

On Symmetric Left Bi- (σ, τ) -Derivation on Gamma Rings

Rajaa C.Shaheen

Department of Mathematics, College of Education, University of Al-Qadisiya,

Rajaa_chaffat2010@yahoo.com.

Abstract

In this paper ,we introduce the concept of symmetric left bi- (σ, τ) - derivation on gamma rings and study this concept on completely prime Gamma ring also we study it if acts as homomorphism and we show that if D nonzero Jordan left bi- (σ, σ) derivation on 2-torsion free completely prime gamma ring M then M is commutative or D be left bi- (σ, σ) derivation .

Key words: Gamma ring, Prime ring, Derivation ,Left derivation .

الخلاصة

في هذا البحث ،قدمنا مفهوم الاشتقاق اليساري الثنائي المتناظر (σ, τ) على الحلقات من نوع كاما ودرسنا هذا المفهوم على الحلقات الاولية الكاملة من نوع كاما وكذلك درسناه اذا كان يعمل كتشاكل واثبتنا اذا كان D اشتقاق جوردان اليساري الثنائي- (σ, σ) غير صفري على الحلقة الاولية الكاملة وطلبة الالتواء من النمط الثاني من نوع كاما M افانه اما أن تكون M حلقة ابدالية او يكون D اشتقاق يساري ثنائي- (σ, σ) .
الكلمات المفتاحية: حلقة كاما، الحلقة الاولية، الاشتقاق، اشتقاق يساري.

1-Introduction

Throughout this paper , M will represent an associative Γ - ring and Z will be its center. Let R be a ring and let $x, y \in R$ the commutator $xy - yx$ will be denoted by $[x, y]$, we will also use the identities $[xy, z] = x[y, z] + [x, z]y$ and $[x, yz] = y[x, z] + [x, y]z$. Let A be an empty subset of R then a map $f: R \rightarrow R$ is said to be commuting (resp., Centralizing) on A if $[f(x), x] = 0$ (resp ., $[f(x), x] \in Z$) for all $x \in A$. We recall that R is semi-prime if $aR = 0$ implies that $a = 0$ and R is prime if $aR = 0$ implies that $a = 0$ or $b = 0$. it is easy to see that every prime ring be semi-prime but the converse is not true.

An additive map $d: R \rightarrow R$ is called a derivation (resp. left derivation) if $d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y)$ (resp $d(xy) = xd(y) + yd(x)$) for all $x, y \in R$. A bi-derivation mean that a bi-additive map $D: R \times R \rightarrow R$ (D is additive in both arguments) which satisfy the relations

$$D(xy, z) = D(x, z)y + xD(y, z)$$

$$D(x, yz) = D(x, y)z + yD(x, z) \quad \forall x, y \in R.$$

Let D be symmetric that is $D(x, y) = D(y, x) \quad \forall x, y \in R$. the map $T: R \rightarrow R$ defined by $T(x) = D(x, x) \quad \forall x \in R$ is called the trace of D . Many paper study the concept of symmetric bi-derivation such as the paper of [Mohammed Ashraf 1999], [Vukman. J 1989], [Vukman. J 1990]. In [Bresar 2004, Theorem 3.5] prove that ,if R is a non-commutative 2-torsion free prime ring and $D: R \times R \rightarrow R$ is a symmetric bi-derivation then $D = 0$.

Let R be a ring then the bi-additive map $D: R \times R \rightarrow R$ is called a left bi-derivation if $D(xy, z) = xD(y, z) + yD(x, z)$ and $D(x, yz) = yD(x, z) + zD(x, y) \quad \forall x, y, z \in R$.

We should mentioned the reader that the notion of left bi-derivation was introduced by authors in [Nagy and others 2010, Definition 3.1] and see that a prime ring of characteristic $\neq 2, 3$ that admit a non-zero Jordan left bi-derivation is commutative also

if R is semi prime ring every bi-left derivation be an ordinary bi-derivation that maps from R into its center.

Let M and Γ be additive abelian groups, M is called a Γ -ring if for any $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, the following conditions are satisfied

- (1) $x \alpha y \in M$
- (2) $(x+y) \alpha z = x \alpha z + y \alpha z$
 $x(\alpha + \beta)z = x \alpha z + x \beta z$
 $x \alpha (y+z) = x \alpha y + x \alpha z$
- (3) $(x \alpha y) \beta z = x \alpha (y \beta z)$

The notion of Γ -ring was introduced by [Nabusawa, 1964] and generalized by [Barnes, 1966], many properties of Γ -ring were obtained by many research such as Ceven, 2002. Let A, B be subsets of a Γ -ring M and Λ be a subset of Γ we denote $A \wedge B$ the subset of M consisting of all finite sum of the form $\sum a_i \lambda_i b_i$ where

$a_i \in A, b_i \in B$ and $\lambda_i \in \Gamma$. A right ideal (resp., left ideal) of a Γ -ring M is an additive subgroup I of M such that $I\Gamma M \subset I$ (resp., $M\Gamma I \subset I$). If I is a right and left ideal in M , then we say that I is an ideal. M is called a 2-torsion free if $2x=0$ implies $x=0$, for all $x \in M$. A Γ -ring M is called prime if $a\Gamma M\Gamma b=0$ implies $a=0$ or $b=0$ and M is called completely prime if $a\Gamma b=0$ implies $a=0$ or $b=0$ ($a, b \in M$). Since $a\Gamma b\Gamma a\Gamma b \subset a\Gamma M\Gamma b$, then every completely prime Γ -ring is prime. A Γ -ring M is called semi-prime if $a\Gamma M\Gamma a=0$ implies $a=0$ and M is called completely semi-prime if $a\Gamma a=0$ implies $a=0$ ($a \in M$).

In this paper, we give a new definition which is the definition of Symmetric bi-left (σ, τ) derivation on Γ -ring and study this concept on completely prime Γ -ring in section Two and in section three we study this concept if it acts as left or right homomorphism also we introduce the concept of Jordan left bi- (σ, σ) derivation on Γ -ring and study it on completely prime Γ -ring.

2-Symmetric left bi (σ, τ) derivation on completely prime Γ -rings.

In this section, we introduce the definition of symmetric left bi (σ, τ) derivation on gamma rings as follows

Definition 2.1:-

An additive mapping $D: M \times M \rightarrow M$ is called a symmetric left bi (σ, τ) -derivation if there exist $\sigma, \tau: M \rightarrow M$ such that

$$D(x \alpha y, z) = \tau(x) \alpha D(y, z) + \sigma(y) \alpha D(x, z)$$

And

$$D(x, y \alpha z) = \tau(y) \alpha D(x, z) + \sigma(z) \alpha D(x, y) \quad \forall x, y, z \in M$$

Lemma 2.2:-

Let M be a 2-torsion free completely prime Γ -ring and I a non-zero left (or right ideal of M). Let $D: M \times M \rightarrow M$ be symmetric left bi (σ, τ) -derivation $\sigma, \tau: M \rightarrow M$, $\sigma(I)=I$, and d the trace of D . Suppose that $d(x)=0 \quad \forall x \in I$. Then $d=0$ and so $D=0$.

Proof:- Since we have $d(x)=0 \quad \forall x \in I$(2.1)

By replacing x by $x+y$ and use (1)

We get $2D(x, y)=0 \quad \forall x, y \in I$

Since M is 2-torsion free,

We get $D(x, y)=0 \quad \forall x, y \in I$(2.2)

Replace y by $ry, r \in M$

$$D(x, r \alpha y) = 0$$

$$\tau(r) \alpha D(x, y) + \sigma(y) \alpha D(x, r) = 0$$

By (2.2), we get

$$\sigma(y) \alpha D(x, r) = 0$$

$$\sigma(I) \alpha D(x, r) = 0 \quad \forall x, y \in I, \quad \forall r \in M$$

Since $I \neq 0$ and σ is automorphism then $\sigma(I) \neq 0$ and since M is completely prime Γ -ring then

$$D(x, r) = 0 \dots \dots \dots (2.3)$$

Replace x by $x \alpha r$

$$D(x \alpha r, r) = 0$$

$$D(x \alpha r, r) = \tau(x) \alpha D(r, r) + \sigma(r) \alpha D(x, r) = 0$$

By (2.3), we get

$$\tau(x) \alpha D(r, r) = 0$$

Since M is completely prime Γ -ring

Then either $\tau(x) = 0$ or $d(r) = 0$

Since $I \neq 0$ and τ is automorphism then $\tau(I) \neq 0$ is an ideal of M

Then $d(r) = 0$

$$d(r) = 0 \quad \forall r \in M$$

Now replace r by $r+s, s \in M$

$$d(r+s) = d(r) + d(s) + 2D(r, s)$$

And since $d(r) = 0 \quad \forall r \in M$

$$\text{Then } 2D(r, s) = 0$$

Since M is 2-torsion free, we get

$$D(r, s) = 0 \quad \forall r, s \in M \text{ which is } D = 0.$$

Theorem 2.3 :- Let M be a 2-torsion free completely prime Γ -ring and I a non-zero (non-commutative) ideal of M and Let $D: M \times M \rightarrow M$ be symmetric left bi- (σ, τ) -derivation, $\sigma, \tau: M \rightarrow M, \sigma(I) = \tau(I) = I$, and d the trace of D .

If $[x, d(x)]_\alpha = 0 \quad \forall x \in I$ Then $D = 0$.

Proof:-

$$\text{Since } [x, d(x)]_\alpha = 0 \quad \forall x \in I \dots \dots \dots (2.4)$$

Linearizing x by $x+y$, to get

$$x \alpha d(x) + x \alpha d(y) + 2x \alpha D(x, y) + y \alpha d(x) + y \alpha d(y) + 2y \alpha D(x, y) - d(x) \alpha x - d(x) \alpha y - d(y) \alpha x - d(y) \alpha y - 2D(x, y) \alpha x - 2D(x, y) \alpha y = 0$$

Then

$$[x, d(y)]_\alpha + [y, d(x)]_\alpha + 2[x, D(x, y)]_\alpha + 2[y, D(x, y)]_\alpha = 0 \dots \dots \dots (2.5)$$

Replace x by $-x$ in (2.5) to get

$$-[x, d(y)]_\alpha - [y, d(x)]_\alpha + 2[x, D(x, y)]_\alpha - 2[y, D(x, y)]_\alpha = 0 \dots \dots \dots (2.6)$$

By comparing (2.5) and (2.6), to get

$$4[x, D(x, y)]_\alpha = 0$$

Since M is 2-torsion free

$$[x, D(x, y)]_\alpha = 0 \dots \dots \dots (2.7)$$

Replace y by $y \beta z$,

$$[x, D(x, y \beta z)]_\alpha = 0$$

$$[x, \tau(y) \beta D(x, z) + \sigma(z) \beta D(x, y)]_\alpha = 0$$

$$[x, \tau(y) \beta D(x, z)]_\alpha + [x, \sigma(z) \beta D(x, y)]_\alpha = 0$$

$$[x, \tau(y)]_{\alpha} \beta D(x,z) + \tau(y) \beta [x, D(x,z)]_{\alpha} + [x, \sigma(z)]_{\alpha} \beta D(x,y) + \sigma(z) \beta [x, D(x,y)]_{\alpha} = 0$$

Then by (2.7), we get

$$[x, \tau(y)]_{\alpha} \beta D(x,z) + [x, \sigma(z)]_{\alpha} \beta D(x,y) = 0$$

By replacing z by y and use the fact $\sigma(I) = T(I) = I$, we get

$$2[x, \sigma(y)]_{\alpha} \beta D(x,y) = 0$$

Since R is 2-torsion free,

$$[x, \sigma(y)]_{\alpha} \beta D(x,y) = 0$$

Since M is completely prime Γ -ring, then we get

$$\text{Either } [x, \sigma(y)]_{\alpha} = 0 \text{ or } D(x,y) = 0$$

If $[x, \sigma(y)]_{\alpha} = 0$ then $[x, y]_{\alpha} = 0 \forall x, y \in I$ then I is commutative which is contradiction with I is non-commutative then $D(x,y) = 0 \forall x, y \in I$ and so $D = 0$ on M . by proof of [lemma 2.2]

Theorem 2.4 :- Let M be a 2-torsion free and 3-torsion free prime Γ -ring and Let $D: M \times M \rightarrow M$ be symmetric left bi- (σ, τ) -derivation

$\sigma, \tau: M \rightarrow M$, $\sigma(x) = \tau(x)$ and d the trace of D . if $[x, d(x)]_{\alpha} \in Z(R) \forall x \in M$. Then $D = 0$.

Proof:-

$$\text{Since we have } [x, d(x)]_{\alpha} \in Z(M) \forall x \in M \dots \dots \dots (2.8)$$

Replace x by $x+y$

$$[d(x), y]_{\alpha} + [d(y), x]_{\alpha} + 2[D(x, y), x]_{\alpha} + 2[D(x, y), y]_{\alpha} \in Z(M) \dots \dots \dots (2.9)$$

Replace x by $-x$, to get

$$[d(x), y]_{\alpha} - [d(y), x]_{\alpha} + 2[D(x, y), x]_{\alpha} - 2[D(x, y), y]_{\alpha} \in Z(M) \dots \dots \dots (2.10)$$

By comparing (2.9) and (2.10)

$$2[d(x), y] + 4[D(x, y), x] \in Z(M)$$

Since R is 2-torsion free,

$$[d(x), y]_{\alpha} + 2[D(x, y), x]_{\alpha} \in Z(M)$$

Replace y by $x \beta x$, to get

$$[d(x), x \beta x]_{\alpha} + 2[D(x, x \beta x), x]_{\alpha} \in Z(M)$$

Since D is a symmetric left bi- (σ, τ) -derivation

Then

$$[d(x), x \beta x]_{\alpha} + 2[\sigma(x) \beta D(x, x) + \tau(x) \beta D(x, x), x]_{\alpha} \in Z(M)$$

$$[d(x), x \beta x]_{\alpha} + 2[\sigma(x) \beta d(x) + \tau(x) \beta d(x), x]_{\alpha} \in Z(M)$$

$$[d(x), x \beta x]_{\alpha} + 2[\sigma(x) \beta d(x), x]_{\alpha} + 2[\tau(x) \beta d(x), x]_{\alpha} \in Z(M)$$

$$[d(x), x]_{\alpha} \beta x + x \beta [d(x), x]_{\alpha} + 2[\sigma(x), x]_{\alpha} \beta d(x) + 2\sigma(x) \beta [d(x), x]_{\alpha} + 2[\tau(x), x]_{\alpha} \beta d(x) + 2\tau(x) \beta [d(x), x]_{\alpha} \in Z(M)$$

Since $[d(x), x]_{\alpha} \in Z(M)$ and $\sigma(x) = \tau(x) = x$

$$2x \beta [d(x), x]_{\alpha} + 4[\sigma(x), x]_{\alpha} \beta d(x) + 4\sigma(x) \beta [d(x), x]_{\alpha} \in Z(M)$$

$$\text{Then } 2x \beta [d(x), x]_{\alpha} + 4[\sigma(x) \beta d(x), x]_{\alpha} \in Z(M)$$

If $\sigma(x) = x$ then

$$6x \beta [d(x), x]_{\alpha} \in Z(M) \dots \dots \dots (2.11)$$

Since M is 2-torsion and 3-torsion free, then by (2.11) and (2.8), we get

$$[x,y]_{\lambda} \beta [d(x),x]_{\alpha} = 0$$

If $x \notin Z(M)$ then $[x,y]_{\lambda} \neq 0$

Since M is completely prime Γ -ring, then

$[d(x),x]_{\alpha} = 0$ and so by [Theorem 2.3]

We get $d=0$ which leads to $D=0$.

3-Symmetric Left BI- (σ, τ) -Derivation acts as homomorphism.

Definition3.1 :-Let M be a Γ -ring and I a non-zero left (resp.right) ideal of M . we shall say that a mapping $D: M \times M \rightarrow M$ acts as a left (resp.right) a (σ, τ) -homomorphism on I if $(D(r \alpha x, y) = \sigma(x) \alpha D(x, y)$ and $D(x, r \alpha y) = \sigma(r) \alpha D(x, y)$ (resp. $D(x \alpha r, y) = D(x, y) \alpha \alpha \tau(r)$ and $D(x, y \alpha r) = D(x, y) \alpha \tau(r) \forall x, y \in I$ and $r \in M$.

Let S be a set, $L(S)$ (resp. $r(S)$) will denote the left (resp.right) annihilator of S .

Theorem3.2 :-Let M be a ring and I a non-zero left (resp.right) ideal of R . such that $r(I)=0$ (resp. $L(I)=0$). Let $D: M \times M \rightarrow M$ be a symmetric left bi- (σ, τ) derivation if D acts as a left (resp. right)-homomorphism on I then $D=0$.

Proof:- Suppose that I is a left ideal such that $L(I)=0$ and D acts as a left homomorphism on I then

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(r) \alpha D(x, y) &= D(r \alpha x, y) \\ &= \tau(x) \alpha D(r, y) + \sigma(r) \alpha D(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

Then $\tau(x) \alpha D(r, y) = 0 \forall x, y \in I$ and $r \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$.

Then $D(r, y) \in r(I) = 0$

Then $D(r, y) = 0 \forall y \in I$ and $r \in M$.

$$0 = D(s \alpha x, r) = \tau(x) \alpha D(s, r) + \sigma(s) \alpha D(x, r) = 0$$

Then $\tau(x) D(s, r) = 0 \forall x \in I$ and $r, s \in M$.

And since τ is automorphism then $\tau(x)$ is an ideal

Then $D(s, r) \in r(I) = 0$

Then $D(s, r) = 0 \forall s, r \in M$, and so $D=0$.

And by the same way for the right ideal and right homomorphism.

We should mentioned the reader that the above theorem be true for any Γ -ring M then it is easy to see that it is true for prime Γ -ring and semi-prime Γ -ring.

4- Jordan Left Bi- (σ, σ) Derivation on Gamma Ring

Definition4.1_- Let M be a Γ -ring then the bi-additive mapping $D: M \times M \rightarrow M$ is

called a Jordan left bi- (σ, τ) derivation if there exist $\sigma, \tau: M \rightarrow M$

$$D(x \alpha x, y) = \tau(x) \alpha D(x, y) + \sigma(x) \alpha D(x, y)$$

and

$$D(x, y \alpha y) = \tau(y) \alpha D(x, y) + \sigma(y) \alpha D(x, y) \forall x, y \in M.$$

It is easy to see that every left bi- (σ, τ) derivation be Jordan left bi- (σ, τ) derivation but the converse is not true. In this section we study this problem.

Lemma 4.2:- Let M be a Γ -ring, $D: M \times M \rightarrow M$ be a Jordan left bi- (σ, σ) derivation then the following statements hold:

$$(i) D(x \alpha z + z \alpha x, y) = 2 \sigma(x) \alpha D(z, y) + 2 \sigma(z) \alpha D(x, y)$$

Especially if M is 2-torsion free and $x\alpha y\beta z = x\beta y\alpha z$, for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, then

$$(ii) D(x\beta z\alpha x, y) = \sigma(x)\alpha\sigma(x)\beta D(z, y) + 3\sigma(x)\alpha\sigma(z)\beta D(x, y) - \sigma(z)\beta\sigma(x)\alpha D(x, y)$$

$$(iii) D(x\alpha z\beta w + w\alpha z\beta x, y) = \sigma(x)\alpha\sigma(w)\beta D(z, y) + \sigma(w)\alpha\sigma(x)\beta D(z, y) + 3\sigma(x)\alpha\sigma(z)\beta D(w, y) + 3\sigma(w)\alpha\sigma(z)\beta D(x, y) - \sigma(z)\beta\sigma(w)\alpha D(x, y) - \sigma(z)\beta\sigma(x)\alpha D(w, y)$$

$$(iv) [\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha}\beta D(x\alpha z, y) = \sigma(x)\alpha[\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha}\beta D(z, y) + \sigma(z)\beta[\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha}\alpha D(x, y)$$

$$(v) [\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha}\beta\sigma(x)\alpha D(x, y) = \sigma(x)\alpha[\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha}\beta D(x, y)$$

Proof :- (i) Since D is a Jordan left bi- (σ, σ) derivation then

$$D(x\alpha x, y) = 2\sigma(x)\alpha D(x, y), \text{ for all } x, y \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma, \dots\dots\dots(4.1)$$

by linearizing (4.1), we get

$$D(x\alpha z + z\alpha x, y) = 2\sigma(x)\alpha D(z, y) + 2\sigma(z)\alpha D(x, y) \dots\dots(4.2)$$

(ii) In (4.2) replace z by $x\beta z + z\beta x$, $\beta \in \Gamma$.

$$\begin{aligned} W &= D(x\alpha(x\beta z + z\beta x) + (x\beta z + z\beta x)\alpha x, y) \\ &= 2\sigma(x)\alpha D(x\beta z + z\beta x, y) + 2\sigma(x\beta z + z\beta x)\alpha D(x, y) \\ &= 2\sigma(x)\alpha(2\sigma(x)\beta D(z, y) + 2\sigma(z)\beta D(x, y)) + 2\sigma(x\beta z + z\beta x)\alpha D(x, y) \\ &= 4\sigma(x)\alpha\sigma(x)\beta D(z, y) + 6\sigma(x)\alpha\sigma(z)\beta D(x, y) + \\ &\quad + 2\sigma(z)\beta\sigma(x)\alpha D(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} W &= D(x\alpha(x\beta z + z\beta x) + (x\beta z + z\beta x)\alpha x, y) \\ &= D(x\alpha x\beta z + 2x\beta z\alpha x + z\beta x\alpha x, y) \\ &= D((x\alpha x)\beta z + z\beta(x\alpha x), y) + 2D(x\beta z\alpha x, y) \\ &= 2\sigma(x\alpha x)\beta D(z, y) + 2\sigma(z)\beta D(x\alpha x, y) + 2D(x\beta z\alpha x, y) \\ &= 2\sigma(x)\alpha\sigma(x)\beta D(z, y) + 4\sigma(z)\beta\sigma(x)\alpha D(x, y) + 2D(x\beta z\alpha x, y) \end{aligned}$$

By comparing these two expression of W , we get

$$2D(x\beta z\alpha x, y) = 2\sigma(x)\alpha\sigma(x)\beta D(z, y) + 6\sigma(x)\alpha\sigma(z)\beta D(x, y) - 2\sigma(z)\beta\sigma(x)\alpha D(x, y)$$

And since M is 2-torsion free, then

$$D(x \beta z \alpha x, y) = \sigma(x) \alpha \sigma(x) \beta D(z, y) + 3 \sigma(x) \alpha \sigma(z) \beta D(x, y) - \sigma(z) \beta \sigma(x) \alpha D(x, y) \dots \dots \dots (4.3)$$

(iii) by linearizing (4.3) on x, we get

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= D((x+w) \alpha z \beta (x+w), y) \\ &= \sigma(x+w) \alpha \sigma(x+w) \beta D(z, y) + 3 \sigma(x+w) \alpha \sigma(z) \beta D(x+w, y) \\ &\quad - \sigma(z) \beta \sigma(x+w) \alpha D(x+w, y) \\ &= \sigma(x) \alpha \sigma(x) \beta D(z, y) + \sigma(w) \alpha \sigma(w) \beta D(z, y) + \\ &\quad \sigma(x) \alpha \sigma(w) \beta D(z, y) + \sigma(w) \alpha \sigma(x) \beta D(z, y) + \\ &\quad 3 \sigma(x) \alpha \sigma(z) \beta D(x, y) + 3 \sigma(x) \alpha \sigma(z) \beta D(w, y) + 3 \sigma(w) \alpha \sigma(z) \beta D(x, y) \\ &\quad + 3 \sigma(w) \alpha \sigma(z) \beta D(w, y) - \sigma(z) \beta \sigma(x) \alpha D(x, y) - \sigma(z) \beta \sigma(w) \alpha D(x, y) \\ &\quad - \sigma(z) \beta \sigma(x) \alpha D(w, y) - \sigma(z) \beta \sigma(w) \alpha D(w, y) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= D((x+w) \alpha z \beta (x+w), y) \\ &= D(x \alpha z \beta x, y) + D(x \alpha z \beta w + w \alpha z \beta x, y) + D(w \alpha z \beta w, y) \end{aligned}$$

By comparing these two expression of Y, we get

$$\begin{aligned} D(x \alpha z \beta w + w \alpha z \beta x, y) &= \sigma(x) \alpha \sigma(w) \beta D(z, y) + \sigma(w) \alpha \sigma(x) \beta D(z, y) + \\ &\quad 3 \sigma(x) \alpha \sigma(z) \beta D(w, y) + 3 \sigma(w) \alpha \sigma(z) \beta D(x, y) - \sigma(z) \beta \sigma(w) \alpha D(x, y) - \\ &\quad \sigma(z) \beta \sigma(x) \alpha D(w, y) \dots \dots \dots (4.4) \end{aligned}$$

(iv) Now replace w by x \alpha z in (4.4)

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= D(x \alpha z \beta (x \alpha z) + (x \alpha z) \alpha z \beta x, y) = \sigma(x) \alpha \sigma(x \alpha z) \beta D(z, y) + \sigma(x \alpha z) \\ &\quad \alpha \sigma(x) \beta D(z, y) + 3 \sigma(x) \alpha \sigma(z) \beta D(x \alpha z, y) + 3 \sigma(x \alpha z) \alpha \sigma(z) \beta D(x, y) - \sigma \\ &\quad (z) \beta \sigma(x \alpha z) \alpha D(x, y) - \sigma(z) \beta \sigma(x) \alpha D(x \alpha z, y) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= D((x \alpha z) \beta (x \alpha z) + x \alpha (z \alpha z) \beta x, y) \\ &= 2 \sigma(x \alpha z) \beta D(x \alpha z, y) + \sigma(x) \alpha \sigma(x) \beta D(z \alpha z, y) + 3 \sigma(x) \alpha \sigma(z \alpha z) \\ &\quad \beta D(x, y) - \sigma(z \beta z) \alpha \sigma(x) \alpha D(x, y) \\ &= 2 \sigma(x) \alpha \sigma(z) \beta D(x \alpha z, y) + 2 \sigma(x) \alpha \sigma(x) \beta \sigma(z) \alpha D(z, y) + 3 \sigma(x) \alpha \\ &\quad \sigma(z) \alpha \sigma(z) \beta D(x, y) - \sigma(z) \beta \sigma(z) \alpha \sigma(x) \alpha D(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

By comparing these two expression of Y, we get

$$[\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha} \beta D(x\alpha z, y) - \sigma(z) \alpha \sigma(x) \alpha \sigma(z) \alpha D(x, y) + \sigma(x) \alpha \sigma(z) \alpha \sigma(x) \beta D(z, y) - \sigma(x) \alpha \sigma(x) \beta \sigma(z) \alpha D(z, y) + \sigma(z) \beta \sigma(z) \alpha \sigma(x) \alpha D(x, y) = 0$$

Then

$$[\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha} \beta D(x\alpha z, y) = \sigma(x) \alpha [\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha} \beta D(z, y) + \sigma(z) \beta [\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha} \alpha D(x, y) \dots \dots \dots (4.5)$$

(v) Now replace z by x+z in (4.5)

$$\begin{aligned} [\sigma(x), \sigma(x+z)]_{\alpha} \beta D(x\alpha(x+z), y) &= \sigma(x) \alpha [\sigma(x), \sigma(x+z)]_{\alpha} \beta D(x+z, y) + \sigma(x+z) \beta [\sigma(x), \sigma(x+z)]_{\alpha} \alpha D(x, y) \\ &= \sigma(x) \alpha [\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha} \beta D(x, y) + \sigma(x) \alpha [\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha} \alpha D(z, y) + \sigma(x) \beta [\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha} \alpha D(x, y) + \sigma(z) \beta [\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha} \alpha D(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= [\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha} \beta D(x\alpha x, y) + [\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha} \beta D(x\alpha z, y) \\ &= 2[\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha} \beta \sigma(x) \alpha D(x, y) + [\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha} \beta D(x\alpha z, y) \\ &= \sigma(x) \alpha [\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha} \beta D(x, y) + \sigma(x) \alpha [\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha} \beta D(z, y) + \sigma(x) \beta [\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha} \alpha D(x, y) + \sigma(z) \beta [\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha} \alpha D(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

By (4.5) and by comparing these two expression of Y, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2[\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha} \beta \sigma(x) \alpha D(x, y) + \sigma(x) \alpha [\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha} \beta D(z, y) + \sigma(z) \beta [\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha} \alpha D(x, y) &= \sigma(x) \alpha [\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha} \beta D(x, y) + \sigma(x) \alpha [\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha} \beta D(z, y) + \sigma(x) \beta [\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha} \alpha D(x, y) + \sigma(z) \beta [\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha} \alpha D(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

$$2[\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha} \beta \sigma(x) \alpha D(x, y) = 2\sigma(x) \alpha [\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha} \beta D(x, y)$$

Since M is 2-torsion free

$$[\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha} \beta \sigma(x) \alpha D(x, y) = \sigma(x) \alpha [\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha} \beta D(x, y) \dots \dots \dots (4.6)$$

Theorem 4.3:- Let M be a 2-torsion free completely prime Γ -ring and let $D: M \times M \rightarrow M$ be a Jordan left bi- (σ, σ) derivation then either M is commutative or D is a left bi- (σ, σ) derivation

Proof:- from (4.6), we have

$$[\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha} \beta \sigma(x) \alpha D(x, y) = \sigma(x) \alpha [\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha} \beta D(x, y)$$

By replacing x by x+z, we get

$$[\sigma(x+z), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha} \beta \sigma(x+z) \alpha D(x+z, y) = \sigma(x+z) \alpha [\sigma(x+z), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha} \beta D(x+z, y)$$

Then

$$W = [\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha} \beta \sigma(x) \alpha D(x, y) + [\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha} \beta \sigma(z) \alpha D(x, y) + [\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha} \beta \sigma(x) \alpha D(z, y) + [\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha} \beta \sigma(z) \alpha D(z, y)$$

On the other hand

$$W = \sigma(x) \alpha [\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha} \beta D(x, y) + \sigma(x) \alpha [\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha} \beta D(z, y) + \sigma(z) \alpha [\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha} \beta D(x, y) + \sigma(z) \alpha [\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha} \beta D(z, y)$$

By comparing these two expressions of W, we get

$$[\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha} \beta \sigma(z) \alpha D(x, y) + [\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha} \beta \sigma(x) \alpha D(z, y) = \sigma(x) \alpha [\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha} \beta D(z, y) + \sigma(z) \alpha [\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha} \beta D(x, y)$$

from (4.6), we get

$$[\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha} \beta (D(x \alpha z, y) - \sigma(x) \alpha D(z, y) - \sigma(z) \alpha D(x, y)) = 0$$

Since M is completely prime gamma ring, then

$$\text{Either } [\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha} = 0 \text{ or } D(x \alpha z, y) - \sigma(x) \alpha D(z, y) - \sigma(z) \alpha D(x, y) = 0$$

If $[\sigma(x), \sigma(z)]_{\alpha} = 0$ then M is commutative (since σ is automorphism) and if

$D(x \alpha z, y) - \sigma(x) \alpha D(z, y) - \sigma(z) \alpha D(x, y) = 0$ then D is a left bi- (σ, σ) derivation.

References

- Barnes, W.E 1966 "On The Γ -Ring of Nabusawa", Pacific J.Math., 18, 411-422.
 Bresar, M. 2004 "Commuting Maps: asurvey", Taiwanese J.Math. 8, no. 3, 361-397.
 Ceven, Y. 2002 "Jordan Left Derivations on Completely Prime Gamma Rings", Fenbilimleri Dergisi cilt 23 say 12.
 Mohammed Ashraf 1999 "on Symmetric Bi-Derivations in Rings" Rend .Istit .Mat.Univ.Trieste. Vol.XXXI, 25-3.
 Vukman. J 1989 "Symmetric Bi-derivations on Prime and Semi-Prime Rings", Aequationes Mathematicae 38, 245-254.
 Vukman, J, 1990 "Two Results Concerning Symmetric Bi-Derivations on Prime Rings", Aequationes math. 40, no. 2-3, 181-189.
 Nabusawa, N 1964 "On a Generalization of The Ring Theory", Osaka J.Math., 1().
 Nagy. D, Tammam Al-Said and Claus Haetinger 2010 "Reverse, Jordan and Left Bi Derivation" Oriental Journal of Mathematics 2, 2.