best dominant.
By taking $\alpha=1-\beta$ and $\beta>0$ in Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following Corollary for generalized Salagean operator $D_{\beta}^{m}[2]$.

Corollary 3.3. Let $\Phi, \Psi \in A$ and $q$ be univalent in $U$ with $q(z) \neq 0, q(0)=1$ and assume that (3.1) holds true. Suppose that $z(q(z))^{\frac{\gamma}{\sigma}-2} q^{\prime}(z)$ is starlike univalent in $U$.If $f \in A, \frac{D_{\beta}^{m+1}(f * \Phi)(z)}{D_{\beta}^{m}(f * \Psi)(z)} \neq 0, z \in U$, satisfies the differential subordination

$$
N_{3}\left(f, \Phi, \Psi, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \gamma, \sigma, \beta ; z\right) \prec(q(z))^{\gamma}\left(\lambda_{1}+\frac{\lambda_{2}}{q(z)}+\lambda_{3} \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{(q(z))^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}
$$

where

$$
N_{3}\left(f, \Phi, \Psi, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \gamma, \sigma, \beta ; z\right)=\left(\frac{D_{\beta}^{m+1}(f * \Phi)(z)}{D_{\beta}^{m}(f * \Psi)(z)}\right)^{\gamma}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\times\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2} \frac{D_{\beta}^{m}(f * \Psi)(z)}{D_{\beta}^{m+1}(f * \Phi)(z)}+\frac{\lambda_{3}}{\beta} \frac{D_{\beta}^{m}(f * \Psi)(z)}{D_{\beta}^{m+1}(f * \Phi)(z)}\left(\frac{D_{\beta}^{m+2}(f * \Phi)(z)}{D_{\beta}^{m+1}(f * \Phi)(z)}-\frac{D_{\beta}^{m+1}(f * \Psi)(z)}{D_{\beta}^{m}(f * \Psi)(z)}\right)^{\sigma}\right. \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\frac{D_{\beta}^{m+1}(f * \Phi)(z)}{D_{\beta}^{m}(f * \Psi)(z)} \prec q(z)
$$

and $q$ is the best dominant.
By fixing $\Phi(z)=\Psi(z)=\frac{z}{1-z}$ in Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following Corollary:
Corollary 3.4. Let $q$ be univalent in $U$ with $q(z) \neq 0, q(0)=1$ and assume that (3.1) holds true. Suppose that $z(q(z))^{\frac{\gamma}{\sigma}-2} q^{\prime}(z)$ is starlike univalent in $U$.If $f \in A, \frac{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+1}(f)(z)}{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m}(f)(z)} \neq$ $0, z \in U$,satisfies the differential subordination

$$
N_{4}\left(f, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \gamma, \sigma, \alpha, \beta ; z\right) \prec(q(z))^{\gamma}\left(\lambda_{1}+\frac{\lambda_{2}}{q(z)}+\lambda_{3} \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{(q(z))^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { where } \\
& \qquad N_{4}\left(f, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \gamma, \sigma, \alpha, \beta ; z\right)=\left(\frac{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+1}(f)(z)}{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m}(f)(z)}\right)^{\gamma} \\
& \times\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2} \frac{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m}(f)(z)}{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+1}(f)(z)}+\frac{\lambda_{3}(\alpha+\beta)}{\beta} \frac{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m}(f)(z)}{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+1}(f)(z)}\left(\frac{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+2}(f)(z)}{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+1}(f)(z)}-\frac{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+1}(f)(z)}{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m}(f)(z)}\right)\right)^{\sigma}, \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

then

$$
\frac{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+1}(f)(z)}{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m}(f)(z)} \prec q(z)
$$

and $q$ is the best dominant.

## 4. Superordination Results

Theorem 4.1. Let $\Phi, \Psi \in A$ and $q$ be convex univalent in $U$ with $q(z) \neq 0, q(0)=1$ and assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\lambda_{2}(\gamma-\sigma)}{\lambda_{3} \sigma}+\frac{\lambda_{1} \gamma}{\lambda_{3} \sigma} q(z)\right\}>0 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

suppose that $z(q(z))^{\frac{\gamma}{\sigma}-2} q^{\prime}(z)$ is starlike univalent in $U$.If $f \in A, \frac{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+1}(f * \Phi)(z)}{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m}(f * \Psi)(z)} \in H[q(0), 1] \cap$ $Q$ with $\frac{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+1}(f * \Phi)(z)}{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m}(f * \Psi)(z)} \neq 0, z \in U$ and $N_{1}\left(f, \Phi, \Psi, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \gamma, \sigma, \alpha, \beta ; z\right)$ be univalent in $U$ , where $N_{1}\left(f, \Phi, \Psi, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \gamma, \sigma, \alpha, \beta ; z\right)$ is given by (3.3).If

$$
\begin{equation*}
(q(z))^{\gamma}\left(\lambda_{1}+\frac{\lambda_{2}}{q(z)}+\lambda_{3} \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{(q(z))^{2}}\right)^{\sigma} \prec N_{1}\left(f, \Phi, \Psi, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \gamma, \sigma, \alpha, \beta ; z\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
q(z) \prec \frac{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+1}(f * \Phi)(z)}{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m}(f * \Psi)(z)}
$$

and $q$ is the best subordinate.
Proof. Define the function $p$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=\frac{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+1}(f * \Phi)(z)}{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m}(f * \Psi)(z)} \quad z \in U \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Simple computation from (4.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
(p(z))^{\gamma}\left(\lambda_{1}+\frac{\lambda_{2}}{p(z)}+\lambda_{3} \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{(p(z))^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}=N_{1}\left(f, \Phi, \Psi, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \gamma, \sigma, \alpha, \beta ; z\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.2) and (4.4), we have $(q(z))^{\gamma}\left(\lambda_{1}+\frac{\lambda_{2}}{q(z)}+\lambda_{3} \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{(q(z))^{2}}\right)^{\sigma} \prec(p(z))^{\gamma}\left(\lambda_{1}+\frac{\lambda_{2}}{p(z)}+\lambda_{3} \frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{(p(z))^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}$. This equivalent to $(q(z))^{\frac{\gamma}{\sigma}}\left(\lambda_{1}+\frac{\lambda_{2}}{q(z)}+\lambda_{3} \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{(q(z))^{2}}\right) \prec(p(z))^{\frac{\gamma}{\sigma}}\left(\lambda_{1}+\frac{\lambda_{2}}{p(z)}+\lambda_{3} \frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{(p(z))^{2}}\right)$.

By setting $\theta(w)=\left(\lambda_{1} w+\lambda_{2}\right) w^{\frac{\gamma}{\sigma}-1}$ and $\phi(w)=\lambda_{3} w^{\frac{\gamma}{\sigma}-2}$, we see that $\theta(w)$ and $\phi(w)$ are analytic in $C \backslash\{0\}$ and that $\phi(w) \neq 0, w \in C \backslash\{0\}$. Also, we get $Q(z)=z q^{\prime}(z) \phi(q(z))=$ $\lambda_{3} z(q(z))^{\frac{\gamma}{\sigma}-2} q^{\prime}(z)$.

It is clear that $Q(z)$ is starlike univalent in $U$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\theta^{\prime}(q(z))}{\phi(q(z))}\right\}=\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\lambda_{2}(\gamma-\sigma)}{\lambda_{3} \sigma}+\frac{\lambda_{1} \gamma}{\lambda_{3} \sigma} q(z)\right\} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.1)and (4.5), we have $\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\theta^{\prime}(q(z))}{\phi(q(z))}\right\}>0$. Therefore by Lemma 2.2, we get $q(z) \prec p(z)$. By using (4.3), we obtain the result .

By taking $\beta=1$ and $\alpha>-1$ in Theorem 4.1, we obtain the following Corollary:
Corollary 4.2. Let $\Phi, \Psi \in A$ and $q$ be convex univalent in $U$ with $q(z) \neq 0, q(0)=1$ and assume that (4.1) holds true.suppose that $z(q(z))^{\frac{\gamma}{\sigma}-2} q^{\prime}(z)$ is starlike univalent in $U$. If $f \in$ $A, \frac{I_{\alpha}^{m+1}(f * \Phi)(z)}{I_{\alpha}^{m}(f * \Psi)(z)} \in H[q(0), 1] \cap Q$ with $\frac{I_{\alpha}^{m+1}(f * \Phi)(z)}{I_{\alpha}^{m}(f * \Psi)(z)} \neq 0, z \in U$ and $N_{2}\left(f, \Phi, \Psi, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \gamma, \sigma, \alpha ; z\right)$ be univalent in $U$, where $N_{2}\left(f, \Phi, \Psi, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \gamma, \sigma, \alpha ; z\right)$ is given by (3.7).If

$$
(q(z))^{\gamma}\left(\lambda_{1}+\frac{\lambda_{2}}{q(z)}+\lambda_{3} \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{(q(z))^{2}}\right)^{\sigma} \prec N_{2}\left(f, \Phi, \Psi, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \gamma, \sigma, \alpha ; z\right)
$$

then

$$
q(z) \prec \frac{I_{\alpha}^{m+1}(f * \Phi)(z)}{I_{\alpha}^{m}(f * \Psi)(z)}
$$

and $q$ is the best subordinate.
By taking $\alpha=1-\beta$ and $\beta>0$ in Theorem 4.1, we obtain the following Corollary:
Corollary 4.3. Let $\Phi, \Psi \in A$ and $q$ be convex univalent in $U$ with $q(z) \neq 0, q(0)=1$ and assume that (18) holds true.suppose that $z(q(z))^{\frac{\gamma}{\sigma}-2} q^{\prime}(z)$ is starlike univalent in $U$. If $f \in$ $A, \frac{D_{\beta}^{m+1}(f * \Phi)(z)}{D_{\beta}^{m}(f * \Psi)(z)} \in H[q(0), 1] \cap Q$ with $\frac{D_{\beta}^{m+1}(f * \Phi)(z)}{D_{\beta}^{m}(f * \Psi)(z)} \neq 0, z \in U$ and $N_{3}\left(f, \Phi, \Psi, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \gamma, \sigma, \beta ; z\right)$ be univalent in $U$, where $N_{3}\left(f, \Phi, \Psi, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \gamma, \sigma, \beta ; z\right)$ is given by (3.8).If

$$
(q(z))^{\gamma}\left(\lambda_{1}+\frac{\lambda_{2}}{q(z)}+\lambda_{3} \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{(q(z))^{2}}\right)^{\sigma} \prec N_{3}\left(f, \Phi, \Psi, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \gamma, \sigma, \beta ; z\right)
$$

then

$$
q(z) \prec \frac{D_{\beta}^{m+1}(f * \Phi)(z)}{D_{\beta}^{m}(f * \Psi)(z)}
$$

and $q$ is the best subordinate.
By fixing $\Phi(z)=\Psi(z)=\frac{z}{1-z}$ in Theorem 4.1, we obtain the following Corollary:
Corollary 4.4. Let $q$ be convex univalent in $U$ with $q(z) \neq 0, q(0)=1$ and assume that (4.1) holds true.suppose that $z(q(z))^{\frac{\gamma}{\sigma}-2} q^{\prime}(z)$ is starlike univalent in $U$.If $f \in A, \frac{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+1} f(z)}{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m} f(z)} \in$ $H[q(0), 1] \cap Q$ with $\frac{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+1} f(z)}{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m} f(z)} \neq 0, z \in U$ and $N_{4}\left(f, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \gamma, \sigma, \alpha, \beta ; z\right)$ be univalent in $U$ ,where $N_{4}\left(f, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \gamma, \sigma, \alpha, \beta ; z\right)$ is given by (3.9).If

$$
(q(z))^{\gamma}\left(\lambda_{1}+\frac{\lambda_{2}}{q(z)}+\lambda_{3} \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{(q(z))^{2}}\right)^{\sigma} \prec N_{4}\left(f, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \gamma, \sigma, \alpha, \beta ; z\right)
$$

then

$$
q(z) \prec \frac{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+1} f(z)}{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m} f(z)}
$$

and $q$ is the best subordinate.

## 5. Sandwich Results

Theorem 5.1. Let $\Phi, \Psi \in A$.Let $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ be convex univalent in $U$ with $q(z) \neq 0, q_{1}(0)=$ $q_{2}(0)=1$.suppose $q_{2}$ satisfies (3.1) and $q_{1}$ satisfies (4.1). Let $f \in A, \frac{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+1}(f * \Phi)(z)}{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m}(f * \Psi)(z)} \in H[1,1] \cap$ $Q$ with $\frac{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+1}(f * \Phi)(z)}{I_{\alpha \beta}^{m}(f * \Psi)(z)} \neq 0, z \in U$ and $N_{1}\left(f, \Phi, \Psi, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \gamma, \sigma, \alpha, \beta ; z\right)$ be univalent in $U$ , where $N_{1}\left(f, \Phi, \Psi, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \gamma, \sigma, \alpha, \beta ; z\right)$ is given by (3.3). If

$$
\left(q_{1}(z)\right)^{\gamma}\left(\lambda_{1}+\frac{\lambda_{2}}{q_{1}(z)}+\lambda_{3} \frac{z q_{1}^{\prime}(z)}{\left(q_{1}(z)\right)^{2}}\right)^{\sigma} \prec N_{1}\left(f, \Phi, \Psi, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \gamma, \sigma, \alpha, \beta ; z\right)
$$

$$
\prec\left(q_{2}(z)\right)^{\gamma}\left(\lambda_{1}+\frac{\lambda_{2}}{q_{2}(z)}+\lambda_{3} \frac{z q_{2}^{\prime}(z)}{\left(q_{2}(z)\right)^{2}}\right)^{\sigma},
$$

then

$$
q_{1}(z) \prec \frac{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+1}(f * \Phi)(z)}{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m}(f * \Psi)(z)} \prec q_{2}(z),
$$

and $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ are, respectively, the best subordinate and the best dominant.
By making use of corollaries 3.2 and 4.2, we obtain the following Corollary:
Corollary 5.2. Let $\Phi, \Psi \in A$. Let $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ be convex univalent in $U$ with $q(z) \neq 0, q_{1}(0)=$ $q_{2}(0)=1$. Suppose $q_{2}$ satisfies (3.1) and $q_{1}$ satisfies (4.1). Let $f \in A, \frac{I_{\alpha}^{m+1}(f * \Phi)(z)}{I_{\alpha}^{m}(f * \Psi)(z)} \in$ $H[1,1] \cap Q w i t h \frac{I_{\alpha}^{m+1}(f * \Phi)(z)}{I_{\alpha}^{m}(f * \Psi)(z)} \neq 0, z \in U$ and $N_{2}\left(f, \Phi, \Psi, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \gamma, \sigma, \alpha ; z\right)$ be univalent in $U$, where $N_{2}\left(f, \Phi, \Psi, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \gamma, \sigma, \alpha ; z\right)$ is given by (3.7).If

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(q_{1}(z)\right)^{\gamma}\left(\lambda_{1}+\right. & \left.\frac{\lambda_{2}}{q_{1}(z)}+\lambda_{3} \frac{z q_{1}^{\prime}(z)}{\left(q_{1}(z)\right)^{2}}\right)^{\sigma} \prec N_{2}\left(f, \Phi, \Psi, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \gamma, \sigma, \alpha ; z\right), \\
& \prec\left(q_{2}(z)\right)^{\gamma}\left(\lambda_{1}+\frac{\lambda_{2}}{q_{2}(z)}+\lambda_{3} \frac{z q_{2}^{\prime}(z)}{\left(q_{2}(z)\right)^{2}}\right)^{\sigma},
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
q_{1}(z) \prec \frac{I_{\alpha}^{m+1}(f * \Phi)(z)}{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m}(f * \Psi)(z)} \prec q_{2}(z),
$$

and $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ are, respectively, the best subordinate and the best dominant.
By making use of corollaries 3.4 and 4.4, we obtain the following Corollary:
Corollary 5.3. $\operatorname{Let} \Phi, \Psi \in$ A.Let $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ be convex univalent in $U$ with $q(z) \neq 0, q_{1}(0)=$ $q_{2}(0)=1$. Suppose $q_{2}$ satisfies (3.1) and $q_{1}$ satisfies (4.1). Let $f \in A, \frac{D_{\beta}^{m+1}(f * \Phi)(z)}{D_{\beta}^{m}(f * \Psi)(z)} \in$ $H[1,1] \cap Q$ with $\frac{D_{\beta}^{m+1}(f * \Phi)(z)}{D_{\beta}^{m}(f * \Psi)(z)} \neq 0, z \in U$ and $N_{3}\left(f, \Phi, \Psi, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \gamma, \sigma, \beta ; z\right)$ be univalent in $U$, where $N_{3}\left(f, \Phi, \Psi, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \gamma, \sigma, \beta ; z\right)$ is given by (3.8).If

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(q_{1}(z)\right)^{\gamma}\left(\lambda_{1}+\frac{\lambda_{2}}{q_{1}(z)}+\lambda_{3} \frac{z q_{1}^{\prime}(z)}{\left(q_{1}(z)\right)^{2}}\right)^{\sigma} \prec N_{3}\left(f, \Phi, \Psi, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \gamma, \sigma, \beta ; z\right) \\
\\
\prec\left(q_{2}(z)\right)^{\gamma}\left(\lambda_{1}+\frac{\lambda_{2}}{q_{2}(z)}+\lambda_{3} \frac{z q_{2}^{\prime}(z)}{\left(q_{2}(z)\right)^{2}}\right)^{\sigma},
\end{gathered}
$$

then

$$
q_{1}(z) \prec \frac{D_{\beta}^{m+1}(f * \Phi)(z)}{D_{\beta}^{m}(f * \Psi)(z)} \prec q_{2}(z),
$$

and $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ are, respectively, the best subordinate and the best dominant.
By making use of corollaries 3.4 and 4.4, we obtain the following Corollary:
Corollary 5.4. Let $\Phi, \Psi \in$.Let $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ be convex univalent in $U$ with $q(z) \neq$ $0, q_{1}(0)=q_{2}(0)=1$. Suppose $q_{2}$ satisfies (3.1) and $q_{1}$ satisfies (4.1). Let $f \in A, \frac{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+1}(f)(z)}{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m}(f)(z)} \in$ $H[1,1] \cap Q$ with $\frac{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+1}(f)(z)}{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m}(f)(z)} \neq 0, z \in U$ and $N_{4}\left(f, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \gamma, \sigma, \alpha, \beta ; z\right)$ be univalent in $U$ , where $N_{4}\left(f, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \gamma, \sigma, \alpha, \beta ; z\right)$ is given by (3.9). If

$$
\left(q_{1}(z)\right)^{\gamma}\left(\lambda_{1}+\frac{\lambda_{2}}{q_{1}(z)}+\lambda_{3} \frac{z q_{1}^{\prime}(z)}{\left(q_{1}(z)\right)^{2}}\right)^{\sigma} \prec N_{4}\left(f, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3} \gamma, \sigma, \alpha, \beta ; z\right),
$$

$$
\prec\left(q_{2}(z)\right)^{\gamma}\left(\lambda_{1}+\frac{\lambda_{2}}{q_{2}(z)}+\lambda_{3} \frac{z q_{2}^{\prime}(z)}{\left(q_{2}(z)\right)^{2}}\right)^{\sigma},
$$

then

$$
q_{1}(z) \prec \frac{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+1} f(z)}{I_{\alpha, \beta}^{m} f(z)} \prec q_{2}(z)
$$

and $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ are, respectively, the best subordinate and the best dominant.
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