

# ON CENTRALIZERS ON SOME GAMMA RING

Rajaa C .Shaheen

Department of Mathematics, College of Education,  
University of Al-Qadisiya, Al-Qadisiya, Iraq.

الخلاصة العربية: قدمنا في هذا البحث دراسة حول تطبيق جوردان  
المركزي على بعض الحلقات

## ABSTRACT

Let  $M$  be a 2-torsion free  $\Gamma$ -ring satisfies the condition  $x \alpha y \beta z = x \beta y \alpha z$  for all  $x, y, z \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . In section one, we prove if  $M$  be a completely prime  $\Gamma$ -ring and  $T: M \rightarrow M$  an additive mapping such that  $T(a \alpha a) = T(a) \alpha a$  (resp.,  $T(a \alpha a) = a \alpha T(a)$ ) holds for all  $a \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$ . Then  $T$  is a left centralizer or  $M$  is commutative (resp., a right centralizer or  $M$  is commutative) and so every Jordan centralizer on completely prime  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  is a centralizer. In section two, we prove this problem but by another way. In section three we prove that every Jordan left centralizer (resp., every Jordan right centralizer) on  $\Gamma$ -ring has a commutator right non-zero divisor (resp., on  $\Gamma$ -ring has a commutator left non-zero divisor) is a left centralizer (resp., is a right centralizer) and so we prove that every Jordan centralizer on  $\Gamma$ -ring has a commutator non-zero divisor is a centralizer.

Key words :  $\Gamma$ -ring, prime  $\Gamma$ -ring, semi-prime  $\Gamma$ -ring, left centralizer, Right centralizer, centralizer, Jordan centralizer.

## 1-INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper,  $M$  will represent  $\Gamma$ -ring with center  $Z$ . In [7] B.Zalar proved that any left (resp., right) Jordan centralizer on a 2-torsion free semi-prime ring is a left (resp., right) Centralizer. In [3] authors prove the same question on the condition that  $R$  has a commutator right (resp., left) non-zero divisor. And J.Vukman in [6] proved that if  $R$  is 2-torsion free semi-prime ring and  $T: R \rightarrow R$  be an additive mapping such that  $2T(x^2) = T(x)x + xT(x)$  holds for all  $x, y \in R$ . Then  $T$  is left and right centralizer. In this paper we define Jordan centralizer on  $\Gamma$ -ring and we show that the existence of a non-zero Jordan centralizer  $T$  on a 2-torsion free completely prime  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  which satisfies the condition  $x \alpha y \beta z = x \beta y \alpha z$  for all  $x, y, z \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$  implies either  $T$  is centralizer or  $M$  is commutative  $\Gamma$ -ring.

Let  $M$  and  $\Gamma$  be additive abelian groups,  $M$  is called a  $\Gamma$ -ring if for any  $x, y, z \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ , the following conditions are satisfied

$$(1) x \alpha y \in M$$

$$(2) (x+y) \alpha z = x \alpha z + y \alpha z$$

$$x(\alpha + \beta)z = x \alpha z + x \beta z$$

$$x \alpha (y+z) = x \alpha y + x \alpha z$$

$$(3) (x \alpha y) \beta z = x \alpha (y \beta z)$$

The notion of  $\Gamma$ -ring was introduced by Nobusawa[5] and generalized by Barnes[1], many properties of  $\Gamma$ -ring were obtained by many research such as [2]

Let  $A, B$  be subsets of a  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  and  $\Lambda$  a subset of  $\Gamma$  we denote  $A \Lambda B$  the subset of  $M$  consisting of all finite sum of the form  $\sum a_i \lambda_i b_i$  where  $a_i \in A, b_i \in B$  and  $\lambda_i \in \Lambda$ . A right ideal (resp., left ideal) of a  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  is an additive subgroup  $I$  of

$M$  such that  $I \Gamma M \subset I$  (resp.,  $M \Gamma I \subset I$ ). If  $I$  is a right and left ideal in  $M$ , then we say that  $I$  is an ideal.  $M$  is called a 2-torsion free if  $2x=0$  implies  $x=0$  for all  $x \in M$ . A  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  is called prime if  $a \Gamma M \Gamma b=0$  implies  $a=0$  or  $b=0$  and  $M$  is called completely prime if  $a \Gamma b=0$  implies  $a=0$  or  $b=0$  ( $a, b \in M$ ). Since  $a \Gamma b \Gamma a \Gamma b \subset a \Gamma M \Gamma b$ , then every completely prime  $\Gamma$ -ring is prime. A  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  is called semi-prime if  $a \Gamma M \Gamma a=0$  implies  $a=0$  and  $M$  is called completely semi-prime if  $a \Gamma a=0$  implies  $a=0$  ( $a \in M$ ).

Let  $R$  be a ring, an additive mapping  $D:R \rightarrow R$  is called derivation if  $D(xy)=D(x)y+xD(y)$  holds for all  $x, y \in R$ . A left (right) centralizer of  $R$  is an additive mapping  $T:R \rightarrow R$  which satisfies  $T(xy)=T(x)y$  ( $T(xy)=xT(y)$ ) for all  $x, y \in R$ . A Jordan centralizer be an additive mapping  $T$  which satisfies  $T(x \circ y)=T(x) \circ y=x \circ T(y)$ . A Centralizer of  $R$  is an additive which is both left and right centralizer. An easy computation shows that every centralizer is also a Jordan centralizer. Many Papers work about the problem every Jordan centralizer be centralizer such as in [7]. In this paper, we work this problem on some kind of  $\Gamma$ -ring.

Now, we shall give the following definition which are basic in this paper.

**Definition 1.1:** Let  $M$  be a  $\Gamma$ -ring and let  $D:M \rightarrow M$  be an additive map,  $D$  is called a Derivation if for any  $a, b \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , if the following condition satisfy

$$D(a \alpha b)=D(a) \alpha b+a \alpha D(b)$$

**Definition 1.2:** Let  $M$  be a  $\Gamma$ -ring and let  $T:M \rightarrow M$  be an additive map,  $T$  is called Left centralizer of  $M$ , if for any  $a, b \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , the following condition satisfy  $T(a \alpha b)=T(a) \alpha b$ ,

Right centralizer of  $M$ , if for any  $a, b \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , the following condition satisfy

$$T(a \alpha b)=a \alpha T(b),$$

Jordan left centralizer if for all  $a \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , the following condition satisfy

$$T(a \alpha a)=T(a) \alpha a$$

Jordan Right centralizer if for all  $a \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , the following condition satisfy

$$T(a \alpha a)=a \alpha T(a)$$

Jordan centralizer of  $M$ , if for any  $a, b \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , the following condition satisfy  $T(a \alpha b+b \alpha a)=T(a) \alpha b+b \alpha T(a)=a \alpha T(b)+T(b) \alpha a$

A centralizer of  $M$  is an additive mapping which is both left and right centralizer. An easy computation shows that every centralizer is also a Jordan centralizer but the converse is not true. In this paper we prove this problem when  $M$  is 2-torsion free completely prime  $\Gamma$ -ring. Now we shall prove the following Lemmas which are necessarily to prove our main result in this paper.

**Lemma 1.3:** Let  $M$  be a 2-torsion free  $\Gamma$ -ring and let  $T:M \rightarrow M$  be an additive mapping which satisfies  $T(a \alpha a)=T(a) \alpha a$ , (resp.,  $T(a \alpha a)=a \alpha T(a)$ ) for all  $a \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , then the following statement holds for all  $a, b, c \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ ,

- (i)  $T(a \alpha b+b \alpha a)=T(a) \alpha b+T(b) \alpha a$   
(resp.,  $T(a \alpha b+b \alpha a)=a \alpha T(b)+b \alpha T(a)$ )
- (ii) Especially if  $M$  is 2-torsion free and  $a \alpha b \beta c=a \beta b \alpha c$  for all  $a, b, c \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$  then  
 $T(a \alpha b \beta a)=T(a) \alpha b \beta a$  (resp.,  $T(a \alpha b \beta a)=a \alpha b \beta T(a)$ )
- (iii)  $T(a \alpha b \beta c+c \alpha b \beta a)=T(a) \alpha b \beta c+T(c) \alpha b \beta a$ .  
(resp.,  $T(a \alpha b \beta c+c \alpha b \beta a)=a \alpha b \beta T(c)+c \alpha b \beta T(a)$ )

**Proof:**-(i) Since  $T(a \alpha a)=T(a) \alpha a$  for all  $a \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , .....(1)  
Replace  $a$  by  $a+b$  in (1), we get

$$T(a \alpha b + b \alpha a) = T(a) \alpha b + T(b) \alpha a \dots \dots \dots (2)$$

(ii) by replacing  $b$  by  $a \beta b + b \beta a$ ,  $\beta \in \Gamma$

$$\begin{aligned} W &= T(a \alpha (a \beta b + b \beta a) + (a \beta b + b \beta a) \alpha a) \\ &= T(a) \alpha (a \beta b + b \beta a) + T(a \beta b + b \beta a) \alpha a \\ &= T(a) \alpha (a \beta b) + T(a) \alpha (b \beta a) + (T(a) \beta b + T(b) \beta a) \alpha a \\ &= T(a) \alpha (a \beta b) + T(a) \alpha (b \beta a) + T(a) \beta b \alpha a + T(b) \beta a \alpha a \end{aligned}$$

Since  $a \alpha b \beta c = a \beta b \alpha c$ , then

$$W = T(a) \alpha (a \beta b) + 2T(a) \alpha (b \beta a) + T(b) \beta a \alpha a$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} W &= T(a \alpha (a \beta b + b \beta a) + (a \beta b + b \beta a) \alpha a) \\ &= T(a \alpha (a \beta b) + a \alpha (b \beta a) + (a \beta b) \alpha a + (b \beta a) \alpha a) \\ &= (a \alpha a \beta b + b \beta a \alpha a) + 2T(a \alpha b \beta a) \end{aligned}$$

By comparing these two expression of  $W$ , we get

$$2T(a \alpha b \beta a) = 2T(a) \alpha b \beta a$$

Since  $M$  is 2-torsion free, then

$$T(a \alpha b \beta a) = T(a) \alpha b \beta a \dots \dots \dots (3)$$

(iii) In (3) replace  $a$  by  $a+c$ , to get

$$T(a \alpha b \beta c + c \alpha b \beta a) = T(a) \alpha b \beta c + T(c) \alpha b \beta a \dots \dots \dots (4)$$

**Theorem 1.4:-** Let  $M$  be a 2-torsion free completely prime  $\Gamma$ -ring which satisfy the condition  $x \alpha y \beta z = x \beta y \alpha z$  for all  $x, y, z \in M$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ , and let  $T: M \rightarrow M$  be an additive mapping which satisfies  $T(a \alpha a) = T(a) \alpha a$  for all  $a \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , then  $T(a \alpha b) = T(a) \alpha b$ , for all  $a, b \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  or  $M$  is commutative  $\Gamma$ -ring.

**Proof:-** By [Lemma 1.3,iii], we have

$$T(a \alpha b \beta c + c \alpha b \beta a) = T(a) \alpha b \beta c + T(c) \alpha b \beta a$$

Replace  $c$  by  $a \alpha b$

$$\begin{aligned} W &= T(a \alpha b \beta (a \alpha b) + (a \alpha b) \alpha b \beta a) \\ &= T(a) \alpha b \beta a \alpha b + T(a \alpha b) \alpha b \beta a \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} W &= T((a \alpha b) \beta (a \alpha b) + a \alpha (b \alpha b) \beta a) \\ &= T(a \alpha b) \beta a \alpha b + T(a) \alpha b \alpha b \beta a \end{aligned}$$

By comparing these two expression of  $W$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} T(a \alpha b) \beta (a \alpha b - b \alpha a) + T(a) \alpha b \beta (b \alpha a - a \alpha b) &= 0 \\ T(a \alpha b) \beta (a \alpha b - b \alpha a) - T(a) \alpha b \beta (a \alpha b - b \alpha a) &= 0 \\ (T(a \alpha b) - T(a) \alpha b) \beta (a \alpha b - b \alpha a) &= 0 \dots \dots \dots (5) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $M$  is completely prime  $\Gamma$ -ring, then

either  $T(a \alpha b) - T(a) \alpha b = 0$  or  $a \alpha b - b \alpha a = 0$

if  $T(a \alpha b) - T(a) \alpha b = 0$  then  $T(a \alpha b) = T(a) \alpha b$

and if  $a \alpha b - b \alpha a = 0$  for all  $a, b \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , then  $M$  is commutative  $\Gamma$ -ring  $\square$

**Theorem 1.5:-** Let  $M$  be a 2-torsion free completely prime  $\Gamma$ -ring which satisfy the condition  $x \alpha y \beta z = x \beta y \alpha z$  for all  $x, y, z \in M$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ , and let  $T: M \rightarrow M$  be an additive mapping which satisfies  $T(a \alpha a) = a \alpha T(a)$  for all  $a \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , then  $T(a \alpha b) = a \alpha T(b)$  for all  $a, b \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  or  $M$  is commutative  $\Gamma$ -ring.

**Proof:-** From [Lemma 1.3,iii], we have for all  $a, b, c \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ ,

$$T(a \alpha b \beta c + c \alpha b \beta a) = a \alpha b \beta T(c) + c \alpha b \beta T(a) \dots \dots \dots (6)$$

In (6) replace  $c$  by  $b \alpha a$ , then

$$W = T(a \alpha b \beta (b \alpha a) + (b \alpha a) \alpha b \beta a) \\ = a \alpha b \beta T(b \alpha a) + b \alpha a \beta b \alpha T(a)$$

on the other hand

$$W = T(a \alpha (b \beta b) \alpha a + (b \alpha a) \alpha (b \beta a)) \\ = a \alpha b \beta b \alpha T(a) + b \alpha a \beta T(b \alpha a)$$

by comparing these two expression of  $W$ , we get

$$a \alpha b \beta (T(b \alpha a) - b \alpha T(a)) - b \alpha a \beta (T(b \alpha a) - b \alpha T(a)) = 0$$

$$(a \alpha b - b \alpha a) \beta (T(b \alpha a) - b \alpha T(a)) = 0 \dots \dots \dots (7)$$

since  $M$  is completely prime  $\Gamma$ -ring, then

$$\text{either } (T(b \alpha a) - b \alpha T(a)) = 0 \Rightarrow T(b \alpha a) = b \alpha T(a)$$

$$\text{or } a \alpha b - b \alpha a = 0 \Rightarrow a \alpha b = b \alpha a \Rightarrow M \text{ is commutative } \Gamma\text{-ring} \blacksquare$$

**Corrolary 1.6:-** Every Jordan centralizer of 2-torsion free completely prime  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  which satisfy the condition  $x \alpha y \beta z = x \beta y \alpha z$  for all  $x, y, z \in M$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ , is a centralizer on  $M$ .

2-The second result

In this section we again divided the proof in few lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1:-** Let  $M$  be a semi-prime  $\Gamma$ -ring and  $D$  a derivation of  $M$  and  $a \in M$  some fixed element.

(i)  $D(x) \alpha D(y) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in M$ ,  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  implies that  $D = 0$  on  $M$

(ii)  $a \alpha x - x \alpha a \in Z$ , for all  $x \in M$ ,  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  implies that  $a \in Z$ .

**Proof:-**

(i) since  $D(x) \alpha D(y) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in M$ ,  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

$$\text{and } D(y \alpha x) = D(y) \alpha x + y \alpha D(x)$$

and so  $D(x) \alpha D(y \alpha x) = 0$ , then

$$D(x) \alpha D(y) \alpha x + D(x) \alpha y \alpha D(x) = 0$$

since  $D(x) \alpha D(y) = 0$ , then

$$D(x) \alpha y \alpha D(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$$

And since  $M$  be a semi-prime  $\Gamma$ -ring, then

$$D(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in M.$$

(ii) define  $D(x) = a \alpha x - x \alpha a$

it is easy to see that  $D$  is derivation on  $M$

since  $D(x) \in Z$  for all  $x \in M$ , we have

$$D(y) \alpha x = x \alpha D(y) \dots \dots \dots (8)$$

Replace  $y$  by  $y \alpha z$  in (8)

$$D(y \alpha z) \alpha x = x \alpha D(y \alpha z)$$

$$D(y) \alpha z \alpha x + y \alpha D(z) \alpha x = x \alpha D(y) \alpha z + x \alpha y \alpha D(z)$$

$$D(y) \alpha (z \alpha x - x \alpha z) = D(z) \alpha (x \alpha y - y \alpha x)$$

Now, take  $z = a$ , then it is easy to see that  $D(a) = 0$ , so

$$D(y) \alpha (a \alpha x - x \alpha a) = 0$$

$$D(y) \alpha D(x) = 0, \text{ then from (i), we get } D = 0 \text{ and hence } a \in Z \blacksquare$$

**Lemma 2.2:-** Let  $M$  be a semi-prime  $\Gamma$ -ring and  $a \in M$  some fixed element.

If  $T(x) = a \alpha x + x \alpha a$ , for all  $x \in M$ ,  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  is a Jordan centralizer, then  $a \in Z$

**Proof:-** from [definition 1.2]

$$T(x \alpha y + y \alpha x) = T(x) \alpha y + y \alpha T(x)$$

Gives us

$$T(x \alpha y) + T(y \alpha x) = T(x) \alpha y + y \alpha T(x)$$

$$a \alpha x \alpha y + a \alpha y \alpha x + x \alpha x \alpha y \alpha a + y \alpha x \alpha a =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (a \alpha x + x \alpha a) \alpha y + y \alpha (a \alpha x + x \alpha a) \\
&= a \alpha x \alpha y + x \alpha a \alpha y + y \alpha a \alpha x + y \alpha x \alpha a
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$a \alpha y \alpha x - x \alpha a \alpha y + x \alpha y \alpha a - y \alpha a \alpha x = 0$$

$$(a \alpha y - y \alpha a) \alpha x - x \alpha (a \alpha y - y \alpha a) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$$

Then  $a \alpha y - y \alpha a \in Z$  and so by [Lemma 2.1,ii], we get  $a \in Z$ .

**Lemma 2.3:-** Let  $M$  be a semi-prime  $\Gamma$ -ring, then every Jordan centralizers of  $M$  maps from  $Z$  into  $Z$ .

**Proof:-** take any  $c \in Z$  and denote  $a = t(c)$

$$2T(c \alpha x) = T(c \alpha x + x \alpha c)$$

$$= T(c) \alpha x + x \alpha T(c) = a \alpha x + x \alpha a$$

Then  $S(x) = 2T(c \alpha x)$  is also a Jordan centralizer, by [lemma 2.2], we get  $a \in Z$ .

Then  $T(c) \in Z$   $\square$

**Lemma 2.4:-** Let  $M$  be a semi-prime  $\Gamma$ -ring and  $a, b \in M$  two fixed elements.

If  $a \alpha x = x \alpha b$  for all  $x \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$  then  $a = b \in Z$ .

**Proof:-** Since  $x \alpha b = a \alpha x$

Replace  $x$  by  $x \alpha y$

$$x \alpha y \alpha b = a \alpha x \alpha y$$

$$x \alpha y \alpha b = x \alpha b \alpha y$$

$$x \alpha (y \alpha b - b \alpha y) = 0, \text{ and so}$$

$$(y \alpha b - b \alpha y) x \alpha (y \alpha b - b \alpha y) = 0$$

Since  $M$  is semi-prime  $\Gamma$ -ring, then

$$(y \alpha b - b \alpha y) = 0$$

$y \alpha b = b \alpha y$  for all  $y \in M$ , then  $b \in Z$

since  $a \alpha x = x \alpha b = b \alpha x$

it is easy to see that

$$(a - b) \alpha x = 0 \text{ for all } x \in M$$

$$\text{and } (a - b) \alpha x \alpha (a - b) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in M$$

again since  $M$  is semi-prime  $\Gamma$ -ring then  $a - b = 0 \Rightarrow a = b \in Z$   $\square$

**Proposition 2.5:-** every Jordan centralizer of 2-torsion free completely prime  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  is a centralizer.

**Proof:-** Let  $T$  be a Jordan centralizer, i.e

$$T(x \alpha y + y \alpha x) = T(x) \alpha y + y \alpha T(x) = x \alpha T(y) + T(y) \alpha x$$

If we replace  $y$  by  $x \alpha y + y \alpha x$ , then the left side

$$W = T(x \alpha (x \alpha y + y \alpha x) + (x \alpha y + y \alpha x) \alpha x)$$

$$= T(x) \alpha (x \alpha y + y \alpha x) + (x \alpha y + y \alpha x) \alpha T(x)$$

$$= T(x) \alpha (x \alpha y) + T(x) \alpha y \alpha x + x \alpha y \alpha T(x) + y \alpha x \alpha T(x)$$

and the right side

$$W = x \alpha T(x \alpha y + y \alpha x) + T(x \alpha y + y \alpha x) \alpha x$$

$$= x \alpha T(x) \alpha y + x \alpha y \alpha T(x) + T(x) \alpha y \alpha x + y \alpha T(x) \alpha x$$

Then

$$T(x) \alpha x \alpha y + y \alpha x \alpha T(x) - x \alpha T(x) \alpha y - y \alpha T(x) \alpha x = 0$$

$$(T(x) \alpha x - x \alpha T(x)) \alpha y + y \alpha (x \alpha T(x) - T(x) \alpha x) = 0$$

Then

$$(T(x) \alpha x - x \alpha T(x)) \alpha y = y \alpha (T(x) \alpha x - x \alpha T(x)) \text{ for all } x, y \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma.$$

And so  $(T(x) \alpha x - x \alpha T(x)) \in Z$

then we must prove that

$$T(x) \alpha x - x \alpha T(x) = 0$$

Take any  $c \in Z$

$$\begin{aligned}
2T(c \alpha x) &= T(c \alpha x + x \alpha c) \\
&= T(c) \alpha x + x \alpha T(c) \\
&= 2T(x) \alpha c
\end{aligned}$$

Using [Lemma 2.3] and since  $M$  is 2-torsion free  $\Gamma$ -ring

$$\begin{aligned}
T(c \alpha x) &= T(x) \alpha c = T(c) \alpha x \\
(T(x) \alpha x - x \alpha T(x)) \alpha c &= T(x) \alpha x \alpha c - x \alpha T(x) \alpha c \\
&= T(c) \alpha x \alpha x - x \alpha T(c) \alpha x = 0
\end{aligned}$$

then  $(T(x) \alpha x - x \alpha T(x)) \alpha c \alpha (T(x) \alpha x - x \alpha T(x)) = 0$   
since  $M$  is semi-prime  $\Gamma$ -ring, then  $T(x) \alpha x - x \alpha T(x) = 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
2T(x \alpha x) &= T(x \alpha x + x \alpha x) = T(x) \alpha x + x \alpha T(x) \\
&= 2T(x) \alpha x = 2x \alpha T(x)
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $M$  is 2-torsion free, then

$$T(x \alpha x) = T(x) \alpha x = x \alpha T(x)$$

And so by [Theorem 1.4, Theorem 1.5], we get the result.

### 3-JORDAN CENTRALIZERS ON SOME GAMMA RING

**Theorem 3.1:-** Let  $M$  be a 2-torsion free  $\Gamma$ -ring which satisfy the condition  $x \alpha y \beta z = x \beta y \alpha z$  for all  $x, y, z \in M$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$  and has a commutator right non-zero divisor and let  $T: M \rightarrow M$  be an additive mapping which satisfies

$$T(a \alpha a) = T(a) \alpha a \text{ for all } a \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma, \text{ then } T(a \alpha b) = T(a) \alpha b \text{ for all } a, b \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma.$$

**Proof:-** from (5), we have

$$(T(a \alpha b) - T(a) \alpha b) \beta (a \alpha b - b \alpha a) = 0$$

if we suppose that

$$\delta(a, b) = T(a \alpha b) - T(a) \alpha b \text{ and } [a, b] = a \alpha b - b \alpha a$$

$$\text{then } \delta(a, b) \beta [a, b] = 0 \text{ for all } a, b \in M \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma \dots \dots \dots (9)$$

Since  $M$  has a commutator right non-zero divisor, then  $\exists x, y \in M$ ,  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  such that if for every  $c \in M$ ,  $\beta \in \Gamma$

$$c \beta [x, y] = 0 \Rightarrow c = 0$$

$$\text{by (9), we have } \delta(x, y) \beta [x, y] = 0 \text{ and so } \delta(x, y) = 0 \dots \dots \dots (10)$$

replace  $a$  by  $a+x$

$$\delta(a+x, b) \beta [a+x, b] = 0 \text{ and so by (9) and (10)}$$

$$\delta(x, b) \beta [a, b] + \delta(a, b) \beta [x, b] = 0 \dots \dots \dots (11)$$

Now replace  $b$  by  $b+y$

$$\delta(x, b+y) \beta [a, b+y] + \delta(a, b+y) \beta [x, b+y] = 0$$

and so by (10) and (11), we get

$$\delta(x, b) \beta [a, y] + \delta(a, y) \beta [x, b] + \delta(a, b) \beta [x, y] + \delta(a, y) \beta [x, y] = 0$$

$$\delta(a, b) \beta [x, y] + \delta(a, y) \beta [x, y] = 0$$

by (11), we get

$$\delta(a, b) \beta [x, y] - \delta(x, y) \beta [a, y] = 0$$

then

$$\delta(a, b) \beta [x, y] = 0, \text{ and so } \delta(a, b) = 0 \text{ for all } a, b \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma$$

$$T(a \alpha b) = T(a) \alpha b \Rightarrow T \text{ is left centralizer of } M.$$

**Theorem 3.2:-** Let  $M$  be a 2-torsion free  $\Gamma$ -ring which satisfy the condition  $x \alpha y \beta z = x \beta y \alpha z$  for all  $x, y, z \in M$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$  and has a commutator left non-zero divisor and let  $T: M \rightarrow M$  be an additive mapping which satisfies

$T(a \alpha a) = a \alpha T(a)$  for all  $a \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , then  $T(a \alpha b) = a \alpha T(b)$  for all  $a, b \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

**Proof:-** From [Lemma 1.3,iii], we have

$$T(a \alpha b \beta c + c \alpha b \beta a) = a \alpha b \beta T(c) + c \alpha b \beta T(a) \dots \dots \dots (12)$$

In (12) replace  $c$  by  $b \alpha a$ , then

$$W = T(a \alpha b \beta (b \alpha a) + (b \alpha a) \alpha b \beta a) \\ = a \alpha b \beta T(b \alpha a) + b \alpha a \beta b \alpha T(a)$$

on the other hand

$$W = T(a \alpha (b \beta b) \alpha a + (b \alpha a) \alpha (b \beta a)) \\ = a \alpha b \beta b \alpha T(a) + b \alpha a \beta T(b \alpha a)$$

by comparing these two expression of  $W$ , we get  $a \alpha b \beta (T(b \alpha a) - b \alpha T(a)) - b \alpha a \beta (T(b \alpha a) - b \alpha T(a)) = 0$

then if we suppose  $B(b, a) = (T(b \alpha a) - b \alpha T(a))$

$$[a, b] \beta B(b, a) = [a, b] \beta B(a, b) = 0 \text{ for all } a, b \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma \dots \dots \dots (13)$$

Since  $M$  has a commutator left non-zero divisor then  $\exists x, y \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$  such that if for every  $c \in M, \beta \in \Gamma, [x, y] \beta c = 0 \Rightarrow c = 0$

then by (13), we have

$$[x, y] \beta B(x, y) = 0 \Rightarrow B(x, y) = 0 \dots \dots \dots (14)$$

in (13) replace  $a$  by  $a+x$

$$[a+x, b] \beta B(a+x, b) = 0$$

then by (13)

$$[x, y] \beta B(a, b) + [a, b] \beta B(x, b) = 0 \dots \dots \dots (15)$$

Now replace  $b$  by  $b+y$

$$[x, b+y] \beta B(a, b+y) + [a, b+y] \beta B(x, b+y) = 0$$

then by using (14) and (15), we get

$$[x, y] \beta B(a, b) = 0$$

and since  $[x, y]$  is a commutator left non-zero divisor then

$$B(a, b) = 0 \Rightarrow T(a \alpha b) = a \alpha T(b) \text{ which is mean that } T \text{ is right centralizer}$$

**Corrolary 3.7:-** Let  $M$  be a 2-torsion free  $\Gamma$ -ring which satisfy the condition  $x \alpha y \beta z = x \beta y \alpha z$  for all  $x, y, z \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ , has a commutator non-zero divisor and let  $T: M \rightarrow M$  be a Jordan centralizer then  $T$  is centralizer  $\blacksquare$

**Acknowledgment:-** the authors grateful to the referee for several suggestions that helped to improved the final version of this paper and especially Prof. Haetinger.

## References

- [1] W.E. Barnes "On the  $\Gamma$ -ring of Nabusawa", Pacific J. Math., 18(1966), 411-422.
- [2] Y. Ceven, "Jordan left derivations on completely prime Gamma rings", Fenbilimleri Dergisi (2002) cilt 23 say 12.
- [3] W. Cortes and C. Haetinger "On Lie ideal and Jordan left centralizers of 2-torsion free rings", URL: <http://ensino.univates.br/~Chaet>.
- [4] I.N. Herstein "Topics in ring theory" University of Chicago press, 1969.
- [5] N. Nabusawa "On a generalization of the ring theory", Osaka J. Math., 1(1964).
- [6] J. Vukam "An identity related to centralizers in semi-prime rings", Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae, 40, 3(1999) 447-456.

[7]B.Zalar"On centralizers of semi-prime rings" Comment.Math.Univ.Carolinae  
32(1991)609-614.