2006 (1) (11)

### **Principally Pseudo-Injective Modules**

By

Akeel Ramadan Mehdi Al-Yassiri College of Education / Al-Qadisiyah University Email: akeel\_math@yahoo.com

#### Abstract

The concepts of pseudo-injective modules and principally quasi-injective modules are generalized in this paper to principally pseudo-injective modules . Many characterizations and properties of principally pseudo-injective modules are obtained. Relationships between principally pseudo-injective modules and other classes of modules are given for example we proved that for each integer  $n \ge 2$ , then  $M^n$  is principally pseudo-injective R-module if and only if M is principally quasi-injective R-module. New characterizations of semi-simple Artinian ring in terms of principally pseudo-injective modules are introduced. Endomorphisms ring of principally pseudo-injective modules are studied.

#### **§0:-** Introduction

Throughout this paper, R will denote an associative, commutative ring with identity, and all R-modules are unitary (left) R-modules. Given two R-modules M and N. M is called pseudo-N-injective if for any R-submodule A of N and every R-monomorphism from A into M can be extended to an R-homomorphism from N into M [16] . An R-module M is called pseudo-injective if M is pseudo-M-injective[19]. An R-module M is called principally N-injective if for any cyclic R-submodule A of N and every R-homomorphism from A into M can be extended to an R-homomorphism from N into M. An R-module M is called principally quasi-injective (or semi-fully stable[2]) if M is principally M-injective[14]. An R-module M is called p-injective if for each R-injective[13]. An R-module M is called pointwise injective if for each R-homomorphism fr.A $\rightarrow$ B (where A and B are two R-modules), each R-homomorphism g:A $\rightarrow$ M and for each a $\in$ A , there exists an R-homomorphism ha:B $\rightarrow$ M (ha may depend on a) such that (ha $\circ$ f)(a)=g(a) [8].An R-module M is

1

2006	(1)	(11)
------	-----	------

pointwise injective if and only if M is principally N-injective for every R-module N [8].An R-module M is called pointwise ker-injective if for each R-monomorphism  $f:A \rightarrow B$  (where A and B are R-modules), each R-homomorphism g:A $\rightarrow$ M and for each a $\in$ A, there exist an R-monomorphism  $\alpha$ :M $\rightarrow$ M and R-homomorphism  $\beta_a: B \to M$  ( $\beta_a$  may depend on a) such that ( $\beta_a \circ f$ )(a)=( $\alpha \circ g$ )(a) [12]. An R-monomorphism f:N $\rightarrow$ M is called p-split if for each a  $\in$  N, there exists an R-homomorphism  $g_a: M \rightarrow N$  ( $g_a$  may depend on a) such that  $(g_a \circ f)(a) = a$  [8]. An R-monomorphism f:N $\rightarrow$ M is called pointwise ker-split if for each a  $\in$  N, there exist an R-monomorphism  $\alpha: N \rightarrow N$  and an R-homomorphism  $g_a: M \rightarrow N$  ( $g_a$  may depend on a) such that  $(g_a \circ f)(a) = \alpha(a)$  [12]. Recall that an R-module M is fully stable (fully p-stable) if for each R-submodule N of M and each R-homomorphism (resp. R-monomorphism) f:N $\rightarrow$ M, then f(N) $\subseteq$ N [1].A ring R is called Von Neumann regular(in short, regular) if for each  $a \in R$ , there exsits  $b \in R$  such that a=aba. For an R-module M, J(M), E(M) and  $S=End_R(M)$  will respectively stand for the Jacobson radical of M the injective envelope of M and the endomorphism ring of M. Hom<sub>R</sub>(N,M) denoted to the set of all R-homomorphism from R-module N into R-module M . For a submodule N of an R-module M and  $a \in M$ ,  $[N:a]_R = \{r \in R \mid ra \in N\}$ . For an R-module M and  $a \in M$ , then  $ann_R(a)$  denoted to the set  $[(0):a]_R$ . A submodule N of an R-module M is called essential and denoted by  $N \subset^{e} M$ . if every non zero submodule of M has non zero intersection with N. An R-module M is called uniform if every non zero R-submodule of M is essential.

#### **§1:-** Principally pseudo-N-injectivity

In this section we introduced the concept of principally pseudo-N-injective modules as generalization of both pseudo-N-injective modules and principally N-injective modules.

**Definition(1.1):-** Let M and N be two R-modules. M is said to be principally pseudo-N-injective (in short, p-pseudo-N-injective) if for any cyclic R-submodule A of N and any R-monomorphism f:  $A \rightarrow M$  can be extended to an R-homomorphism form N to M . An R-module M is called principally pseudo-injective (in short , p-pseudo-injective) if M is principally pseudo-M-injective . A ring R is called principally pseudo-injective R-module .

2006 (1)	(11)
----------	------

#### **Examples and remarks(1.2):-**

(1) All principally quasi-injective modules (also,pseudo-injective modules) are trivial examples of p-pseudo-injective modules.

(2) The concept of p-pseudo-injective modules is a proper generalization of both pseudo-injective modules and principally quasi-injective modules ; for examples :-

i-) Let  $R=Z_2[x,y]/(x^2,y^2)$  be the polynomial ring in two indeterminates x,y over  $Z_2$  modulo the ideal  $(x^2,y^2)$ . Since R is a principally quasi-injective ring [1] thus by (1) above we have R is p-pseudo-injective. Assume that R is a self pseudo-injective ring. Since R is a Noetherian ring, thus by [5] R is a self-injective ring and this contradiction since R is not self-injective ring [4]. Therefore R is p-pseudo-injective ring is not self-pseudo-injective.

**ii-**) Let R be an algebra over  $Z_2$  having basis  $e_1, e_2, e_3, n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4$  with the following multiplication table :-

	<b>e</b> <sub>1</sub>	<b>e</b> <sub>2</sub>	e <sub>3</sub>	<b>n</b> <sub>1</sub>	<b>n</b> <sub>2</sub>	n <sub>3</sub>	n <sub>4</sub>
<b>e</b> <sub>1</sub>	$e_1$	0	0	$n_1$	n <sub>2</sub>	0	0
<b>e</b> <sub>2</sub>	0	$e_2$	0	0	0	0	0
<b>e</b> <sub>3</sub>	0	0	e <sub>3</sub>	0	0	n <sub>3</sub>	n <sub>4</sub>
<b>n</b> <sub>1</sub>	0	$n_1$	0	0	0	0	0
<b>n</b> <sub>2</sub>	0	0	<b>n</b> <sub>2</sub>	0	0	0	0
<b>n</b> <sub>3</sub>	n <sub>3</sub>	0	0	0	0	0	0
n <sub>4</sub>	0	n <sub>4</sub>	0	0	0	0	0

Let  $M = Re_2$ , then by [9] we have that M is pseudo-injective R-module is not quasi-injective R-module. By (1) above we have M is p-pseudo-injective R-module. Since every R-submodule of M is cyclic[3], thus M is not principally quasi-injective R-module. Therefore M is p-pseudo-injective R-module is not principally quasi-injective.

(3) The examples (**i**) and (**ii**) in (2) are showed that the concept of p-pseudo-N-injective modules is a proper generalization of both pseudo-N-injective modules and principally N-injective modules, respectively.

(4) Every pointwise injective R-module is p-pseudo-N-injective, for all R-module N and so every pointwise injective R-module is p-pseudo- injective.

(5) Every p-injective R-module is p-pseudo-R-injective.

(6) Isomorphic R-module to p-pseudo-N-injective R-module is p-pseudo-N-injective, for any R-module N.

(7) If  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  are isomorphic R-modules and M is a p-pseudo- $N_1$ -injective R-module , then M is p-pseudo- $N_2$ -injective R-module .

In the following theorem we give many characterizations of p-pseudo-N-injective modules.

**Theorem(1.3):-** Let M and N be two R-modules and S=End<sub>R</sub>(M). Then the following statements are equivalent :-

(1) M is p-pseudo-N-injective.

(2) For each  $m \in M$ ,  $n \in N$  such that  $ann_R(n)=ann_R(m)$ , there exists an R-homomorphism g:N $\rightarrow$ M such that g(n)=m.

(3) For each  $m \in M$ ,  $n \in N$  such that  $ann_R(n) = ann_R(m)$ , we have  $Sm \subseteq Hom_R(N,M)n$ .

(4) For each R-monomorphism  $f:A \rightarrow M$  (where A be any R-submodule of N) and each  $a \in A$ , there exists an R-homomorphism  $g:N \rightarrow M$  such that g(a)=f(a).

**Proof:-** (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2)Let M be a p-pseudo-N-injective R-module. Let  $m \in M$ ,  $n \in N$  such that  $ann_R(n)=ann_R(m)$ . Define f:Rn $\rightarrow$ M by f(rn)=rm, for all  $r \in R$ . It is clear that f is a well-defined R-monomorphism. Since M is p-pseudo-N-injective R-module, thus there exists an R-homomorphism g:N $\rightarrow$ M such that g(x)=f(x) for all  $x \in Rn$ . Therefore g(n)=f(n)=m.

(3)⇒(4)Let f:A→M be any R-monomorphism where A be any R-submodule of N, and let a∈A. Put m=f(a), since m∈M and ann<sub>R</sub>(m)=ann<sub>R</sub>(a), thus by hypothesis we have Sm⊆Hom<sub>R</sub>(N,M)a. Let I<sub>M</sub>:M→M be the identity R-homomorphism. Since I<sub>M</sub>∈S, thus there exists an R-homomorphism g∈Hom<sub>R</sub>(N,M) such that I<sub>M</sub>(m)=g(a). Thus g(a)=m=f(a).

(4)⇒(1)Let A=Ra be any cyclic R-submodule of N and f:A→M be any R-monomorphism. Since  $a \in A$ , thus by hypothesis there exists an R-homomorphism g:N→M such that g(a)=f(a). For each  $x \in A$ , x=ra for some  $r \in R$ , we have that g(x)=g(ra)=rg(a)=rf(a)=f(ra)=f(x). Therefore M is p-pseudo-N-injective R-module. □

|--|--|

As an immediate consequence of Theorem(1.3) we have the following corollary in which we get many characterizations of p-pseudo-injective modules.

**Corollary**(1.4):- The following statements are equivalent for an R-module M :-

(1) M is p-pseudo-injective.

(2) For each  $n,m \in M$  such that  $ann_R(n)=ann_R(m)$ , there exists an R-homomorphism g:M $\rightarrow$ M such that g(n)=m.

(3) For each  $n,m \in M$  such that  $ann_R(n)=ann_R(m)$ , we have  $Sn \subseteq Sm$  where  $S = End_R(M)$ .

(4) For each R-monomorphism  $f:A \rightarrow M$  (where A be any R-submodule of M) and each  $a \in A$ , there exists an R-homomorphism  $g:M \rightarrow M$  such that g(a)=f(a).

**Proposition(1.5):-**Let M and N be two R-modules. If M is p-pseudo-N-injective, then every R-monomorphism  $\alpha$ :M $\rightarrow$ N is p-split.

**Proof:-**Let  $\alpha: M \to N$  be any R-monomorphism and  $a \in M$ . Define  $\beta:\alpha(M) \to M$  by  $\beta(\alpha(m))=m$  for all  $m \in M$ .  $\beta$  is a well-defined R-monomorphism. Since M is ppseudo-N-injective R-module and  $\alpha(a) \in \alpha(M)$ , thus by Theorem(1.3) there exists an R-homomorphism  $h:N \to M$  such that  $h(\alpha(a))=\beta(\alpha(a))$ .Put  $h_a=h$  and since  $\beta(\alpha(a))=a$ , thus  $(h_a \circ \alpha)(a)=a$ . Therefore  $\alpha$  is p-split R-homomorphism.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary**(1.6):-If M is p-pseudo-injective R-module , then every R-monomorphism  $\alpha$ : M $\rightarrow$ M is p-split.

It is easy to prove the following lemma by using [8, Theorem(1.2.4)].

**Lemma(1.7):-** An R-module M is pointwise injective if and only if every R-monomorphism  $\alpha: M \rightarrow E(M)$  is p-split.

In the following proposition we get a new characterization of pointwise injective modules.

**Proposition(1.8):-**An R-module M is pointwise injective if and only if M is p-pseudo-E(M)-injective.

**Proof:-** Let M be a pointwise injective R-module. By remark(1.2(4)), then M is p-pseudo-N-injective for all R-module N. Thus M is p-pseudo-E(M)-injective R-module. Conversely, let M be a p-pseudo-E(M)-injective R-module. By proposition(1.5), every R-monomorphism  $\alpha$ :M $\rightarrow$ E(M) is p-split and hence by lemma(1.7), then M is pointwise injective R-module.  $\Box$ 

By proposition(1.8) and [8,Proposition(2.1.1)] we have the following corollary. **Corollary(1.9) :-** Let M be a cyclic R-module. Then M is injective if and only if M is p-pseudo-E(M)-injective. In particular, a ring R is self-injective if and only if R is p-pseudo-E(R)-injective R-module.

By proposition(1.8) and [8,Corollary(2.1.5)] we have the following corollary. **Corollary(1.10):-**Let R be a principal ideal ring . Then any R-module M is injective if and only if M is p-pseudo-E(M)-injective.

**Proposition(1.11):-** Let N be a cyclic submodule of an R-module M. If N is p-pseudo-M-injective, then N is a direct summand of M.

**Proof:** Let  $I_N:N \to N$  be the identity R-homomorphism . Since N is p-pseudo-M-injective R-module, thus there exists an R-homomorphism $\alpha:M \to N$  such that $\alpha(a)=I_N(a)$  for all  $a \in N$ . Hence  $(\alpha \circ i)(a)=a$  for all  $a \in N$ , where i is the inclusion R-homomorphism from N into M. Thus  $i:N \to M$  is split R-homomorphism and hence N is a direct summand of M [11].  $\Box$ 

An R-module M is called regular if every cyclic R-submodule of M is direct summand of M [11]. Then by proposition(1.11) we have the following corollary.

**Corollary**(1.12):- If every cyclic R-submodule of an R-module M is p-pseudo-M-injective, then M is a regular R-module.

R.Yue Chi Ming in [13] proved that a ring R is regular if and only if every R-module is p-injective. The following proposition is a generalization of this result.

**Proposition**(1.13):- The following statements are equivalent for a ring R.

(1) R is a regular ring.

(2) Every R-module is p-pseudo-R-injective,

|--|

(3) Every ideal of R is p-pseudo-R-injective R-module.

(4) Every cyclic ideal of R is p-pseudo-R-injective R-module.

**Proof:**-(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Let R be a regular ring and M be any R-module. Let f:Ra $\rightarrow$ M be any R-monomorphism where Ra be any cyclic ideal of R. Since R is a regular ring and a  $\in$  R, thus there exists b  $\in$  R such that a=aba. Put m=f(ba) and defined g:R $\rightarrow$ M by g(x)=xm for all x  $\in$  R. It is clear g is an R-homomorphism. For each y  $\in$  Ra, y=ra for some r $\in$ R , then g(y)=g(ra)=rg(a)=r(am)=raf(ba)=rf(ab)=rf(a)=f(ra)=f(y). Therefore M is p-pseudo-R-injective. (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) and (3) $\Rightarrow$ (4) are obvious. (4) $\Rightarrow$ (1) by Corollary(1.12).  $\Box$ 

**Proposition**(1.14):- Let M and N be two R-modules. If M is p-pseudo-N-injective, then M is p-pseudo-A-injective for each R-submodule A of N.

**Proof:-** Let A be any R-submodule of N, B be any cyclic R-submodule of A and f:B $\rightarrow$ M be any R-monomorphism. Let  $\mathbf{i}_B$  be the inclusion R-homomorphism from B into A and  $\mathbf{i}_A$  be the inclusion R-homomorphism from A into N. Since B is a cyclic R-submodule of N and M is p-pseudo-N-injective, thus there exists an R-homomorphism h:N $\rightarrow$ M such that  $(h \circ \mathbf{i}_A \circ \mathbf{i}_B)(b)=f(b)$ , for all  $b \in B$ . put  $g=h \circ \mathbf{i}_A: A \rightarrow M$ . For each  $b \in B$ , then  $g(b)=(h \circ \mathbf{i}_A)(b)=(h \circ \mathbf{i}_A \circ \mathbf{i}_B)(b)=f(b)$ . Therefore M is p-pseudo-A-injective R-module.  $\Box$ 

As an immediate consequence of proposition(1.14) we have the following corollary.

**Corollary**(1.15):- Let N be any submodule of an R-module M. If N is p-pseudo-M-injective, then N is p-pseudo-injective.

**Proposition**(1.16):- Any direct summand of p-pseudo-N-injective R-module is p-pseudo-N-injective.

**Proof:-** Let M be any p-pseudo-N-injective R-module and A be any direct summand R-submodule of M. Thus there exists an R-submodule  $A_1$  of M such that  $M=A \oplus A_1$ . let B be any cyclic R-submodule of N and f:B $\rightarrow$ A be any R-monomorphism. Define g:B $\rightarrow$ M=A $\oplus$ A<sub>1</sub> by g(b)=(f(b),0), for all b $\in$ B. It is clear that g is an

R-monomorphism and since M is p-pseudo-N-injective R-module, thus there exists an R-homomorphism h:N $\rightarrow$ M such that h(b)=g(b) for all b  $\in$  B. Let  $\pi_A$  be the natural projection R-homomorphism of M=A  $\oplus$  A<sub>1</sub> into A . Put h<sub>1</sub>= $\pi_A \circ$ h:N $\rightarrow$ A .Thus h<sub>1</sub> is an R-homomorphism and for each b  $\in$  B, then h<sub>1</sub>(b)=( $\pi_A \circ$ h)(b)= $\pi_A(g(b))=\pi_A((f(b),0))=f(b)$ . Therefore A is p-pseudo-N-injective R-module.  $\Box$ 

By proposition (1.16) and Corollary (1.15) we have the following corollary.

**Corollary**(1.17):- Any direct summand of p-pseudo-injective R-module is also p-pseudo-injective.

An R-module M satisfies ( $PC_2$ ), if each cyclic submodule of M which is isomorphic to a direct summand of M is a direct summand of M [17]. The following proposition is a generalization of [10,Theorem(2.7)].

**Proposition**(1.18):- Any p-pseudo-injective R-module satisfies (PC<sub>2</sub>).

**Proof:-** Let M be a p-pseudo-injective R-module. Let A be any cyclic R-submodule of M which is isomorphic to a direct summand submodule B of M. Since M is p-pseudo-injective, thus M is p-pseudo-M-injective. Since B is a direct summand of M, thus by proposition(1.16) B is p-pseudo-M-injective R-module. Since A is isomorphic to B, thus by remark((1,2),6) A is p-pseudo-M-injective. Since A is a cyclic R-submodule of M, thus by proposition(1.11) A is a direct summand of M. Therefore M satisfies (PC<sub>2</sub>).  $\Box$ 

# **§2:-** Relationships between p-pseudo-injective modules and other classes of modules

**Theorem(2.1):**-If  $M_1 \oplus M_2$  is p-pseudo-injective R-module, then  $M_i$  is principally  $M_j$ -injective for each i,j=1,2,  $i\neq j$ .

**Proof:-** Let  $M_1 \oplus M_2$  be a p-pseudo-injective R-module, we show  $M_1$  is principally  $M_2$ -injective. Let A be any cyclic R-submodule of  $M_2$  and  $f:A \rightarrow M_1$  be any R-homomorphism. Define  $g:A \rightarrow M_1 \oplus M_2$  by g(a)=(f(a),a) for all  $a \in A$ , then g is an

|--|--|

R-monomorphism. Since  $M_1 \oplus M_2$  is p-pseudo- $M_1 \oplus M_2$ -injective R-module and  $(0) \oplus M_2$  is an R-submodule of  $M_1 \oplus M_2$ , thus by proposition(1.14)  $M_1 \oplus M_2$  is p-pseudo- $(0) \oplus M_2$ -injective R-module. Since  $M_2$  isomorphic to  $(0) \oplus M_2$ , thus by remark((1.2),7)  $M_1 \oplus M_2$  is p-pseudo- $M_2$ -injective R-module. Thus there exists an R-homomorphism  $h:M_2 \rightarrow M_1 \oplus M_2$  such that h(a)=g(a) for all  $a \in A$ . Let  $\pi_1:M_1 \oplus M_2 \rightarrow M_1$  be the natural projection R-homomorphism of  $M_1 \oplus M_2$  to  $M_1$ , put  $h_1=\pi_1 \circ h:M_2 \rightarrow M_1$ . Thus for each  $a \in A$  we have that  $h_1(a)=(\pi_1 \circ h)(a)=\pi_1(g(a))=\pi_1((f(a),a))=f(a)$ . Therefore  $M_1$  is principally  $M_2$ -injective R-module. Consequently,  $M_2$  is principally  $M_1$ -injective.

The following corollary is immediately from Theorem(2.1).

**Corollary**(2.2):- If  $\bigoplus_{i \in \Gamma} M_i$  is p-pseudo-injective R-module, then  $M_j$  is principally  $M_k$ -injective for all distinct  $j,k \in \Gamma$ .

**Corollary**(2.3):-For any integer  $n \ge 2$ ,  $M^n$  is p-pseudo-injective R-module if and only if M is principally quasi-injective.

**Proof:-** Let  $M^n$  be a p-pseudo-injective R-module. Then by Corollary(2.2) M is principally M-injective and hence M is a principally quasi-injective R-module. Conversely, let M be a principally quasi-injective R-module. Then  $M^n$  is principally quasi-injective R-module [2] and hence  $M^n$  is p-pseudo-injective R-module .

In the following theorem we give a new characterization of pointwise injective modules.

**Theorem**(2.4):- The following statements are equivalent for an R-module M :

(1) M is pointwise injective.

(2)  $M \oplus E(M)$  is principally quasi-injective R-module .

(3)  $M \oplus E(M)$  is p-pseudo-injective R-module.

**proof:**-(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2)Let M be a pointwise injective R-module. Since E(M) is pointwise injective R-module , thus  $M \oplus E(M)$  is pointwise injective [8] and hence  $M \oplus E(M)$  is principally quasi-injective R-module. (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3)It is clear.

(3) ⇒ (1) Let  $M \oplus E(M)$  be a p-pseudo-injective R-module. Thus by Theorem(2.1) M is principally E(M)-injective and hence M is p-pseudo-E(M)-injective R-module. Therefore by proposition(1.8) we have that M is pointwise injective R-module. □

By Theorem(2.4) and [8, Proposition(2.1.1)] we have the following corollary.

**Corollary(2.5):-**Let M be a cyclic R-module. Then M is injective if and only if  $M \oplus E(M)$  is p-pseudo-injective R-module.

By Theorem(2.4) and [8, Corollary<math>(2.1.5)] we have the following corollary.

**Corollary**(2.6):-Let R be a principal ideal ring. Then any R-module M is injective if and only if  $M \oplus E(M)$  is p-pseudo-injective R-module.

Since any finitely generated Z-module is not injective[18], thus by Corollary(2.6) we have the following corollary.

**Corollary(2.7):**-For any finitely generated Z-module M, then  $M \oplus E(M)$  is not p-pseudo-injective Z-module.

The following theorem gives a relation between p-pseudo-injective modules and other classes of modules.

**Theorem**(2.8):- The following statements are equivalent for an R-module M:-

- 1) M is pointwise injective R-module.
- 2) M is principally quasi-injective and pointwise ker-injective R-module.

3) M is p-pseudo-injective and pointwise ker-injective R-module.

**Proof:**-(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) and (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) are obvious. (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Let M be a p-pseudo-injective and pointwise ker-injective R-module. Let  $\alpha : M \rightarrow E(M)$  be any R-monomorphism. Since M is pointwise ker-injective, thus  $\alpha$  is pointwise ker-split [12]. Hence for each  $a \in M$  there exist an R-monomorphism f:M $\rightarrow M$  and an R-homomorphism

 $\beta_a: E(M) \rightarrow M$  such that  $(\beta_a \circ \alpha)(a) = f(a)$ . Since M is p-pseudo-injective R-module and f:M  $\rightarrow M$  is an R-monomorphism, thus by Corollary(1.6) f is p-split. Thus for each  $a \in M$  there exists an R-homomorphism  $g_a: M \rightarrow M$  such that  $(g_a \circ f)(a) = a$ . For each  $a \in M$ , put  $h_a = g_a \circ \beta_a: E(M) \rightarrow M$ , hence  $(h_a \circ \alpha)(a) = ((g_a \circ \beta_a) \circ \alpha)(a) = (g_a \circ (\beta_a \circ \alpha))(a) =$  $g_a((\beta_a \circ \alpha)(a)) = (g_a \circ f)(a) = a$ . Then for each  $a \in M$ , there exists an R-homomorphism  $h_a: E(M) \rightarrow M$  such that  $(h_a \circ \alpha)(a) = a$ . Thus each R-monomorphism  $\alpha: M \rightarrow E(M)$  is psplit and hence by lemma(1.7) M is pointwise injective R-module.  $\Box$ 

Since every semi-simple R-module is p-pseudo-injective, thus by Theorem(2.8) we have the following corollary.

**Corollary**(2.9):-Every sime-simple pointwise ker-injective R-module is pointwise injective.

By Theorem(2.4) and Theorem(2.8) we get the following corollary.

**Corollary**(2.10):- The following statements are equivalent for an R-module M.

(1)  $M \oplus E(M)$  is p-pseudo-injective R-module.

(2) M is p-pseudo-injective and pointwise ker-injective R-module.

The following proposition gives a condition on which p-pseudo-injective module is principally quasi-injective.

**Proposition(2.11):-**Any uniform p-pseudo-injective R-module is principally quasi-injective.

**Proof:**-Let M be any uniform p-pseudo-injective R-module. Let  $f:N \rightarrow M$  be any R-homomorphism where N be any cyclic R-submodule of M. If ker(f)=(0), thus f is R-monomorphism. Since M is p-pseudo-injective, thus there exists an R-homomorphism  $f_1:M \rightarrow M$  such that  $f_1(n)=f(n)$  for all  $n \in N$ . Thus M is principally quasi-injective R-module. If ker(f) $\neq$ (0).Since ker(f) $\cap$  ker( $i_N+f$ )=(0) where  $i_N$  is the inclusion R-homomorphism from N into M and M is a uniform R-module, thus ker( $i_N+f$ )=(0).Hence  $i_N+f$  is an R-monomorphism. Since M is p-pseudo-injective

R-module, thus there exists an R-homomorphism h:M→M such that  $h(n)=(i_N+f)(n)$ , for all  $n \in N$ . Put g=h-I<sub>M</sub>:M→M. g is an R-homomorphism and for each  $n \in N$  we have that  $g(n)=(h-I_M)(n)=h(n)-I_M(n)=(i_N+f)(n)-i_N(n)=f(n)$ . Therefore M is principally quasi-injective R-module. □

**Remark(2.12):-**Direct sum of two p-pseudo-injective R-modules need not be p-pseudo injective, for example ; let p be a prime number, then  $Z_p$  and  $E(Z_p)$  are p-pseudo injective Z-modules but by Corollary(2.7)  $Z_p \oplus E(Z_p)$  is not p-pseudo-injective Z-module.

The following proposition gives a condition on which direct sum of any two p-pseudo-injective R-modules is p-pseudo-injective.

**Proposition(2.13):-** The following statements are equivalent for a ring R:-

(1) Direct sum of any two p-pseudo-injective R-modules is p-pseudo-injective.

(2) Evrey p-pseudo-injective R-module is pointwise injective.

**Proof:**-(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2)Let M be any p-pseudo-injective R-module. By hypothesis M  $\oplus$  E(M) is p-pseudo-injective R-module. Thus by Theorem(2.4) we have that M is pointwise injective R-module. (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1)Let M<sub>1</sub> and M<sub>2</sub> be any two p-pseudo-injective R-modules. By hypothesis M<sub>1</sub> and M<sub>2</sub> are pointwise injective R-modules. Thus M<sub>1</sub> $\oplus$  M<sub>2</sub> is pointwise injective [8]and hence M<sub>1</sub> $\oplus$  M<sub>2</sub> is p-pseudo-injective R-modue.□

Faith and Utumi in [6] are proved that a ring R is a semi-simple Artinian if and only if every R-module is quasi-injective. In the following corollary we give a new characterization of semi-simple Artinian ring in terms of p-pseudo-injective R-modues which is a generalization of Faith's and Utumi's result.

**Corollary**(2.14):- The following statements are equivalent for a ring R:-

(1) R is a semi-simple Artinian ring.

(2) Every R-module is p-pseudo-injective.

(3)Every cyclic R-module is p-pseudo-injective and direct sum of any two p-pseudo-injective R-modules is p-pseudo-injective.

**Proof:**- (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) and (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) are obvious. (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1)By using proposition(2.13) and [8,Theorem(1.2.12)].  $\Box$ 

	(11)	(1)	2006
--	------	-----	------

As an immediate consequence of proposition(2.13) we have the following corollary.

**Corollary**(2.15):-If the direct sum of any two p-pseudo-injective R-modules is p-pseudo-injective, then every principally quasi-injective R-module (so simple R-module) is pointwise injective.

**Corollary**(2.16):-If the direct sum of any two p-pseudo-injective R-modules is p-pseudo-injective, then R is a regular ring.

**Proof:-**Let M be any simple R-module , thus by Corollary(2.15) M is pointwise injective R-module .Since M is a cyclic , thus M is injective R-module[8] .Hence every simple R-module is injective and this implies that R is a regular ring [11].  $\Box$ 

In the following theorem we give a new characterization of semi-simple Artinian ring which is a generalization of Osofsky's result in [7,p.63].

**Theorem(2.17):-**The following statements are equivalent for a ring R :-

(1) R is a semi-simple Artinian ring.

(2) For each R-module M , if  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  are p-pseudo-injective R-submodules of M , then  $N_1 \bigcap N_2$  is a p-pseudo-injective R-module .

(3) For each R-module M , if  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  are principally quasi-injective R-submodules of M, then  $N_1 \cap N_2$  is a p-pseudo-injective R-module.

(4) For each R-module M , if  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  are quasi-injective R-submodules of M, then  $N_1 \bigcap N_2$  is a p-pseudo-injective R-module.

(5) For each R-module M , if  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  are injective R-submodules of M, then  $N_1 \bigcap N_2$  is a p-pseudo-injective R-module.

**proof:** (1)=>(2).It follows from corollary(2.14). (2)=>(3), (3)=>(4) and (4)=>(5) are obvious. (5)=>(1)Let M be any R-module and E=E(M) is the injective envelope of M ,let  $Q = E \oplus E$ ,  $K = \{(x,x) \in Q \mid x \in M\}$  and let  $\overline{Q} = Q/K$ . Also, put  $M_1 = \{y + K \in \overline{Q} \mid y \in E \oplus (0)\}$  and  $M_2 = \{y + K \in \overline{Q} \mid y \in (0) \oplus E\}$ . It is clear that  $\overline{Q} = M_1 + M_2$ . Define  $\alpha_1 : E \to M_1$  by  $\alpha_1(y) = (y,0) + K$ , for all  $y \in E$  and  $\alpha_2 : E \to M_2$ 

2006 (1) (11)
---------------

by  $\alpha_2(y) = (0,y) + K$ , for all  $y \in E$ . Since  $(E \oplus (0)) \cap K = (0)$ and  $((0) \oplus E) \cap K=(0)$ , thus we have  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  are R-isomorphisms. Since E is an injective R-module , therefore  $M_i$  is injective R-submodule of  $\overline{Q}$ , for i=1,2 [7]. Thus by (5), we have  $M_1 \cap M_2$ is a p-pseudo-injective R-module. Define  $f: M \rightarrow M_1 \cap M_2$ by f(m) = (m, 0) + Kfor all m∈M. Since  $M_1 \cap M_2 = \{y \in M \oplus (0)\}$ , thus it is easy to prove that f is an R-isomorphism. Thus M is a p-pseudo-injective R-module, by remark ((1.2),6). Hence every R-module is p-pseudo-injective and this implies that R is a semi-simple Artinian ring, by Corollary(2.14).  $\Box$ 

**Proposition**(2.18):- The following statements are equivalent for a ring R :-

(1) Every p-injective R-module is pointwise injective.

(2) Every p-injective R-module is principally quasi-injective.

(3) Every p-injective R-module is p-pseudo-injective.

**Proof:**- (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) and (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) are obvious. (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1)Let M be any p-injective R-module and E(M) be the injective envelope of M. Then M $\oplus$ E(M) is p-injective and hence by hypothesis M $\oplus$ E(M) is p-pseudo-injective R-module. Therefore M is pointwise injective R-module, by Theorem(2.4).  $\Box$ 

In the following theorem we give a new characterization of semi-simple Artinian ring.

Theorem(2.19):-The following statements are equivalent for a ring R :-

(1) R is a semi-simple Artinian ring .

(2)For each R-module M, M is p-injective if and only if M is p-pseudo-injective.

(3)For each R-module M, M is p-injective if and only if M is principally quasi-injective.

**Proof:** (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) It is obvious. (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3)Let M be a p-injective R-module. By hypothesis M is p-pseudo-injective . Thus every p-injective R-module is p-pseudo-injective and hence by proposition(2.18) we have that every p-injective R-module is principally quasi-injective. Hence M is principally quasi-injective R-module .Conversely, is clear.

|--|

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$  Let M be any simple R-module, then M is principally quasi-injective. By hypothesis, M is p-injective. Thus every simple R-module is p-injective. Since R is a commutative ring, then R is a regular ring[13] and hence every R-module is p-injective[13]. Thus by hypothesis we have that every R-module is principally quasi-injective and hence every R-module is p-pseudo-injective. Therefore R is a semi-simple Artinian ring , by Corollary(2.14).  $\Box$ 

#### §3:-Endomorphism rings of p-pseudo-injective modules

It is easy to prove the following lemma.

**lemma(3.1):-**Let M be an R-module,  $S=End_R(M)$  and  $W(S)= \alpha \in S|ker(\alpha) \subset^e M$ , thus W(S) is a two sided ideal of S.

**Theorem(3.2):**-Let M be a p-pseudo-injective R-module ,  $S=End_R(M)$  and let W(S)=  $\alpha \in S|ker(\alpha) \subset M$ . Then

(1) S/W(S) is a regular ring.

(2)  $J(S) \subseteq W(S)$ .

**proof(1):**-Let  $\lambda$ +W(S) $\in$ S/W(S) ;  $\lambda \in$ S. Put K=ker( $\lambda$ ) and let L be the relative complement of K in M. Define  $\theta:\lambda(L) \rightarrow M$  by  $\theta(\lambda(x)) = x$ , for all  $x \in L$ . It is easy to prove that  $\theta$  is a well-defined R-monomorphism .Since M is a p-pseudo-injective R-module, thus by Corollary(1.4)have that for we each  $a=\lambda(x)\in\lambda(L)$ ,  $(x\in L)$ , there exists an R-homomorphism  $\alpha: M \to M$  such that  $\alpha$  (a)= $\theta$ (a). If u=x+y\in L\oplus K (x  $\in$  L and y  $\in$  K), thus ( $\lambda$ - $\lambda \alpha \lambda$ )(u) =  $\lambda$ (x)-( $\lambda \alpha \lambda$ )(x) =  $\lambda(x)-\lambda(\alpha(\lambda(x)))=\lambda(x)-\lambda(\alpha(a))=\lambda(x)-\lambda(\theta(a))=\lambda(x)-\lambda(\theta(\lambda(x)))=\lambda(x)-\lambda(x)=0$ , and this implies that  $u \in \ker(\lambda - \lambda \alpha \lambda)$  and hence  $L \oplus K \subseteq \ker(\lambda - \lambda \alpha \lambda)$ . Since  $L \oplus K$  is an essential R-submodule of M [7], thus ker( $\lambda$ - $\lambda \alpha \lambda$ ) is an essential R-submodule of M [11], so  $\lambda - \lambda \alpha \lambda \in W(S)$ , in turn  $\lambda + W(S) = (\lambda \alpha \lambda) + W(S)$ . Therefore S/W(S) is a regular ring. **proof(2):-** Let  $\alpha \in J(S)$ . Since by (1) S/W(S) is a regular ring, thus there exists  $\lambda \in S$ such that  $\alpha - \alpha \lambda \alpha \in W(S)$ . Put  $\beta = \alpha - \alpha \lambda \alpha$ . Since J(S) is a two sided ideal of S, thus  $-\alpha \lambda \in J(S)$ . Since J(S) is quasi-regular, then  $(I_M - \alpha \lambda)^{-1}$  exists where  $I_M$  is the identity Hence  $(I_M - \alpha \lambda)^{-1}(I_M - \alpha \lambda) = I_M$ R-homomorphism from М to M. .Since

2006 (1) (11)
---------------

 $(I_{M}-\alpha \lambda)^{-1}(\alpha - \alpha \lambda \alpha) = \alpha$ , thus  $(I_{M}-\alpha \lambda)^{-1}\beta = \alpha$ . Since  $\beta \in W(S)$ ,  $(I_{M}-\alpha \lambda)^{-1} \in S$  and W(S) is a two sided ideal of S by lemma(3.1), thus  $\alpha \in W(S)$ . Therefore  $J(S) \subseteq W(S)$ .  $\Box$ 

It is easy to prove the following corollary.

**Corollary(3.3):-** Let M be a p-pseudo-injective R-module,  $S=End_R(M)$  and  $W(S)= \alpha \in S \text{ ker}(\alpha) \subset^e M$ . Then  $H \cap K = HK + W(S) \cap (H \cap K)$ , for each two-sided ideals H and K of S. In particular,  $K=K^2 + W(S) \cap K$  for each two-sided ideal K of S.

The following proposition is a generalization of [10, proposition(2.5)].

**Proposition(3.4):-** If M is p-pseudo-injective R-module and  $S=End_R(M)$ , then SA=SB, for any isomorphic R-submodules A,B of M.

**Proof:-** Since A isomorphic to B, then there exists an R-isomorphism  $\alpha : A \rightarrow B$ .Let  $b \in B$ , since  $\alpha$  is R-epimorphism, thus there exists an element  $a \in A$  such that  $\alpha$  (a)=b. It is clear that  $ann_R(a)=ann_R(b)$ . Since M is p-pseudo-injective R-module, then by corollary(1.4) Sb  $\subseteq$  Sa and so Sb  $\subseteq$  SA for all  $b \in B$ . then SB  $\subseteq$  SA. Similarly we can prove that SA  $\subseteq$  SB. Therefore SA=SB.  $\Box$ 

As an immediate consequence of proposition(3.4) we have the following corollary. **Corollary(3.5):-**If R is p-pseudo-injective ring and A,B any two isomorphic ideals of R, then A=B.

A ring R is called terse if every two distinct ideals of R are not isomorphic[20].

**Proposition(3.6):-** The following statements are equivalent for a ring R :-

(1) R is p-pseudo-injective ring.

(2) R is terse ring.

(3)  $ann_R(x)=ann_R(y)$  implies Rx=Ry for each x,y in R.

**Proof:**-(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2)Let R be a p-pseudo-injective ring. Let A and B are any two distinct ideals of R, thus by Corollary(3.5) A and B are not isomorphic. Therefore R is a terse ring. (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3)[1,Theorem(2.12)].

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $ann_{\mathbb{R}}(x) = ann_{\mathbb{R}}(y)$ . By hypothesis we have  $\mathbb{R}x = \mathbb{R}y$ . We will prove that  $Sx \subseteq Sy$ . Let  $a \in Sx$ , thus there exists  $f \in S$  such that a=f(x). Since

2006 (1) (11)	
---------------	--

 $x \in Rx=Ry$ , thus there exists  $r \in R$  such that x=ry. Define  $g:R \to R$  by g(m)=rf(m) for all  $m \in R$ . Thus  $g \in S$  and g(y)=rf(y)=f(ry)=f(x)=a. Since  $g(y)\in Sy$ , thus  $a \in Sy$ . Hence  $Sx \subseteq Sy$  and thus by Corollary(1.4) we have that R is a p-pseudo-injective ring.  $\Box$ 

As an immediate consequence of proposition(3.6) and [1,Theorem(2,12)] we have the following corollary.

Corollary(3.7):- The following statements are equivalent for a ring R :-

(1) R is p-pseudo-injective ring.

(2) R is fully p-stable ring.

(3) Distinct cyclic ideals of R are not isomorphic.

As an immediate consequence of [1, Theorem(2, 8)] and proposition(3.6) we have the following corollary.

Corollary(3.8):- The following statements are equivalent for a ring R :-

(1) R is fully stable ring.

(2) R is p-pseudo-injective ring and  $Rx \cong Hom_R(Rx,R)$  for each  $x \in R$ .

#### **References:-**

[1] M.S.Abbas : On fully stable modules, Ph.D.thesis ,Univ. of Baghdad, 1990.

[2]M.S.Abbas:Semi-fully stable modules, AL-Mustansiriyah J.Sci., vol.7, 1996, (10-13).

[3] A.H.Abud : On m-semi-injective modules, M.Sc.thesis , AL-Mustansiriyah University ,1999.

[4] S.Alamelu : On commutativity of endomorphism rings of ideals II, proc.Amer.Math.Soc. ,55(1976)271-274.

[5] S.Alamelu : On quasi-injective modules over Noetherian rings, J.of the idian Math.Soc.,39(1975) 121-130.

[6] C.Faith ; Y.Utumi: Quasi-injective modules and their endomorphisms ring , Archiv .Math., 15(1964), 166-174.

[7] C.Faith: Lectures on injective modules and quotient rings, No.49, springer-verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New Yourk, 1967.

[8] S.A.Gataa : Pointwise injective modules, M.Sc.thesis , AL-Mustansiriyah University ,1999.

|--|

[9] R.R.Hallett : Injective modules and their generalization, Ph.D. thesis, Univ. of British Colombia, Van couver, Doc. 1971.

[10] M.A.Kamal ; O.A.Elmnophy : On P-extending modules, Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae Vol.Lxxiv,2(2005),279-286.

[11] F.Kasch: Modules and Rings, Academic press, London, New Yourk, 1982.

[12]A.R.Mehdi:Pointwise ker-injective modules ,J.Al-Qadisiah for pure sci., to appear.

[13]R.Yue Chi Ming : On Von Neumann regular rings, Proc. Edinburagh Math. Soc.,19(1974),89-91.

[14]W.K.Nicholson , J.K.Park and M.F.Yousif : Principally Quasi-injective Modules , Comm. Algebra. 27(4) (1999),1683-1693.

[15]B.L.Osofsky: Rings all whose finitely generated modules are injective, Pac. J.Math .14(1964),645-650.

[16] B.M.Pandeya ; S.P.Koirala : Pseudo M-injective modules, Algebra and its Application. Narosa publishing House (2001),201-207 .

[17] E.A.Shalal : Injectivity and continuity , M.Sc. thesis, AL-Mustansiriyah University ,2000.

[18] D.W.Sharpe; P.Vamos: Injective modules, Cambridge Univ. press, London, 1972.

[19] S.Singh ; S.K.Jain : On pseudo-injective modules and self pseudo-injective rings,J.Math.Sci.2(1967)23-31.

[20] W.D.Weakley : Modules whose distinct submodules are not isomorphic ,Comm.in Algebra,15(1987)1569-1587.

## الموديولات الاغمارية الكاذبة رئيسيا

(11)

الخلاصة:-

 $M^{n}$ 

 $2 \le n$ 

М

•

.

R

•

.

•