# ON HIGHER HOMOMORPHISMS OF COMPLETELY PRIME GAMMA RINGS

#### Rajaa C. Shaheen

Department of Mathematics, College of Education, University of Al-Qadisiya, Al-Qadisiya, Iraq

#### **<u>1-INTRODEUCTION</u>**

An additive mapping  $\theta$  of a ring R into a ring R<sup>6</sup> is called a Jordan homomorphism if  $\theta(ab+ba) = \theta(a) \ \theta(b) + \theta(b) \ \theta(a)$  for all  $a, b \in R$  .I.N.Herstein in [3] had proved that every Jordan homomorphism on to prime ring of characterstic not 2 and 3 either a homomorphism or an antihomomorphism.While Smiley [8] had given a brief proof of this result and at the same time had removed the requirement that the characteristic of R be not 3.After this I.N.Herstein in [2] had proved that every Jordan homomorphism from R onto a 2-torsion free prime ring R<sup>6</sup> is either a homomorphism or an antihomomorphism.

Let M and  $\Gamma$  be additive abelian groups, M is called a  $\Gamma$ -ring if for any  $x,y,z \in M$ and  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta \in \Gamma$ , the following conditions are satisfied

- (1) $x \alpha y \in M$
- (2)(x+y)  $\alpha z=x \alpha z+y \alpha z$ 
  - $x(\alpha + \beta)z = x \alpha z + x \beta z$
  - $x \alpha (y+z)=x \alpha y+x \alpha z$
- (3) $(x \alpha y) \beta z = x \alpha (y \beta z)$

The notion of  $\Gamma$ -ring was introduced by Nobusawa[5] and generalized by Barnes[1],many properties of  $\Gamma$ -ring were obtained by many researches.

*M* is called a 2-torsion free if 2x=0 implies x=0 for all  $x \in M.A \Gamma$ -ring*M* is called prime if  $a \Gamma M \Gamma b=0$  implies a=0 or b=0 and *M* is called completely prime if  $a \Gamma b=0$ implies a=0 or  $b=0(a,b \in M)$ , Since  $a \Gamma b \Gamma a \Gamma b \subset a \Gamma M \Gamma b$ , then every completely prime  $\Gamma$ -ring is prime.

In [7], section two, Rajaa C.Shaheen, defined the concept of Jordan homomorphism on  $\Gamma$ -ring and study it onto 2-torsion free completely prime  $\Gamma$ ring. In [5] Rajaa C.Shaheen define a generalized Jordan homomorphism on ring and study this concept onto 2-torsion free semi- prime ring.In[7],Section three, Rajaa C.Shaheen defined this concept on  $\Gamma$ -rings and study it onto2-torsion free completely prime  $\Gamma$ - ring.

In [4] Anwar , defined the concept of Jordan higher homomorphism and Generalized Jordan higher homomorphism on ring and study it on 2-torsion free prime ring.

Our study is to define the concept of Jordan higher homomorphism on  $\Gamma$  - ring and study it on 2-torsion free completely prime  $\Gamma$  - ring in section two also . we define the concept of Generalized Jordan higher homomorphism on  $\Gamma$  - ring and study it on 2-torsion free completely prime  $\Gamma$  - ring in section three .

### 2-Jordan Higher Homomorphism on Gamma Rings

**Definition 2.1**:- Let  $\theta = (\Phi_i)_{i \in N}$  be a family of additive mappings of a  $\Gamma$ -

ring M into a  $\Gamma$ -ring N, we say that  $\theta$  is a Higher Homomorphism on Gamma Ring(HH.for short)if for every  $n \in N$ , we have

$$\phi_n(a \, \alpha \, b) = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(a) \, \alpha \, \phi_i(b) \quad \forall a, b \in M, \, \alpha \in \Gamma.$$

**Definition 2.2**:- Let  $\theta = (\Phi_i)_{i \in N}$  be a family of additive mappings of a  $\Gamma$ -

ring M into a  $\Gamma$ -ring N, we say that  $\theta$  is an anti-Higher Homomorphism on Gamma Ring(AHH.for short)if for every  $n \in N$ , we have

$$\phi_n(a \, \alpha \, b) = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(b) \, \alpha \, \phi_i(a) \quad \forall a, b \in M, \ \alpha \in \Gamma.$$

**Definition 2.3**:- Let  $\theta = (\Phi_i)_{i \in N}$  be a family of additive mappings of a  $\Gamma$ -

ring M into a  $\Gamma$  -ring N, we say that  $\theta$  is a Jordan Higher Homomorphism on Gamma Ring(JHH.for short)if for every  $n \in N$ , we have

$$\phi_n(a \alpha b + b \alpha a) = \sum_{i=1}^n (\phi_i(a) \alpha \phi_i(b) + \phi_i(b) \alpha \phi_i(a)) \quad \forall a, b \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma.$$

Note that, when N is 2-torsion free, we define JHH be merely insisting that

$$\phi_n(a \alpha a) = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(a) \alpha \phi_i(a) \quad \forall a \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma.$$

Now we shall give the following lemma which is basic to prove the main result in this section

We should mentioned the reader that  $\phi_i(a) \propto \phi_j(b)=0$   $\forall a, b \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$ and  $a \alpha b \beta c=a \beta b \alpha c \forall a, b, c \in M(resp., N), \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$  will be freely used in this section.

<u>Lemma 2.4</u> :-Let M, N be a  $\Gamma$ -ring and  $\theta = (\Phi_i)_{i \in N}$  be a Jordan Higher Homomorphism then the following statements are holds:

- (i)  $\phi_n (a \alpha b \beta a) = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i (a) \alpha \phi_i (b) \beta \phi_i (a)$
- (*ii*)  $\phi_n (a \alpha b \beta c + c \alpha b \beta a) = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i (a) \alpha \phi_i (b) \beta \phi_i (c) + \phi_i (c) \alpha \phi_i (b) \beta \phi_i (a)$

**Proof**:-(i)Since 
$$\phi_n(a \alpha b + b \alpha a) = \sum_{i=1}^n (\phi_i(a) \alpha \phi_i(b) + \phi_i(b) \alpha \phi_i(a))$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{replace b by } a \ \beta \ b + b \ \beta \ a \\ W = \oint_n (a \ \alpha \ (a \ \beta \ b + b \ \beta \ a) + (a \ \beta \ b + b \ \beta \ a) \ \alpha \ a) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(a) \ \alpha \ \phi_i(a \ \beta \ b + b \ \beta \ a) + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(a \ \beta \ b + b \ \beta \ a) \ \alpha \ \phi_i(a) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(a) \ \alpha \ (\sum_{k=1}^i \ \phi_k(a) \ \beta \ \phi_k(b) + \phi_k(b) \ \beta \ \phi_k(a)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \ (\sum_{k=1}^i \ \phi_k(a) \ \beta \ \phi_k(b) + \phi_k(b) \ \beta \ \phi_k(a)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \ (\sum_{k=1}^i \ \phi_k(a) \ \beta \ \phi_k(b) + \phi_k(b) \ \beta \ \phi_k(a)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \ (\sum_{k=1}^i \ \phi_k(a) \ \beta \ \phi_k(b) + \phi_k(b) \ \beta \ \phi_k(a)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \ (\sum_{k=1}^i \ \phi_k(a) \ \beta \ \phi_k(b) + \phi_k(b) \ \beta \ \phi_k(a)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \ (\sum_{k=1}^i \ \phi_k(a) \ \beta \ \phi_k(b) + \phi_k(b) \ \beta \ \phi_k(a)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \ (\sum_{k=1}^i \ \phi_k(a) \ \beta \ \phi_k(b) + \phi_k(b) \ \beta \ \phi_k(a)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \ (\sum_{k=1}^i \ \phi_k(a) \ \beta \ \phi_k(b) + \phi_k(b) \ \beta \ \phi_k(a)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \ (\sum_{k=1}^i \ \phi_k(a) \ \beta \ \phi_k(b) + \phi_k(b) \ \beta \ \phi_k(a)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \ (\sum_{k=1}^i \ \phi_k(a) \ \beta \ \phi_k(b) + \phi_k(b) \ \beta \ \phi_k(a)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \ (\sum_{k=1}^i \ \phi_k(a) \ \beta \ \phi_k(b) + \phi_k(b) \ \phi_k(b) \ \phi_k(a)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \ (\sum_{k=1}^i \ \phi_k(a) \ \beta \ \phi_k(b) + \phi_k(b) \ \phi_k(c) + \phi_k(b) \ \phi_k(c) + \phi_k(b) \ \phi_k(c) + \phi_k(c) \ \phi_k(c) + \phi_k(c) \ \phi_k(c) + \phi_k(c) \ \phi_k(c) \ \phi_k(c) \ \phi_k(c) + \phi_k(c) \ \phi_k(c) + \phi_k(c) \ \phi_k(c) + \phi_k(c) \ \phi_k(c) \ \phi_k(c) \ \phi_k(c) + \phi_k(c) \ \phi_k(c) \$$

$$W = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_i(a) \alpha \phi_i(a) \beta \phi_i(b) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_i(a) \alpha \phi_i(b) \beta \phi_i(a)$$
$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_i(b) \beta \phi_i(a) \alpha \phi_i(a)$$

on the other hand,

$$W = \phi_n (a \alpha (a \beta b + b \beta a) + (a \beta b + b \beta a) \alpha a)$$
$$= \phi_n (a \alpha a \beta b + b \beta a \alpha a) + 2 \phi_n (a \alpha b \beta a)$$

$$=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{i}(a \alpha a) \beta \phi_{i}(b) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{i}(b) \beta \phi_{i}(a \alpha a) + 2 \phi_{n}(a \alpha b \beta a)$$
$$=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{i}(a) \alpha \phi_{i}(a) \beta \phi_{i}(b) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{i}(b) \beta \phi_{i}(a) \alpha \phi_{i}(a) + 2 \phi_{n}(a \alpha b \beta a)$$

then by comparing these two expression of W, we get

$$2\phi_n(a\alpha b\beta a)=2\sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(a) \alpha \phi_i(b) \beta \phi_i(a)$$

Since N is 2-torsion free, then

$$\oint_{n} (a \alpha b \beta a) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{i}(a) \alpha \phi_{i}(b) \beta \phi_{i}(a)$$

$$(ii) by replacing a by a+c in (i)$$

$$W = \oint_{n} ((a+c) \alpha b \beta (a+c))$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{i}(a+c) \alpha \phi_{i}(b) \beta \phi_{i}(a+c)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{i}(a) \alpha \phi_{i}(b) \beta \phi_{i}(a) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{i}(a) \alpha \phi_{i}(b) \beta \phi_{i}(c) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{i}(c) \alpha \phi_{i}(b)$$

$$\beta \phi_{i}(a) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{i}(c) \alpha \phi_{i}(b) \beta \phi_{i}(c)$$

$$On the other hand$$

 $W = \oint_n ((a+c) \alpha b \beta (a+c))$ 

$$= \oint_n (a \ \alpha \ b \ \beta \ a + a \ \alpha \ b \ \beta \ c + c \ \alpha \ b \ \beta \ a + c \ \alpha \ b \ \beta \ c)$$

$$= \oint_n (a \ \alpha \ b \ \beta \ a) + \oint_n (a \ \alpha \ b \ \beta \ c + c \ \alpha \ b \ \beta \ a) + \oint_n (c \ \alpha \ b \ \beta \ c)$$
by comparing these two expression of W, we get

$$\phi_n (a \ \alpha \ b \ \beta \ c+c \ \alpha \ b \ \beta \ a) = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(a) \ \alpha \ \phi_i(b) \ \beta \ \phi_i(c) + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(c) \ \alpha \ \phi_i(b) \ \beta \ \phi_i(a)$$

<u>**Theorem2.5</u>** :-Let  $\theta = (\Phi_i)_{i \in N}$  be a Jordan Higher Homomorphism from a Gamma ring M into a 2-torsion free completely prime Gamma ring N then  $\theta$  is either a higher homomorphism or an anti-higher homomorphism</u>

## Proof:-Since

$$\phi_n (a \ \alpha \ b \ \beta \ c+c \ \alpha \ b \ \beta \ a) = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(a) \ \alpha \ \phi_i(b) \ \beta \ \phi_i(c) + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(c) \ \alpha \ \phi_i(b) \ \beta \ \phi_i(a)$$

Replace c by  $a \alpha b$ 

$$W = \oint_{n} (a \ \alpha \ b \ \beta (a \ \alpha \ b) + (a \ \alpha \ b) \ \alpha \ b \ \beta \ a)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{i}(a) \ \alpha \ \phi_{i}(b) \ \beta \ \phi_{i}(a \ \alpha \ b) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{i}(a \ \alpha \ b) \ \alpha \ \phi_{i}(b) \ \beta \ \phi_{i}(a)$$

on the other hand

$$W=\phi_n (a \ \alpha \ b \ \beta (a \ \alpha \ b) + (a \ \alpha \ b) \ \alpha \ b \ \beta \ a)$$
  
=  $\phi_n ((a \ \alpha \ b) \ \beta (a \ \alpha \ b)) + \phi_n (a \ \alpha \ (b \ \beta \ b) \ \alpha \ a)$   
=  $\sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i (a \ \alpha \ b) \ \beta \ \phi_i (a \ \alpha \ b) + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i (a) \ \alpha \ \phi_i (b \ \beta \ b) \ \beta \ \phi_i (a)$ 

By comparing these two expression of W, we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\phi_{i}(a \ \alpha \ b) - \phi_{i}(a) \ \alpha \ \phi_{i}(b)) \ \beta \ \phi_{i}(a \ \alpha \ b) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\phi_{i}(a \ \alpha \ b) - \phi_{i}(a) \ \alpha \ \phi_{i}(b)) \ \beta \ \phi_{i}(b) \ \alpha \ \phi_{i}(a) = 0$$

Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\phi_i(a \ \alpha \ b) - \phi_i(a) \ \alpha \ \phi_i(b)) \ \beta (\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\phi_i(a \ \alpha \ b) - \phi_i(b) \ \alpha \ \phi_i(a)) = 0$$

Since N is completely prime Gamma ring

then either 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\phi_{i}(a \ \alpha \ b) - \phi_{i}(a) \ \alpha \ \phi_{i}(b)) = 0$$
 or  
 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\phi_{i}(a \ \alpha \ b) - \phi_{i}(b) \ \alpha \ \phi_{i}(a)) = 0$   
if  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{i}(a \ \alpha \ b) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{i}(a) \ \alpha \ \phi_{i}(b) = 0 \Rightarrow$   
 $\phi_{n}(a \ \alpha \ b) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{i}(a) \ \alpha \ \phi_{i}(b) = 0$   
 $\Rightarrow \theta \text{ is HH}$ 

and if 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{i}(a \ \alpha \ b) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{i}(b) \ \alpha \ \phi_{i}(a) = 0$$
  
 $\Rightarrow \phi_{n}(a \ \alpha \ b) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{i}(b) \ \alpha \ \phi_{i}(a) = 0 \Rightarrow \theta \ is \ A \ HH.$   
3-Generalized Jordan Higher Homomorphism on  
Completely Prime Gamma Rings

**<u>Definition 3.1</u>**:-Let  $\mathbf{F}=(f_i)_{i\in N}$  be a family of additive mappings of a  $\Gamma$ -

ring M into  $a\Gamma$  -ring N,F is said to be -a generalized higher homomorphism on Gamma ring (GHH,for short) if there exist a HH $\theta = (\Phi_i)_{i \in N}$  of M into N such that for every  $n \in N$ ,we have

$$f_n(a\,\alpha\,b) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(a) \,\alpha \,\phi_i(b) \qquad \forall \,a,b \in M, \,\alpha \in \Gamma.$$

**Definition 3.2**:-Let  $F=(f_i)_{i\in N}$  be a family of additive mappings of a  $\Gamma$  ring M into a  $\Gamma$  -ring N,F is said to be -a generalized anti- higher homomorphism on Gamma ring (GAHH,for short) if there exist an AHH  $\theta = (\Phi_i)_{i\in N}$  of M into N such that for every  $n \in N$ ,we have

$$f_n(a \alpha b) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(b) \alpha \phi_i(a) \qquad \forall a, b \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma.$$

**Definition 3.3**:-Let  $F=(f_i)_{i\in N}$  be a family of additive mappings of a  $\Gamma$ -

ring M into a  $\Gamma$  -ring N,F is said to be -a generalized Jordan higher homomorphism on Gamma ring (GJHH,for short) if there exist a JHH  $\theta = (\Phi_i)_{i \in N}$  of M into N such that for every  $n \in N$ ,we have

$$f_n(a \, \alpha \, b + b \, \alpha \, a) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(a) \, \alpha \, \phi_i(b) + \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(b) \, \alpha \, \phi_i(a) \quad \forall a, b \in M, \ \alpha \in \Gamma.$$

We should mentioned the reader that  $\phi_i(a) \propto \phi_j(b)=0 \quad \forall a,b \in M, \ \alpha \in \Gamma$  and  $a \alpha b \beta c=a \beta b \alpha c \quad \forall a,b,c \in M(resp.,N), \ \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$  and so  $f_i(a) \alpha \phi_j(b)=0$  $\forall a,b \in M, \ \alpha \in \Gamma$  will be used freely in this section.

**Lemma 3.4**:- Let  $F=(f_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a GJHH of  $a\Gamma$  -ring M into  $a\Gamma$  -ring N and  $\theta = (\Phi_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$  be the relating JHH , then (i) If N is 2-torsion free  $\Gamma$  -ring, then  $f_n (a \alpha b \beta a) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i (a) \alpha \phi_i(b) \beta \phi_i(a)$ (ii)  $f_n (a \alpha b \beta c + c \alpha b \beta a) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i (a) \alpha \phi_i(b) \beta \phi_i(c) + \sum_{i=1}^n f_i (c) \alpha \phi_i(b)$  $\beta \phi_i(a)$ 

**<u>Proof</u>**:-by the same technique of the proof[Lemma2.4] we can prove this lemma

<u>**Theorem3.5**</u>:- Let  $F=(f_i)_{i\in N}$  be a generalized Jordan Higher Homomorphism from a Gamma ring M into a 2-torsion free completely prime Gamma ring N then F is either a generalized higher homomorphism or an generalized anti-higher homomorphism.

Proof:-Since

$$f_n(a \alpha b \beta c + c \alpha b \beta a) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(a) \alpha \phi_i(b) \beta \phi_i(c) + \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(c) \alpha \phi_i(b) \beta \phi_i(a)$$

replace  $a by a \alpha b$  and  $c by b \alpha a$ 

W=  $f_n$  ((a  $\alpha$  b)  $\alpha x \beta$  (  $b\alpha a$ )+(  $b\alpha a$ )  $\alpha x \beta$  ( $a\alpha$  b))

$$=\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(a \alpha b) \alpha \phi_{i}(x) \beta \phi_{i}(b \alpha a) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(b \alpha a) \alpha \phi_{i}(x) \beta \phi_{i}(a \alpha b)$$

On the other hand

W= 
$$f_n (a \alpha b \alpha x \beta (b \alpha a) + (b \alpha a \alpha x \beta a \alpha b))$$
  
=  $\sum_{i=1}^n f_i(a) \alpha \phi_i(b) \alpha \phi_i(x) \beta \phi_i(b) \alpha \phi_i(a) +$ 

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(b) \alpha \phi_i(a) \alpha \phi_i(x) \beta \phi_i(a) \alpha \phi_i(b)$$

By comparing these two expression of W, we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (f_{i} (a \alpha b) \alpha \phi_{i}(x) \beta \phi_{i}(b \alpha a) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} (b \alpha a) \alpha \phi_{i}(x) \beta \phi_{i}(a \alpha b) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(a) \alpha \phi_{i}(b) \alpha \phi_{i}(x) \beta \phi_{i}(b) \alpha \phi_{i}(a) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(b) \alpha \phi_{i}(a) \alpha \phi_{i}(x) \beta \phi_{i}(a) \alpha \phi_{i}(a)$$

Since  $\theta = (\Phi_i)_{i \in N}$  is JHH on completely prime Gamma ring then  $\theta$  is either a HH or AHH by[ Theorem 2.5]

<u>*Case 1*</u>:-if  $\theta$  is a HH , then if we suppose that

$$a_{b} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} (a \alpha b) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} (b) \alpha \phi_{i} (a))$$
and  $a^{b} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} (a \alpha b) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} (a) \alpha \phi_{i} (b)$ 
 $(\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} (a \alpha b) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} (b) \alpha \phi_{i} (a)) \beta \phi_{i} (b \alpha a - a \alpha b) +$ 
 $(\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} (a \alpha b) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} (a) \alpha \phi_{i} (b)) \beta \phi_{i} (a \alpha b - b \alpha a) = 0$ 

$$\Rightarrow a_{b} \beta \phi_{i} (b \alpha a - a \alpha b) + a^{b} \beta \phi_{i} (a \alpha b - b \alpha a) = 0$$
and it is easy to see that
 $a^{b} = -a_{b}$ 

$$2a_b\beta\phi_i(b\alpha a - a\alpha b) = 0$$

Since N is 2-torsion free Gamma ring, then

$$a_b \beta \phi_i (b \alpha a - a \alpha b) = 0$$

Since N is completely prime Gamma ring then either  $a_b = 0$  or  $\phi_i (b \alpha a - a \alpha b) = 0$ If  $\phi_i (b \alpha a - a \alpha b) = 0$  then  $\theta = (\Phi_i)_{i \in N}$  is a higher anti-homomorphism which is contradictions with  $\theta = (\Phi_i)_{i \in N}$  is Higher homomorphism then  $a_b = 0$  and so F is Generalized anti-higher homomorphism . <u>*Case2*</u>:- by the same way, if  $\theta = (\Phi_i)_{i \in N}$  is anti-higher homomorphism we have F is a Generalized higher homomorphism.

#### **REFERANCES**

[1]W.E.Barnes"*On the* Γ*-ring of Nabusawa*", PacificJ.Math., 18(1966), 411-422.

[2] I. N.Herstien, "*Topics in ring theory*", Univ. of Chicago Press, Chicago 1969.

[3] I.N.Herstein, "*Jordan Homomorphism*", Trans. Amer. Math. Soc .81(1956),331-351.

[4] Anwar Khalel,"*On Higher Derivations and Higher Homomorphisms of Prime rings*", ph.D thesis Technology university 2007.

[5] N. Nabusawa" On a generalization of the ring theory", OsakaJ. Math., 1(1964)

[6] Rajaa.C.Shaheen," Generalized Jordan homomorhisms and Jordan left

*derivation on some rings*, M.S.C thesis, AL-Mustansiryah univ.College of Education 2005.

[7] ..... "Jordan Homomorphism and Generalized Jordan

Homomorphism on Completely Prime Gamma Ring 'to appear.

[8] M.F.Smiley," *Jordan homomorphisms onto Prime Rings*", Trans . Amer . Math . Soc. 84(1957), 426-429.