

**Application of Fractional Calculus
on Certain Class of p -Valent Functions
with Negative Coefficients Defined by
Ruscheweyh Derivative**

Waggas Galib Atshan

Department of Mathematics
University of Pune, Pune - 411007, India
waggashnd@yahoo.com

S. R. Kulkarni

Department of Mathematics
Fergusson College, Pune - 411004, India
kulkarni_ferg@yahoo.com

G. Murugusundaramoorthy

School of Science and Humanities
VIT University, Vellore-632014, T.N., India
gmsmoorthy@yahoo.com

Abstract

In the present paper, we apply the fractional calculus techniques for the subclass $WA(n, p, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$. By using the definition of fractional derivative and integration, we obtain some theorems leading to distortion theorem and neighborhood properties. Also we get results about coefficient inequality and Hadamard product.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45

Keywords: Starlike function, Convex function, Fractional derivative, Fractional integration, Ruscheweyh derivative, Hadamard product, Distortion theorem, Neighborhood, Coefficient inequality

1. Introduction

Let $S(n, p)$ be the class of functions $f(z)$ of the form

$$f(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (a_k \geq 0, n, p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}) \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic p -valent in the open unit disk $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. Let $S^*WA(n, p, \beta)$ denote the subclass of $S(n, p)$ consisting of p -valent starlike functions of order $\beta, 0 \leq \beta < p$, if it also satisfies the inequality

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \beta, \quad z \in U. \quad (1.2)$$

And also, let $CWA(n, p, \beta)$ denote the subclass of $S(n, p)$ consisting of p -valent convex functions of order $\beta, 0 \leq \beta < p$, if it also satisfies the inequality

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > \beta, \quad z \in U. \quad (1.3)$$

Then, we see that $f(z) \in CWA(n, p, \beta)$ if and only if $zf'(z) \in S^*WA(n, p, \beta)$.

Definition 1.1 [7] : The fractional integral of order δ ($0 < \delta$) is defined by

$$D_z^{-\delta} f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\delta)} \int_0^z \frac{f(t)}{(z-t)^{1-\delta}} dt \quad (1.4)$$

where $f(z)$ is an analytic function in a simply connected region of z -plane containing the origin and the multiplicity of $(z-t)^{\delta-1}$ is removed by requiring $\log(z-t)$ to be real when $(z-t) > 0$.

Definition 1.2 [7] : The fractional derivative of order δ ($0 \leq \delta < 1$) is defined by

$$D_z^{\delta} f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\delta)} \frac{d}{dz} \int_0^z \frac{f(t)}{(z-t)^{\delta}} dt \quad (1.5)$$

where $f(z)$ is as in Definition 1.1 and the multiplicity of $(z - t)^{-\delta}$ is removed like Definition 1.1.

Definition 1.3 [7] : [Under the conditions of Definition (1.2)] the fractional derivative of order $n + \delta$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) is defined by

$$D_z^{n+\delta} f(z) = \frac{d^n}{dz^n} D_z^\delta f(z).$$

From Definitions 1.1 and 1.2 by applying a simple calculation we get

$$D_z^{-\delta} f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(2 + \delta)} z^{p+\delta} - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k + p)}{\Gamma(k + p + \delta)} a_k z^{k+\delta}, \tag{1.6}$$

$$D_z^\delta f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(2 - \delta)} z^{p-\delta} - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k + p)}{\Gamma(k + p - \delta)} a_k z^{k-\delta}. \tag{1.7}$$

Also we note that the concept of δ -neighborhood $N_\delta(f)$ of analytic functions $f(z)$ was introduced by Ruscheweyh [5] and Goodman [2], but for meromorphic p -valent function studied by Liu and Srivastava [3].

Definition 1.4 [4], [7] : The Ruscheweyh derivative of order $\lambda + p - 1$ is denoted by $D^{\lambda+p-1} f$ and defined as following: If

$$f(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_k z^k,$$

then

$$D^{\lambda+p-1} f(z) = \frac{z^p}{(1 - z)^{p+\lambda}} * f(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + k)}{\Gamma(\lambda + p)(k - p)!} a_k z^k, \lambda > -p. \tag{1.8}$$

In particular when $p = 1$ we have $D^\lambda f(z) = z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} B_k(\lambda) a_k z^k$, where

$$B_k(\lambda) = \frac{(\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 2) \cdots (\lambda + k - 1)}{(k - 1)!}, \lambda > -1, z \in U. \tag{1.9}$$

Definition 1.5 : A function $f(z) \in S(n, p), z \in U$ is said to be in the class $WA(n, p, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$ if and only if it satisfies the inequality

$$Re \left\{ \frac{D^{\lambda+p-1} f(z)}{(1 - \gamma) z^2 (D^{\lambda+p-1} f(z))'' + \gamma z (D^{\lambda+p-1} f(z))' + D^{\lambda+p-1} f(z)} \right\} > \beta, z \in U \tag{1.10}$$

where $0 \leq \gamma < \frac{1}{2}$, $0 \leq \beta < \frac{1}{(1-\gamma)p(p-1)+\gamma p+1}$, $\lambda > -p$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$.

2. Main Results

In the following theorem, we derive the coefficient inequality for the class $WA(n, p, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$.

Theorem 2.1 : Let $f(z) \in S(n, p)$. Then $f(z)$ is in the class $WA(n, p, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} (1-\beta(k(k-1)(1-\gamma)+\gamma k+1)) \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+k)a_k}{\Gamma(\lambda+p)(k-p)!} < 1-\beta((1-\gamma)p(p-1)+\gamma p+1) \quad (2.1)$$

where $0 \leq \beta < \frac{1}{(1-\gamma)p(p-1)+\gamma p+1}$, $0 \leq \gamma < \frac{1}{2}$, $\lambda > -p$, $a_k \geq 0$, $z \in U$ and $p, n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$.

The result (2.1) is sharp for the function $f(z)$ given by the following form

$$f(z) = z^p - \frac{(1-\beta((1-\gamma)p(p-1)+\gamma p+1))\Gamma(\lambda+p)n!}{(1-\beta((n+p)(n+p-1)(1-\gamma)+\gamma(n+p)+1))\Gamma(\lambda+n+p)} z^{n+p} \quad (2.2)$$

for all β, γ, λ and p defined in Definition 1.5.

Proof : Assume $f(z) \in S(n, p)$. By Definition 1.5, $f(z) \in WA(n, p, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$ if and only if $f(z)$ satisfies the inequality

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{D^{\lambda+p-1}f(z)}{(1-\gamma)z^2(D^{\lambda+p-1}f(z))'' + \gamma z(D^{\lambda+p-1}f(z))' + D^{\lambda+p-1}f(z)} \right\} > \beta, \quad z \in U$$

where $f(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_k z^k$, $0 \leq \gamma < \frac{1}{2}$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda > -p$, $a_k \geq 0$ and

$$0 \leq \beta < \frac{1}{(1-\gamma)p(p-1)+\gamma p+1}.$$

Thus (1.10) is equivalent to

$$|(1-\beta)D^{\lambda+p-1}f(z) - (1-\gamma)z^2\beta(D^{\lambda+p-1}f(z))'' - \gamma z\beta(D^{\lambda+p-1}f(z))'| > 0 \quad (2.3)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (1 - \beta) \left(z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + k)}{\Gamma(\lambda + p)(k - p)!} a_k z^k \right) - (1 - \gamma)\beta \right. \\ & \times \left(p(p - 1)z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} k(k - 1) \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + k) a_k z^k}{\Gamma(\lambda + p)(k - p)!} \right) \\ & \left. - \gamma\beta \left(pz^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} k \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + k)}{\Gamma(\lambda + p)(k - p)!} a_k z^k \right) \right| > 0 \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} & |(1 - \beta((1 - \gamma)p(p - 1) + \gamma p + 1))z^p \\ & - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} (1 - \beta(k(k - 1)(1 - \gamma) + \gamma k + 1)) \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + k)}{\Gamma(\lambda + p)(k - p)!} a_k z^k| > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \beta((1 - \gamma)p(p - 1) + \gamma p + 1))|z|^p - \\ & \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} (1 - \beta(k(k - 1)(1 - \gamma) + \gamma k + 1)) \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + k)}{\Gamma(\lambda + p)(k - p)!} a_k |z|^k > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Now, we letting $z \rightarrow 1^-$ through real values in (2.4), we obtain (2.1). Hence the result follows.

Conversely, let (2.1) hold. We will show that (1.10) is correct and then $f \in WA(n, p, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$. By lemma ($\operatorname{Re} w > \beta$ if and only if $|w - (1 + \beta)| < |w + (1 - \beta)|$) it is enough to show that $|w - (1 + \beta)| < |w + (1 - \beta)|$ where

$$w = \frac{D^{\lambda+p-1} f(z)}{(1 - \gamma)z^2(D^{\lambda+p-1} f(z))'' + \gamma z(D^{\lambda+p-1} f(z))' + D^{\lambda+p-1} f(z)}$$

or we show that

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \frac{1}{|\theta(z)|} |D^{\lambda+p-1} f(z) - (1 + \beta)(1 - \gamma)z^2(D^{\lambda+p-1} f(z))'' - (1 + \beta)\gamma z(D^{\lambda+p-1} f(z))' \\ & - (1 + \beta)D^{\lambda+p-1} f(z)| < \frac{1}{|\theta(z)|} |D^{\lambda+p-1} f(z) + (1 - \beta)(1 - \gamma)z^2(D^{\lambda+p-1} f(z))'' \\ & + (1 - \beta)\gamma z(D^{\lambda+p-1} f(z))' + (1 - \beta)D^{\lambda+p-1} f(z)| = G \end{aligned}$$

where $\theta(z) = (1 - \gamma)z^2(D^{\lambda+p-1} f(z))'' + \gamma z(D^{\lambda+p-1} f(z))' + D^{\lambda+p-1} f(z)$ and it is easy to verify that $G - F > 0$ and so the proof is complete.

Corollary 2.2 : If $f(z) \in WA(n, p, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$, then

$$a_k \leq \frac{(1 - \beta((1 - \gamma)p(p - 1) + \gamma p + 1))\Gamma(\lambda + p)(k - p)!}{(1 - \beta(k(k - 1)(1 - \gamma) + \gamma k + 1))\Gamma(\lambda + k)}, \quad k \geq n + p$$

where $f(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_k z^k$, $a_k \geq 0$, $z \in U$, $n, p \in \mathbb{N}$.

The above mentioned corollary is entirely new and not found in the literature.

Theorem 2.3 : Let $f(z) \in WA(n, p, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$, then

$$|D_z^{-\delta} f(z)| \leq \frac{|z|^{p+\delta}}{\Gamma(2+\delta)} \times \left[1 + \frac{\Gamma(n+2p)\Gamma(2+\delta)(1 - \beta((1 - \gamma)p(p - 1) + \gamma p + 1))\Gamma(\lambda + p)n!}{(1 - \beta((n+p)(n+p-1)(1 - \gamma) + \gamma(n+p) + 1))\Gamma(n+2p+\delta)\Gamma(\lambda+n+p)} |z|^n \right] \quad (2.5)$$

$$|D_z^{-\delta} f(z)| \geq \frac{|z|^{p+\delta}}{\Gamma(2+\delta)} \times \left[1 - \frac{\Gamma(n+2p)\Gamma(2+\delta)(1 - \beta((1 - \gamma)p(p - 1) + \gamma p + 1))\Gamma(\lambda + p)n!}{(1 - \beta((n+p)(n+p-1)(1 - \gamma) + \gamma(n+p) + 1))\Gamma(n+2p+\delta)\Gamma(\lambda+n+p)} |z|^n \right] \quad (2.6)$$

The result is sharp for the function $f(z)$ given by (2.2).

Proof : By (1.6), we have

$$\Gamma(2+\delta)z^{-\delta}D_z^{-\delta}f(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \phi(k, \delta)a_k z^k,$$

such that

$$\phi(k, \delta) = \frac{\Gamma(k+p)\Gamma(2+\delta)}{\Gamma(k+p+\delta)}, \quad k \geq n+p.$$

Since for $k \geq n+p$, $\phi(k, \delta)$ is a decreasing function of k and $\frac{\Gamma(\lambda+k)}{\Gamma(\lambda+p)(k-p)!}$ is an increasing function of k , thus, we have $\phi(k, \delta) \leq \phi(n+p, \delta)$, then $\phi(k, \delta) \leq \frac{\Gamma(n+2p)\Gamma(2+\delta)}{\Gamma(n+2p+\delta)}$ and $\frac{\Gamma(\lambda+k)}{\Gamma(\lambda+p)(k-p)!} \geq \frac{\Gamma(n+p+\lambda)}{\Gamma(\lambda+p)n!}$. So we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma(2+\delta)z^{-\delta}D_z^{-\delta}f(z)| &\leq |z|^p + \frac{\Gamma(n+2p)\Gamma(2+\delta)}{\Gamma(n+2p+\delta)} |z|^{n+p} \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_k \\ &\leq |z|^p + \frac{\Gamma(n+2p)\Gamma(2+\delta)(1 - \beta((1 - \gamma)p(p - 1) + \gamma p + 1))\Gamma(\lambda + p)n! |z|^{n+p}}{(1 - \beta((n+p)(n+p-1)(1 - \gamma) + \gamma(n+p) + 1))\Gamma(n+2p+\delta)\Gamma(\lambda+n+p)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$|D_z^{-\delta} f(z)| \leq \frac{|z|^{p+\delta}}{\Gamma(2+\delta)} \times \left[1 + \frac{\Gamma(n+2p)\Gamma(2+\delta)(1 - \beta((1 - \gamma)p(p - 1) + \gamma p + 1))\Gamma(\lambda + p)n!}{(1 - \beta((n+p)(n+p-1)(1 - \gamma) + \gamma(n+p) + 1))\Gamma(n+2p+\delta)\Gamma(\lambda+n+p)} |z|^n \right].$$

Also we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\Gamma(2 + \delta)z^{-\delta}D_z^{-\delta}f(z)| &\geq |z|^p - \frac{\Gamma(n + 2p)\Gamma(2 + \delta)}{\Gamma(n + 2p + \delta)}|z|^{n+p} \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_k \\
 &\geq |z|^p - \frac{\Gamma(n + 2p)\Gamma(2 + \delta)(1 - \beta((1 - \gamma)p(p - 1) + \gamma p + 1))\Gamma(\lambda + p)n!}{(1 - \beta((n + p)(n + p - 1)(1 - \gamma) + \gamma(n + p) + 1))\Gamma(n + 2p + \delta)\Gamma(\lambda + n + p)}|z|^{n+p}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 |D_z^{-\delta}f(z)| &\geq \frac{|z|^{p+\delta}}{\Gamma(2 + \delta)} \\
 &\times \left[1 - \frac{\Gamma(n + 2p)\Gamma(2 + \delta)((1 - \beta((1 - \gamma)p(p - 1) + \gamma p + 1))\Gamma(\lambda + p)n!}{(1 - \beta((n + p)(n + p - 1)(1 - \gamma) + \gamma(n + p) + 1))\Gamma(n + 2p + \delta)\Gamma(\lambda + n + p)}|z|^n \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.4 : Let $f(z) \in WA(n, p, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 |D_z^\delta f(z)| &\leq \frac{|z|^{p-\delta}}{\Gamma(2 - \delta)} \\
 &\times \left[1 + \frac{\Gamma(n + 2p)\Gamma(2 - \delta)(1 - \beta((1 - \gamma)p(p - 1) + \gamma p + 1))\Gamma(\lambda + p)n!}{(1 - \beta((n + p)(n + p - 1)(1 - \gamma) + \gamma(n + p) + 1))\Gamma(n + 2p - \delta)\Gamma(\lambda + n + p)}|z|^n \right] \tag{2.7}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 |D_z^\delta f(z)| &\geq \frac{|z|^{p-\delta}}{\Gamma(2 - \delta)} \\
 &\times \left[1 - \frac{\Gamma(n + 2p)\Gamma(2 - \delta)(1 - \beta((1 - \gamma)p(p - 1) + \gamma p + 1))\Gamma(\lambda + p)n!}{(1 - \beta((n + p)(n + p - 1)(1 - \gamma) + \gamma(n + p) + 1))\Gamma(n + 2p - \delta)\Gamma(\lambda + n + p)}|z|^n \right] \tag{2.8}
 \end{aligned}$$

The inequalities (2.7) and (2.8) are sharp for the function $f(z)$ given by (2.2).

Proof : By (1.7), we have

$$\Gamma(2 - \delta)z^\delta D_z^\delta f(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \psi(k, \delta)a_k z^k,$$

such that

$$\psi(k, \delta) = \frac{\Gamma(k + p)\Gamma(2 - \delta)}{\Gamma(k + p - \delta)}, \quad k \geq n + p.$$

For $k \geq n + p$, $\psi(k, \delta)$ is a decreasing function of k , then

$$\psi(k, \delta) \leq \psi(n + p, \delta) = \frac{\Gamma(n + 2p)\Gamma(2 - \delta)}{\Gamma(n + 2p - \delta)}.$$

Also

$$\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_k \leq \frac{(1 - \beta((1 - \gamma)p(p - 1) + \gamma p + 1))\Gamma(\lambda + p)n!}{(1 - \beta((n + p)(n + p - 1)(1 - \gamma) + \gamma(n + p) + 1))\Gamma(\lambda + n + p)},$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma(2-\delta)z^\delta D_z^\delta f(z)| &\leq |z|^p + \frac{\Gamma(n+2p)\Gamma(2-\delta)}{\Gamma(n+2p-\delta)} |z|^{n+p} \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_k \\ &\leq |z|^p + \frac{\Gamma(n+2p)\Gamma(2-\delta)(1-\beta((1-\gamma)p(p-1)+\gamma p+1))\Gamma(\lambda+p)n!}{(1-\beta((n+p)(n+p-1)(1-\gamma)+\gamma(n+p)+1))\Gamma(n+2p-\delta)\Gamma(\lambda+n+p)} |z|^{n+p}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |D_z^\delta f(z)| &\leq \frac{|z|^{p-\delta}}{\Gamma(2-\delta)} \\ &\times \left[1 + \frac{\Gamma(n+2p)\Gamma(2-\delta)(1-\beta((1-\gamma)p(p-1)+\gamma p+1))\Gamma(\lambda+p)n!}{(1-\beta((n+p)(n+p-1)(1-\gamma)+\gamma(n+p)+1))\Gamma(n+2p-\delta)\Gamma(\lambda+n+p)} |z|^n \right] \end{aligned}$$

and by the same way we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |D_z^\delta f(z)| &\geq \frac{|z|^{p-\delta}}{\Gamma(2-\delta)} \\ &\times \left[1 - \frac{\Gamma(n+2p)\Gamma(2-\delta)(1-\beta((1-\gamma)p(p-1)+\gamma p+1))\Gamma(\lambda+p)n!}{(1-\beta((n+p)(n+p-1)(1-\gamma)+\gamma(n+p)+1))\Gamma(n+2p-\delta)\Gamma(\lambda+n+p)} |z|^n \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2.5 : For every $f \in WA(n, p, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$ we have

- (i)
$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{|z|^{p+1}}{2} \left[1 - \frac{2(1-\beta((1-\gamma)p(p-1)+\gamma p+1))\Gamma(\lambda+p)n!|z|^n}{(1-\beta((n+p)(n+p-1)(1-\gamma)+\gamma(n+p)+1))(n+2p)\Gamma(\lambda+n+p)} \right] \\ &\leq \left| \int_0^z f(t)dt \right| \leq \frac{|z|^{p+1}}{2} \\ &\times \left[1 + \frac{2(1-\beta((1-\gamma)p(p-1)+\gamma p+1))\Gamma(\lambda+p)n!|z|^n}{(1-\beta((n+p)(n+p-1)(1-\gamma)+\gamma(n+p)+1))(n+2p)\Gamma(\lambda+n+p)} \right] \end{aligned}$$
- (ii)
$$\begin{aligned} &|z|^p \left[1 - \frac{(1-\beta((1-\gamma)p(p-1)+\gamma p+1))\Gamma(\lambda+p)n!}{(1-\beta((n+p)(n+p-1)(1-\gamma)+\gamma(n+p)+1))\Gamma(\lambda+n+p)} |z|^n \right] \leq |f(z)| \\ &\leq |z|^p \left[1 + \frac{(1-\beta((1-\gamma)p(p-1)+\gamma p+1))\Gamma(\lambda+p)n!}{(1-\beta((n+p)(n+p-1)(1-\gamma)+\gamma(n+p)+1))\Gamma(\lambda+n+p)} |z|^n \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof : (i) By Definition 1.1 and Theorem 2.3 for $\delta = 1$, we have $D_z^{-1}f(z) = \int_0^z f(t)dt$, the result is true.

(ii) By Definition 1.2 and Theorem 2.4 for $\delta = 0$, we have $D_z^0 f(z) = \frac{d}{dz} \int_0^z f(t)dt = f(z)$, the result is true.

Corollary 2.6 : $D_z^{-\delta} f(z)$ and $D_z^\delta f(z)$ are included in the disks with center at the origin and radii

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\Gamma(2+\delta)} \left[1 + \frac{\Gamma(n+2p)\Gamma(2+\delta)(1-\beta((1-\gamma)p(p-1)+\gamma p+1))\Gamma(n+p)n!}{(1-\beta((n+p)(n+p-1)(1-\gamma)+\gamma(n+p)+1))\Gamma(n+2p+\delta)\Gamma(\lambda+n+p)} \right], \\ &\frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\delta)} \left[1 + \frac{\Gamma(n+2p)\Gamma(2-\delta)(1-\beta((1-\gamma)p(p-1)+\gamma p+1))\Gamma(n+p)n!}{(1-\beta((n+p)(n+p-1)(1-\gamma)+\gamma(n+p)+1))\Gamma(n+2p-\delta)\Gamma(\lambda+n+p)} \right], \end{aligned}$$

respectively.

The above mentioned corollaries are entirely new and not found in the literature.

Theorem 2.7 : If $f(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_k z^k, g(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} b_k z^k$ belong to $WA(n, p, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$, then the Hadamard product of f and g given by $(f * g)(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k$ belongs to $WA(n, p, \beta, \gamma, \lambda_1)$ where

$$\lambda_1 < \inf_k \left\{ \frac{[1 - \beta(k(k - 1)(1 - \gamma) + \gamma k + 1)] \left[\frac{\Gamma(\lambda + k)}{\Gamma(\lambda + p)(k - p)!} \right]^2}{(1 - \beta((1 - \gamma)p(p - 1) + \gamma p + 1))} - p \right\}.$$

Proof : Since f and $g \in WA(n, p, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$, we have

$$\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \left[\frac{[1 - \beta(k(k - 1)(1 - \gamma) + \gamma k + 1)] \Gamma(\lambda + k)}{(1 - \beta((1 - \gamma)p(p - 1) + \gamma p + 1)) \Gamma(\lambda + p)(k - p)!} \right] a_k < 1$$

and

$$\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \left[\frac{[1 - \beta(k(k - 1)(1 - \gamma) + \gamma k + 1)] \Gamma(\lambda + k)}{(1 - \beta((1 - \gamma)p(p - 1) + \gamma p + 1)) \Gamma(\lambda + p)(k - p)!} \right] b_k < 1$$

and by applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \left[\frac{[1 - \beta(k(k - 1)(1 - \gamma) + \gamma k + 1)] \Gamma(\lambda + k)}{(1 - \beta((1 - \gamma)p(p - 1) + \gamma p + 1)) \Gamma(\lambda + p)(k - p)!} \right] \sqrt{a_k b_k} \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \left[\frac{[1 - \beta(k(k - 1)(1 - \gamma) + \gamma k + 1)] \Gamma(\lambda + k)}{(1 - \beta((1 - \gamma)p(p - 1) + \gamma p + 1)) \Gamma(\lambda + p)(k - p)!} \right] a_k \right)^{1/2} \\ & \times \left(\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \left[\frac{[1 - \beta(k(k - 1)(1 - \gamma) + \gamma k + 1)] \Gamma(\lambda + k)}{(1 - \beta((1 - \gamma)p(p - 1) + \gamma p + 1)) \Gamma(\lambda + p)(k - p)!} \right] b_k \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

However, we obtain

$$\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \left[\frac{[1 - \beta(k(k - 1)(1 - \gamma) + \gamma k + 1)] \Gamma(\lambda + k)}{(1 - \beta((1 - \gamma)p(p - 1) + \gamma p + 1)) \Gamma(\lambda + p)(k - p)!} \right] \sqrt{a_k b_k} < 1. \tag{2.9}$$

Now we want to prove

$$\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \left[\frac{[1 - \beta(k(k - 1)(1 - \gamma) + \gamma k + 1)] \Gamma(\lambda_1 + k)}{(1 - \beta((1 - \gamma)p(p - 1) + \gamma p + 1)) \Gamma(\lambda_1 + p)(k - p)!} \right] a_k b_k < 1. \tag{2.10}$$

Let (2.10) holds true, then we have

$$\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \left[\frac{[1 - \beta(k(k-1)(1-\gamma) + \gamma k + 1)]}{(1 - \beta((1-\gamma)p(p-1) + \gamma p + 1))} \right] \sqrt{a_k b_k} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + k)}{\Gamma(\lambda + p)(k-p)!} \sqrt{a_k b_k} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda_1 + k)}{\Gamma(\lambda_1 + p)(k-p)!} \leq 1. \quad (2.11)$$

Therefore (2.11) (consequently (2.10)) holds true if

$$\sqrt{a_k b_k} < \frac{\frac{\Gamma(\lambda + k)}{\Gamma(\lambda + p)(k-p)!}}{\frac{\Gamma(\lambda_1 + k)}{\Gamma(\lambda_1 + p)(k-p)!}} \quad (2.12)$$

But from (2.9) we conclude that

$$\sqrt{a_k b_k} < \frac{(1 - \beta((1-\gamma)p(p-1) + \gamma p + 1))\Gamma(\lambda + p)(k-p)!}{[1 - \beta(k(k-1)(1-\gamma) + \gamma k + 1)]\Gamma(\lambda + k)}. \quad (2.13)$$

In view of (2.13) the inequality (2.12) holds true if

$$\frac{(1 - \beta((1-\gamma)p(p-1) + \gamma p + 1))\Gamma(\lambda + p)(k-p)!}{[1 - \beta(k(k-1)(1-\gamma) + \gamma k + 1)]\Gamma(\lambda + k)} < \frac{\frac{\Gamma(\lambda + k)}{\Gamma(\lambda + p)(k-p)!}}{\frac{\Gamma(\lambda_1 + k)}{\Gamma(\lambda_1 + p)(k-p)!}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma(\lambda_1 + k)}{\Gamma(\lambda_1 + p)(k-p)!} < \frac{[1 - \beta(k(k-1)(1-\gamma) + \gamma k + 1)] \left[\frac{\Gamma(\lambda + k)}{\Gamma(\lambda + p)(k-p)!} \right]^2}{(1 - \beta((1-\gamma)p(p-1) + \gamma p + 1))}.$$

But we have

$$\lambda_1 + p \leq \frac{\Gamma(\lambda_1 + n + p)}{\Gamma(\lambda_1 + p)(k-p)!} \leq \frac{\Gamma(\lambda_1 + k)}{\Gamma(\lambda_1 + p)(k-p)!}.$$

Hence

$$\lambda_1 + p < \frac{[1 - \beta(k(k-1)(1-\gamma) + \gamma k + 1)] \left[\frac{\Gamma(\lambda + k)}{\Gamma(\lambda + p)(k-p)!} \right]^2}{(1 - \beta((1-\gamma)p(p-1) + \gamma p + 1))}$$

and this inequality gives the required result.

Therefore, with respect to Theorem 2.3 and 2.4 and Corollaries 2.5 and 2.6 we can obtain the similar theorems and corollaries by replacing λ with λ_1 .

3. Neighborhoods

We deal the neighborhood concepts for functions in the class $WA(n, p, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$. This concept has been investigated by several authors e.g. O. Altintas and S.

Owa [1] studied neighborhoods of analytic functions with negative coefficients. Also J. Lin Liu and H. M. Srivastava [3], (see also [7]) extended the concept of neighborhoods for a subclass of meromorphically p -valent functions and finally St. Ruscheweyh [5] considered this concept for subclasses of analytic functions.

Definition 3.1 : Let

$$0 \leq \gamma < \frac{1}{2}, 0 \leq \beta < \frac{1}{(1 - \gamma)p(p - 1) + \gamma p + 1}, \lambda > -p, \delta \geq 0,$$

we define the δ -neighborhood of a function $f \in S(n, p)$ and denote by $N_\delta(f)$ consisting of all functions $g(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^\infty a_k z^k \in S(n, p)$ satisfying

$$\sum_{k=n+p}^\infty \left[\frac{(1 - \beta(k(k - 1)(1 - \gamma) + \gamma k + 1))}{(1 - \beta((1 - \gamma)p(p - 1) + \gamma p + 1))} \right] \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + k)}{\Gamma(\lambda + p)(k - p)!} |a_k - b_k| \leq \delta. \tag{3.1}$$

Lemma 3.1 : Let $f \in WA(n, p, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$. Then for every complex number μ with $|\mu| < \delta$ ($0 \leq \delta$), we have

$$\frac{f(z) + \mu z^p}{1 + \mu} \in WA(n, p, \beta, \gamma, \lambda).$$

Proof :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f(z) + \mu z^p}{1 + \mu} &= \frac{z^p(1 + \mu) - \sum_{k=n+p}^\infty \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+k)}{\Gamma(\lambda+p)(k-p)!} a_k z^k}{1 + \mu} \\ &= z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^\infty \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+k)}{\Gamma(\lambda+p)(k-p)!} \frac{a_k}{1 + \mu} z^k. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=n+p}^\infty \frac{a_k}{1 + \mu} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + k)}{\Gamma(\lambda + p)(k - p)!} \left[\frac{(1 - \beta(k(k - 1)(1 - \gamma) + \gamma k + 1))}{(1 - \beta((1 - \gamma)p(p - 1) + \gamma p + 1))} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{1 + \mu} \sum_{k=n+p}^\infty a_k \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + k)}{\Gamma(\lambda + p)(k - p)!} \left[\frac{(1 - \beta(k(k - 1)(1 - \gamma) + \gamma k + 1))}{(1 - \beta((1 - \gamma)p(p - 1) + \gamma p + 1))} \right] < \frac{1}{1 - \mu} < 1. \end{aligned}$$

this completes the proof of the Lemma.

Theorem 3.1 : Let $f \in WA(n, p, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$. If for every complex number μ with $|\mu| < \delta$ ($0 \leq \delta$) we have $\frac{f(z) + \mu z^p}{1 + \mu} \in WA(n, p, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$. Then $N_\delta(f) \subset WA(n, p, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$.

Proof : We have $f \in WA(n, p, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$ if and only if

$$\frac{(f*\psi)(z)}{z^p} \neq 0, \quad z \in U - \{0\} \text{ where } \psi(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} e_k z^k \text{ and}$$

$$|e_k| \leq \frac{(1 - \beta(k(k-1)(1-\gamma) + \gamma k + 1))}{(1 - \beta((1-\gamma)p(p-1) + \gamma p + 1))} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + k)}{\Gamma(\lambda + p)(k-p)!},$$

since $\frac{f(z)+\mu z^p}{1+\mu} \in WA(n, p, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$. So $z^{-p} \left(\frac{f(z)+\mu z^p}{1+\mu} * \psi(z) \right) \neq 0$. Therefore

$$z^{-p} \left(\frac{f(z) * \psi(z)}{1 + \mu} + \frac{\mu z^p}{1 + \mu} * \psi(z) \right) = \frac{f(z) * \psi(z)}{(1 + \mu)z^p} + \frac{\mu}{1 + \mu} \neq 0.$$

Assume $\left| \frac{(f*\psi)(z)}{z^p} \right| > \delta$, then by above we must have

$$\left| \frac{(f * \psi)(z)}{z^p(1 + \mu)} + \frac{\mu}{1 + \mu} \right| \geq \frac{|\mu|}{|1 + \mu|} - \frac{1}{|1 + \mu|} \left| \frac{(f * \psi)(z)}{z^p} \right| > \frac{|\mu| - \delta}{|1 + \mu|} \geq 0$$

which is a contradiction by $|\mu| < \delta$. However, we have $\left| \frac{(f*\psi)(z)}{z^p} \right| < \delta$. If

$g(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} b_k z^k \in N_{\delta}(f)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta - \left| \frac{(g * \psi)(z)}{z^p} \right| &\leq \left| \frac{((f - g) * \psi)(z)}{z^p} \right| \leq \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} |a_k - b_k| |e_k| |z^k| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(1 - \beta(k(k-1)(1-\gamma) + \gamma k + 1))}{(1 - \beta((1-\gamma)p(p-1) + \gamma p + 1))} \right] |a_k - b_k| \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + k)}{\Gamma(\lambda + p)(k-p)!} < \delta. \end{aligned}$$

So we conclude $\frac{(g*\psi)(z)}{z^p} \neq 0$ and finally $g \in WA(n, p, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] O. Altintas and S. Owa, Neighborhoods of certain analytic functions with negative coefficients, *Int. J. Math. Sci.* 19 (1996), 797-800.
- [2] A. W. Goodman, Univalent functions and nonanalytic curves, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 8 (1957), 598-601.
- [3] Jin-Lin Liu and H. M. Srivastava, A linear operator and associated families of meromorphically multivalent functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 259 (2001), 566-581.

- [4] S. Ruscheweyh, New criteria for univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 49 (1975), 109-115.
- [5] -----, Neighborhoods of univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 81 (1981), 521-527.
- [6] S. Shams and S. R. Kulkarni, Certain properties of the class of univalent functions defined by Ruscheweyh derivative, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. 97 (3) (2005), 223-234.
- [7] H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa, Editors, Current topics in analytic function theory, World Scientific, Singapore, (1992).

Received: June 20, 2007