

Differential Subordinations for Certain Class of Symmetric Functions

Abbas Kareem Wanas

Department of Mathematics

College of Computer Science and Mathematics

University of Al-Qadisiya

Diwaniya – Iraq

E-Mail: abbas.alshareefi@yahoo.com , abbaskareem.w@gmail.com

Abstract

The object of this paper is to introduce and study a new class $L_m(\eta; h)$ of symmetric analytic functions in the open unit disk. Also we obtain some results for this class.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45, 30C50.

Keywords: Analytic function, Symmetric function, Hadamard product, Convex univalent, Subordination.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let A_m denote the class of functions f of the form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (m \in \mathbb{N} = \{1,2,3, \dots\}), \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C}: |z| < 1\}$.

For two functions f and g analytic in U , we say that the function f is subordinate to g , written $f < g$ or $f(z) < g(z)$ ($z \in U$), if there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$ analytic in U with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ ($z \in U$) such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$. In particular, if the function g is univalent in U , then $f < g$ if and only if $f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(U) \subset g(U)$.

The Hadamard product (or convolution) $(f_1 * f_2)(z)$ of two functions

$$f_j(z) = z + \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} a_{n,j} z^n \in A_m \quad (j = 1,2)$$

is given by

$$(f_1 * f_2)(z) = z + \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} a_{n,1} a_{n,2} z^n .$$

A function $f \in A_m$ is said to be starlike of order α in U if and only if

$$Re \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (0 \leq \alpha < 1 ; z \in U).$$

Denote the class of all starlike functions of order α in U by $S^*(\alpha)$.

A function $f \in A_m$ is said to be prestarlike of order α in U if

$$\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2(1-\alpha)}} * f(z) \in S^*(\alpha) \quad (\alpha < 1).$$

Denote the class of all prestarlike functions of order α in U by $\mathfrak{R}(\alpha)$.

Clearly a function $f \in A_m$ is in the class $\mathfrak{R}(0)$ if and only if f is convex univalent in U and $\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = S^*\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$.

Let H be the class of functions h with $h(0) = 1$, which are analytic and convex univalent in U .

Definition 1.1. A function $f \in A_m$ is said to be in the class $L_m(\eta; h)$ if it satisfies the subordination condition:

$$(1 - \eta) \left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right) + \eta \left(\frac{f'(z) - f'(-z)}{2} \right) < h(z), \quad (1.2)$$

where $\eta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $h \in H$.

We need the following Lemmas in order to derive our main results for the class $L_m(\eta; h)$.

Lemma 1.2 [3]. Let g be analytic in U and let h be analytic and convex univalent in U with $h(0) = g(0)$. If

$$g(z) + \frac{1}{\mu} z g'(z) < h(z), \quad (1.3)$$

where $Re \mu \geq 0$ and $\mu \neq 0$, then

$$g(z) < \tilde{h}(z) = \mu z^{-\mu} \int_0^z t^{\mu-1} h(t) dt < h(z)$$

and $\tilde{h}(z)$ is the best dominant of (1.3).

Lemma 1.3 [5]. Let $\alpha < 1, f \in S^*(\alpha)$ and $g \in \mathfrak{R}(\alpha)$. Then, for any analytic function F in U ,

$$\frac{g * (fF)}{g * f}(U) \subset \overline{co}(F(U)),$$

where $\overline{co}(F(U))$ denotes the closed convex hull of $F(U)$.

Such type of study was carried out by various authors for another classes, like, Liu [1,2], Prajapat and Raina [4] and Yang et. al. [6].

2. Main Results

Theorem 2.1. Let $0 \leq \eta < \xi$. Then $L_m(\xi; h) \subset L_m(\eta; h)$.

Proof. Let $0 \leq \eta < \xi$ and $f \in L_m(\xi; h)$.

Suppose that

$$g(z) = \frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z}. \tag{2.1}$$

Then, the function g is analytic in U with $g(0) = 1$.

Since $f \in L_m(\xi; h)$, then we have

$$(1 - \xi) \left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right) + \xi \left(\frac{f'(z) - f'(-z)}{2} \right) < h(z), \tag{2.2}$$

From (2.1) and (2.2), we get

$$(1 - \xi) \left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right) + \xi \left(\frac{f'(z) - f'(-z)}{2} \right) = g(z) + \xi z g'(z) < h(z). \tag{2.3}$$

An application of Lemma 1.2, we obtain

$$g(z) < h(z). \tag{2.4}$$

Noting that $0 \leq \frac{\eta}{\xi} < 1$ and that h is convex univalent in U , it follows from (2.1), (2.3) and (2.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \eta) \left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right) + \eta \left(\frac{f'(z) - f'(-z)}{2} \right) \\ &= \frac{\eta}{\xi} \left((1 - \xi) \left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right) + \xi \left(\frac{f'(z) - f'(-z)}{2} \right) \right) + \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{\xi} \right) g(z) < h(z). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $L_m(\eta; h)$ and we obtain the result.

Theorem 2.2. Let $\eta > 0, \delta > 0$ and $f \in L_m(\eta; \delta h + 1 - \delta)$. If $\delta \leq \delta_0$, where

$$\delta_0 = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\eta} \int_0^1 \frac{u^{\frac{1}{\eta}-1}}{1+u} du \right)^{-1}, \tag{2.5}$$

then $f \in L_m(0; h)$. The bound δ_0 is the sharp when $h(z) = \frac{1}{1-z}$.

Proof. Suppose that

$$g(z) = \frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z}. \tag{2.6}$$

Let $f \in L_m(\eta; \delta h + 1 - \delta)$ with $\eta > 0$ and $\delta > 0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(z) + \eta z g'(z) &= (1 - \eta) \left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right) + \eta \left(\frac{f'(z) - f'(-z)}{2} \right) \\ &< \delta h(z) + 1 - \delta. \end{aligned}$$

An application of Lemma 1.2, we obtain

$$g(z) < \frac{\delta}{\eta} z^{-\frac{1}{\eta}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{1}{\eta}-1} h(t) dt + 1 - \delta = (h * \phi)(z), \tag{2.7}$$

where

$$\phi(z) = \frac{\delta}{\eta} z^{-\frac{1}{\eta}} \int_0^z \frac{t^{\frac{1}{\eta}-1}}{1-t} dt + 1 - \delta. \tag{2.8}$$

If $0 < \delta \leq \delta_0$, where $\delta_0 > 1$ is given by (2.5), then it follows from (2.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(\phi(z)) &= \frac{\delta}{\eta} \int_0^1 u^{\frac{1}{\eta}-1} \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1}{1-uz} \right) du + 1 - \delta > \frac{\delta}{\eta} \int_0^1 \frac{u^{\frac{1}{\eta}-1}}{1+u} du + 1 - \delta \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by using the Herglotz representation for $\phi(z)$, from (2.6) and (2.7), we get

$$\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} < (h * \phi)(z) < h(z).$$

Since h is convex univalent in U , then $f \in L_m(0; h)$.

For $h(z) = \frac{1}{1-z}$ and $f \in A_m$ defined by

$$\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} = \frac{\delta}{\eta} z^{-\frac{1}{\eta}} \int_0^z \frac{t^{\frac{1}{\eta}-1}}{1-t} dt + 1 - \delta,$$

we have

$$(1 - \eta) \left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right) + \eta \left(\frac{f'(z) - f'(-z)}{2} \right) = \delta h(z) + 1 - \delta.$$

Thus, $f \in L_m(\eta; \delta h + 1 - \delta)$.

Also, for $\delta > \delta_0$, we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right) \rightarrow \frac{\delta}{\eta} \int_0^1 \frac{u^{\frac{1}{\eta}-1}}{1+u} du + 1 - \delta < \frac{1}{2} \quad (z \rightarrow 1),$$

which implies that $f \notin L_m(0; h)$. Therefore, the bound δ_0 cannot be increased when $h(z) = \frac{1}{1-z}$ and this completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 2.3. Let $f \in L_m(\eta; h), g \in A_m$ and

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{g(z)}{z} \right\} > \frac{1}{2}. \tag{2.9}$$

Then

$$f * g \in L_m(\eta; h).$$

Proof. Let $f \in L_m(\eta; h)$ and $g \in A_m$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \eta) \left(\frac{(f * g)(z) - (f * g)(-z)}{2z} \right) + \eta \left(\frac{(f * g)'(z) - (f * g)'(-z)}{2} \right) \\ &= (1 - \eta) \left(\frac{g(z)}{z} \right) * \left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right) + \eta \left(\frac{g(z)}{z} \right) * \left(\frac{f'(z) - f'(-z)}{2} \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{g(z)}{z} \right) * \psi(z), \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

where

$$\psi(z) = (1 - \eta) \left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right) + \eta \left(\frac{f'(z) - f'(-z)}{2} \right) < h(z). \tag{2.11}$$

From (2.9), note that the function $\frac{g(z)}{z}$ has the Herglotz representation

$$\frac{g(z)}{z} = \int_{|x|=1} \frac{d\mu(x)}{1 - xz} \quad (z \in U), \tag{2.12}$$

where $\mu(x)$ is a probability measure defined on the unit circle $|x| = 1$ and

$$\int_{|x|=1} d\mu(x) = 1.$$

Since h is convex univalent in U , it follows from (2.10) to (2.12) that

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \eta) \left(\frac{(f * g)(z) - (f * g)(-z)}{2z} \right) + \eta \left(\frac{(f * g)'(z) - (f * g)'(-z)}{2} \right) \\ &= \int_{|x|=1} \psi(xz) d\mu(x) < h(z). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $f * g \in L_m(\eta; h)$.

Theorem 2.4. Let $f \in L_m(\eta; h)$ and let $g \in A_m$ be prestarlike of order α ($\alpha < 1$). Then

$$f * g \in L_m(\eta; h).$$

Proof. Let $f \in L_m(\eta; h)$ and $g \in A_m$. Then, we have

$$(1 - \eta) \left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right) + \eta \left(\frac{f'(z) - f'(-z)}{2} \right) < h(z). \quad (2.13)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \eta) \left(\frac{(f * g)(z) - (f * g)(-z)}{2z} \right) + \eta \left(\frac{(f * g)'(z) - (f * g)'(-z)}{2} \right) \\ &= (1 - \eta) \left(\frac{g(z)}{z} \right) * \left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right) + \eta \left(\frac{g(z)}{z} \right) * \left(\frac{f'(z) - f'(-z)}{2} \right) \\ &= \frac{g(z) * (z\psi(z))}{g(z) * z} \quad (z \in U), \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

where $\psi(z)$ is defined as in (2.11).

Since h is convex univalent in U , $\psi(z) < h(z)$, $g(z) \in \mathfrak{R}(\alpha)$ and $z \in S^*(\alpha)$, ($\alpha < 1$), it follows from (2.14) and Lemma 1.3, we obtain the result.

Theorem 2.5. Let $f \in L_m(\eta; h)$ be defined as in (1.1). Then

$$k(z) = \frac{c + 1}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t) dt, \quad (Re(c) > -1)$$

is also in the class $L_m(\eta; h)$.

Proof. Let $f \in L_m(\eta; h)$ be defined as in (1.1). Then, we have

$$(1 - \eta) \left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right) + \eta \left(\frac{f'(z) - f'(-z)}{2} \right) < h(z). \quad (2.15)$$

Note that

$$k(z) = \frac{c + 1}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t) dt = z + \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{c + 1}{c + n} a_n z^n. \quad (2.16)$$

We find from (2.16) that $k \in A_m$ and

$$f(z) = \frac{ck(z) + zk'(z)}{c + 1}. \quad (2.17)$$

Define the function p by

$$p(z) = (1 - \eta) \left(\frac{k(z) - k(-z)}{2z} \right) + \eta \left(\frac{k'(z) - k'(-z)}{2} \right). \quad (2.18)$$

By using (2.17) and (2.18), we get

$$\begin{aligned} p(z) + \frac{1}{c+1} zp'(z) &= \frac{c}{c+1} p(z) + \frac{1}{c+1} (zp'(z) + p(z)) \\ &= (1 - \eta) \left(\frac{(ck(z) + zk'(z)) - (ck(-z) + zk'(-z))}{2z(c+1)} \right) \\ &\quad + \eta \left(\frac{(ck(z) + zk'(z))' - (ck(-z) + zk'(-z))'}{2(c+1)} \right) \\ &= (1 - \eta) \left(\frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2z} \right) + \eta \left(\frac{f'(z) - f'(-z)}{2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

From (2.15) and (2.19), we arrive at

$$p(z) + \frac{1}{c+1} zp'(z) < h(z), \quad (Re(c) > -1).$$

An application of Lemma 1.2, we obtain $p(z) < h(z)$. By (2.18), we get

$$(1 - \eta) \left(\frac{k(z) - k(-z)}{2z} \right) + \eta \left(\frac{k'(z) - k'(-z)}{2} \right) < h(z).$$

Therefore, $k \in L_m(\eta; h)$.

References

- [1] J. L. Liu, Certain convolution properties of multivalent analytic functions associated with a linear operator, *General Mathematics*, 17(2)(2009), 41-52.
- [2] J. L. Liu, On a class of multivalent analytic functions associated with an integral operator, *Bulletin of the Institute of Mathematics*, 5(1)(2010), 95-110.
- [3] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Differential subordinations and univalent functions, *Michigan Math. J.*, 28(1981), 157-171.
- [4] J. K. Prajapat and R. K. Raina, Some applications of differential subordination for a general class of multivalently analytic functions involving a convolution structure, *Math. J. Okayama Univ.*, 52(2010), 147-158.
- [5] S. Ruscheweyh, *Convolutions in Geometric Function Theory*, Les Presses de l'Université de Montréal, Montréal, 1982.
- [6] Y. Yang, Y. Tao and J. L. Liu, Differential subordinations for certain meromorphically multivalent functions defined by Dziok-Srivastava operator, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, Art. ID 726518, 9 pages, 2011.