

Journal homepage: http://www.journalijar.com

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCED RESEARCH

RESEARCH ARTICLE

SOME RESULTS OF RIESZ REPRESENTATION FOR FUZZY NORMED SPACES

*Noori F. Al-Mayahi, Hind W. Twair

Department of Mathematics College of Computer Science and Mathematics University of Al-Qadisiya, Diwaniya, Iraq

Abstract
The main aim of this paper is to consider the fuzzy norm, define the fuzzy normed spaces, and prove some theorems in these spaces and study some basic results on finite dimensional fuzzy normed spaces.
Copy Right, IJAR, 2015,. All rights reserved

INTRODUCTION

The notion of fuzzy norm on a linear space was introduced by Katsaras [7] in 1984. Later on many other Mathematicians like Felbin [5] in 1992, Cheng and Mordeson [4] in 1994, Bag and Samanta [2] in 2003 etc., have given different definitions of fuzzy normed spaces. In this paper we have been able to establish some important results involving compactness of finite dimensional fuzzy normed linear spaces including Riesz Lemma .

2.Preliminaries

Definition (2.1): [6] A binary operation $*: [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is a t-norm if * is satisfies the following conditions :

(i) * is commutative and associative ;

(ii) a * 1 = a for all $a \in [0,1]$;

(iii) $a * b \le c * d$ whenever $a \le c$ and $b \le d$ for all ($a, b, c, d \in [0,1]$). If * is continuous then it is called continuous t-norm.

Definition (2.2) :[11] Let X be a non-empty set, * be a continuous t-norm on I=[0,1]. A function $N: X \times (0,1) \rightarrow [0,1]$ is called a fuzzy norm function on X if satisfies the following axioms for all $x, y \in X, t, s > 0$:

(N1) N(x,t) > 0;(N2) $N(x,t) = 1 \iff x = 0;$ (N3) $N(\alpha x, t) = N\left(x, \frac{t}{|\alpha|}\right);$

(N4) $N(x,t) * N(y,s) \le N(x+y,t+s);$

(N5) $N(x, .): (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous;

(N6) $Lim_{t\to\infty}N(x,t) = 1.$

(X, N, *) is said to be a fuzzy normed space.

Definition (2.3) : [2] Let (X, N, *) be a fuzzy normed linear space .Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X. Then $\{x_n\}$ is said to be convergent if $x \in X$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} N(x_n - x, t) = 1 \forall t > 0$. In this case x is called the limit of the sequence

 $\{x_n\}$ and is denoted by $limx_n$.

Definition (2.4) : [2] Let (X, N, *) be a fuzzy normed linear space . A subset B of X is said to be closed if for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in B converges to x

i.e. $\lim_{n\to\infty} N(x_n - x, t) = 1 \ \forall t > 0$ implies that $x \in B$.

Definition(2.5): [9] Let (X, N, *) and (Y, N, *) be two fuzzy normed spaces. Then the function $f : X \to Y$ is said to be continuous at $x_{\circ} \in X$ if for all $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ and all t > 0 there is exist $\delta \in (0,1)$ and s > 0 such that for all $x \in X$

 $N(x - x_{\circ}, s) > 1 - \delta$ implies $N(f(x) - f(x_{\circ}), t) > 1 - \varepsilon$.

The function f is called continuous function if it continuous at every point of X. **Definition** (2.6) : [3] Let (X, N, *) be a fuzzy normed linear space. We define a set

 $B(x, \alpha, t)$ as $B(x, \alpha, t) = \{ y : N(x - y, t) > 1 - \alpha \}.$

Definition (2.7) : [10] Let (X, N, *) be a fuzzy normed linear space and $B \subset X$. *B* is said to be fuzzy bounded if for each r, 0 < r < 1, $\exists t > 0$ such that

 $N(x,t) > 1 - r \quad \forall x \in B.$

Theorem (2.8) : [1] Let f be linear functional of fuzzy normed linear space X in to another fuzzy normed linear space Y. Then the following statements are equivalent :

- 1- f is continuous.
- 2- f is continuous at origin.
- 3- f is bounded.

Theorem (2.9) : [8] Let *X* be linear space over a field *F*.

(1) If $x \in X$, and a function $T_x : X' \to F$ defined by $T_x(f) = f(x)$ for all $f \in X'$, then T_x is linear function, i.e. $T_x \in X''$, and it is called Evaluation Functional Induced by x. (2) If the function $\psi: X \to X''$ defined by $\psi(x) = T_x$ for all $x \in X$, then ψ injection linear function and ψ is called Canonical Function. Definition (2.10) : Let X be a fuzzy normed linear space over a field F. We define X^{**} as : $X^{**} = (X^*)^* = \{f: X^* \to F, f \text{ is bounded (continuous) linear functional}\}$

X^{**} is called the second dual space.

Definition (2.11) : Let (X, N, *) and (Y, N, *) be fuzzy normed spaces over *F* and $f: X \to Y$ be linear function. We define

 $N(f,t) = \inf \{ N(f(x),t) : x \in X \} \text{ for all } t > o.$

Theorem (2.12) : Let (X, N, *) and (Y, N, *) be fuzzy normed spaces. Then N(f, t) is defined in Definition (2.11) is a norm.

Proof: We check the items in Definition (2.2) .It is easy to see that (N_1) , $(N_2), (N_3), (N_5)$ and (N_6) are true. We consider (N_4) :

 $N(f,t) * N(g,t) = \{\inf\{N(f(x),t): x \in X\} * \{\inf\{N(g(x),s): x \in X\} \\ = \inf\{N(f(x),t) * N(g(x),s): x \in X\} \\ \le \inf\{N((f+g)(x),t+s): x \in X\} \\ = N(f+g,t+s).$

3. Main results

Theorem (3.1) : Let (X, N, *) be a fuzzy normed space over a field F. (1) If $x \in X$ and $T_x: X^* \to F$ defined as $T_x(f) = f(x)$ for all $f \in X^*$, then $T_x \in X^{**}$ and $N(T_x, t) = N(f, t)$. (2) If $\psi: X \to X''$ defined as $\psi(x) = T_x$ for all $x \in X$, then ψ is one-to-one linear function. **Proof : (1)** T_x is linear (see theorem (2.9)).

To prove T_r is continuous.

 $X^* = \{ f : X \to F, f \text{ is bounded (continuous) linear function } \}.$

Since f is continuous at every point of X, hence f is continuous at $x_0 \in X$. Then for

all $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ for all t > 0 there exist $\delta \in (0,1)$ and s > 0 such that for all $x \in X$ $N(x - x_0, s) > l - \delta \implies N(f(x) - f(x_0), t) > l - \varepsilon$ $\implies N(T_x - T_{x,o}), t) > 1 - \varepsilon.$ Therefore T_x is a continuous at x_0 . Since x_0 is an arbitrary point Then T_x is a continuous function , hence $T_x \in X^{**}$. $N(\mathcal{T}_x, t) = \inf\{N(\mathcal{T}_x(f), t) : x \in X\}$ $= \inf \{ N(f(x), t) : x \in X \}$ = N(f, t).(2) see theorem (2.9). **Theorem (3.2)**: Let $\{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$ be a linear independent set of vectors in a fuzzy normed linear space (X, N, *) with * is t-norm at (1,1). Then there is c > 0 and $\delta \in (0,1)$ such that for any set of scalars $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\}$; $N\left(\sum_{i=l}^{n}\lambda_{i}x_{i}, C\sum_{i=l}^{n}|\lambda_{i}|\right) < l - \delta \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots (l)$ **Proof**: Let $s = |\lambda_1|, |\lambda_2|, ..., |\lambda_n|$. If s = 0 then $\lambda_i = 0 \forall i = 1, 2, ..., n$ and the relation (1) holds for any c > 0 and $\delta \in (0,1)$. Next we suppose that s > 0. Then (1) is equivalent to For some c > 0 and $\delta \in (0,1)$, and for all scalars $\alpha' s$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\alpha_i| = 1$. If possible suppose that (2) does not hold. Thus for each c > 0 and $\delta \in (0,1)$, \exists a set of scalars { $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n$ } with $\sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i| = 1$ for which $\{\alpha_1 x_1, \alpha_2 x_2, \dots, \alpha_n x_n, c\} \ge 1 - \delta$. Then for $c = \delta = \frac{1}{m}$, $m = 1, 2, ..., \exists$ a set of scalars $\left\{\alpha_1^{(m)}, \alpha_2^{(m)}, ..., \alpha_n^{(m)}\right\}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i^{(m)}| = 1$ such that $N(y_m, \frac{1}{m}) \ge 1 - \frac{1}{m}$ where $y_m = \alpha_1^{(m)} x_1 + \alpha_2^{(m)} x_2 + \dots + \alpha_n^{(m)} x_n$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\alpha_i^{(m)}| = 1$, we have $0 \le |\alpha_i^{(m)}| \le 1$ for i = 1, 2, ..., n. So for each fixed *j* the sequence $\{\alpha_i^{(m)}\}$ is bounded and hence $\{\alpha_i^{(m)}\}$ has convergent subsequence . Let α_1 denote the limit of the subsequence and let { y_1, m } denote the corresponding subsequence of { y_m }. By the same argument $\{y_1, m\}$ has a subsequence $\{y_2, m\}$ for which the corresponding subsequence of scalars $\{\alpha_2^{(m)}\}$ converges to α_2 continuing in this way, after *n* steps we obtain a subsequence $\{\gamma_n, m\}$ where $\gamma_{n,m} = \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i^{(m)} x_i$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n |\gamma_i^{(m)}| = 1$ and $\gamma_i^{(m)} \to \gamma_i$ as $m \to \infty$. Let $y = \alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n$. Thus we have $\lim_{m \to \infty} N(y_n, m - y, t) = 1 \quad \forall t > 0 \dots \dots \dots \dots (3)$ Now for k > 0, choose *m* such that $\frac{1}{m} < k$. We have $\left(1-\frac{1}{m}\right)*N\left(0,k-\frac{1}{m}\right)\leq N\left(\gamma_{n,m},\frac{1}{m}\right)*N\left(0,k-\frac{1}{m}\right)\leq N\left(1-\frac{1}{m}\right)$ $N(y_{n,m}+0, \frac{1}{m}+k-\frac{1}{m}) = N(y_{n,m}, k).$ i.e. $\left(1-\frac{1}{m}\right)*N\left(0,k-\frac{1}{m}\right) \leq N(\gamma_{n,m},k)$ i.e. $\lim_{m\to\infty} N(y_{n,m}, k) \leq 1$ i.e. $\lim_{m \to \infty} N(y_{n,m}, k) = 1 \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots (4)$ Now $N(y - y_{n,m}, k) * N(y_{n,m}k) \le N(y - y_{n,m} + y_{n,m}, k + k) = N(y, 2k)$ $\Rightarrow \lim_{m \to \infty} N(y - y_{n,m}, k) * \lim_{m \to \infty} N(y_{n,m}, k) \le N(y \le 2k)$ (by continuity of t-norm at (1,1)) $\implies 1 * 1 \le N(y,2k)$ by (3) & (4)

Since k > 0 is arbitrary, by (N2) it follows that y = 0. Again since $\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\alpha_i^{(m)}| = 1$ and $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ are linear independent set of vectors, so $y = \alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n = 0$.

 $\Rightarrow 1 = 1 * 1 = N(\gamma, 2k).$

Thus we arrive at a contradiction and the lemma is proved.

Theorem (3.3): (**Riesz Lemma**) Let *M* be closed proper subspace of a fuzzy normed linear space (X, N, *) and let λ be a real number such that $0 < \lambda < 1$. Then there exists a vector $x_{\lambda} \in X$ such that $N(x_{\lambda}, 1) > 0$ and $N(x_{\lambda} - x, \lambda) = 0$ for all $x \in M$. **Proof** :Since *M* is proper subspace of *X*, $\exists v \in X - M$. Denote $d' = \bigwedge_{x \in \mathcal{M}} \land \{ t > 0 : \mathcal{N}(v - x, t) > 0 \}.$ We claim that d > 0, i.e. $\wedge_{x \in M} \land \{t > 0 : N(v - x, t) > 0\} = 0 \implies$ for a given $\varepsilon > 0, \exists x(\varepsilon) \in Y$ such that $\land \{t > 0 : N(v - x, t) > o\} < \varepsilon$ $\Rightarrow N(v - x, \varepsilon) > 0.$ Choose $\alpha \in (0,1)$ such that $N(\nu - x, \varepsilon) > 1 - \alpha$. i.e. $\nu \in B(\nu, 1 - \alpha, \varepsilon)$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, it follows that ν is in the closure of M. Since *M* is closed, it implies that $v \in M$ which is a contradiction. Thus d > 0. We now take $\lambda \in (0,1)$. So $\frac{d}{\lambda} > d$. Thus for some $x \in M$, we have $d \le \wedge \{ t > 0 : N(v - x_{\circ}, t) > 0 \} < K' < \frac{d}{d}$(1) Let $x_{\lambda} = \frac{v - x_{\circ}}{k'}$. Now $(x_{\lambda}, I) = \mathcal{N}(\frac{v - x_{\circ}}{k'}, 1)$. i.e. $N(x_{\lambda}, I) = N(v - x_{\circ}, k')$(2) Now $\wedge \{t > 0 : N(v - x_{\circ}, t) > 0\} < k' \Longrightarrow N(v - x_{\circ}, k') > 0.$ From (2) we have $N(x_{\lambda}, 1) > 0$. Now for $x \in M$, $\land \{t > 0 : N(x_{\lambda} - x, t) > 0\} = \land \{t > 0 : N(v - x_{\circ} - k'x, k't) > 0\} =$ $\frac{1}{k'} \wedge \{ s > 0 : \mathcal{N}(v - x \circ - k'x, s) > 0 \}.$ i.e. $\wedge \{t > 0 : N(x_{\lambda} - x, t) > 0\} \ge \frac{d}{k'}(since \qquad x \circ + k'x \in M)$ $\Rightarrow \land \{ t > 0 : \mathcal{N}(x_{\lambda} - x, t) > 0 \} > \lambda \quad by (1)$ i.e. $N(x_{\lambda} - x, \lambda) \leq 0 \Longrightarrow N(x_{\lambda} - x, \lambda) = 0 \quad \forall x \in M.$ **Definition** (3.4): [2] Let (X, N, *) be a fuzzy normed linear space. A subset B of X is said to be compact if any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in B has a subsequence converging to an element of B. **Theorem (3.5)**: Let (X, N, *) be a fuzzy normed linear space and $x \neq 0$. If suppose that $A = \{x \in X : N(x, l) > 0\}$ is compact, then X is finite dimensional. **Proof** : If possible suppose that dim $X = \infty$. Take $x_1 \in X$ such that

 $\mathcal{N}(x_1, 1) > 0$. Suppose X_1 is the subspace of X generated by x_1 . Since dim $X_1 = 1$, it is aclosed and proper subset of X. Thus by the Lemma (3.3)

 $\exists x_2 \in X suc \quad h \ t \ hat \quad N(x_2, 1) > 0 \ and \quad N(x_2 - x_1, \frac{1}{2}) = 0.$

The elements x_1, x_2 generate a two dimensional proper closed subspace of X.

By the Lemma (3.3), $\exists x_3 \in X$ with $N(x_3, 1) > 0$ such that

$$N(x_3 - x_1, \frac{1}{2}) = 0$$
, $N(x_3 - x_2, \frac{1}{2}) = 0$.

Proceeding in the same way, we obtain a sequence $\{x_n\}$ of elements $x_n \in A$ such that $N(x_n, 1) > 0$ and $N(x_n - x_m, \frac{1}{2}) = 0$ $(m \neq n)$. It follows that neither the sequence $\{x_n\}$ nor its any subsequence converges. This contradicts the compactness of A. Hence dim X is finite.

References :

[1] N. F. Al-Mayahi and D. A. Abd alsaheb, Separation theorem for fuzzy normed space, Journal of Qadissiya Computer Science and Mathematics, Vol.6, No.2, (2014).

[2] T. Bag , S. K. Samanta , Finite dimensional fuzzy normed linear spaces , The Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics 11 (3) (2003) 687-705.

[3] T. Bag, S. K. Samanta, Fuzzy bounded linear operators, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 151 (2005) 513-547.

[4] S. C. Cheng, J. N. Mordeson, Fuzzy linear operators and fuzzy normed linear spaces , Bull. Cal. Math. Soc 86 (1994) 429-436.

[5] C. Felbin, Finite dimensional fuzzy normed linear spaces FSS 48(1992) 239-248.

[6] A. George and P. Veeramani, On some results in fuzzy matric spaces, Fuzzy Set and Systems, Vol. 64, No.3, pp.395-399, (1994).

[7] A. K. Katsaras, Fuzzy topological vector spaces II, FSS 12 (1984) 143-154.

[8] E. Kreyszig, Introductory functional analysis with application, New York, 1978.

[9] I. H. Radhi, Some Problems Related to Fuzzy Metric space, master thesis, University of AL- Qadissiya, (2012).

[10] T. K. Samanta and I. H. Jebril, Fuzzy anti-normed linear space, Journal of Mathematics and technology, 66-77, (2010).

[11] S. M. Vaezpour and F. Karimi , t-Best approximation in fuzzy normed spaces $% 10^{-1}$, Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems , Vol.5 , No.2 , pp.93-99 , (2008).