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#### Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to derive superordination results involving a multiplier transformation for a family of analytic multivalent functions in the open unit disk.


## 1. Introduction

Let $T_{p}$ denote the class of functions of the form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k},(p \in \mathbb{N}=\{1,2, \cdots\}) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic and $p$ - valent in the open unit disk $U=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$.
If $f$ and $g$ are analytic functions in $U$, we say that $f$ is subordinate to $g$, written $f \prec g$, if there exists a Schwarz function $w$, which (by definition) is analytic in $U$ with $w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)|<1$ for all $z \in U$, such that $f(z)=g(w(z)))$, for all $z \in U$. Furthermore, if the function $g$ is univalent in $U$, then we have the following equivalence

$$
f(z) \prec g(z), \quad(z \in U) \Leftrightarrow f(0)=g(0) \text { and } f(U) \subset g(U)
$$

For $0 \leq \eta<p$, we denote by $S_{p}^{*}(\eta), K_{p}(\eta)$ and $C_{p}$ the subclasses of $T_{p}$ consisting of all analytic functions which are respectively, $p$ - valent starlike of order $\eta$, $p$-valent convex of order $\eta$ and close-to-convex in $U$.

Let define the multiplier transformation : $I_{\lambda, p}^{s}: T_{p} \rightarrow T_{p}$ by

$$
I_{\lambda, p}^{s} f(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{k+\lambda}{p+\lambda}\right)^{s} a_{k} z^{k},(\lambda \geq 0, s \in \mathbb{R})
$$

This operator is closely related to the Salagean derivative operator [13]. The special case $I_{1, \lambda}^{s}$ was studied recently by Cho and Srivastava [5] and Cho and Kim [4], while $I_{1,1}^{s}$ was studied by Uralegaddi and Somanatha [15]. An investigation of the $I_{p ; \lambda}^{s}$ operator was given by Aghalary et. al. [1]. We also mention the papers [2], [7], [8], [9], [11], [12] and [14], that are closely-related recent articles on the subject of the multiplier transformations investigated in our work.

Setting

$$
f_{p ; \lambda}^{s}(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{k+\lambda}{p+\lambda}\right)^{s} a_{k} z^{k},(\lambda \geq 0, s \in \mathbb{R})
$$

[^0]we now introduce the operator $I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s}: T_{p} \rightarrow T_{p}$, defined by
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s} f(z)=f_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s} * f(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{p+\lambda}{k+\lambda}\right)^{s} \frac{(p+\mu)_{k-p}}{(1)_{k-p}} a_{k} z^{k} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $f_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s} f(z)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s} f(z) * f_{p ; \lambda}^{s}(z)=\frac{z^{p}}{(1-z)^{\mu+p}},(\mu>-p)(\text { see }[6]) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (1.2) and (1.3), we may easily obtain the following relations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s+1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}=(\lambda+p) I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s} f(z)-\lambda I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s+1} f(z) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s+1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}=(\mu+p) I_{p ; \lambda, \mu+1}^{s} f(z)-\mu I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s} f(z) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. Preliminaries

To prove our results we shall need the following lemmas:
Lemma 2.1. (see [10]) : Let $q(z)$ be convex univalent function in the unit disk $U$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$. Further assume that $\operatorname{Re}(\gamma)>0$. If $r(z) \in H[q(0), 1] \cap Q$ and $r(z)+\gamma z r^{\prime}(z)$ is univalent in $U$, then $q(z)+\gamma z q^{\prime}(z) \prec r(z)+\gamma z r^{\prime}(z)$, then $q(z) \prec r(z)$ and $q(z)$ is the best subordinant.

Lemma 2.2. (see [3]) : Let $q(z)$ be convex univalent function in the unit disk $U$, and let $\theta$ and $\phi$ be analytic in a domain $D$ containing $q(U)$. Suppose that
: (i) $\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\theta^{\prime}(q(z))}{\phi(q(z))}\right\}>0$ for $z \in U$.
: (ii) $z q^{\prime}(z) \phi(q(z))$ is starlike univalent in $z \in U$.
If $r(z) \in H[q(0), 1] \cap Q$, with $r(U) \subseteq D$ and if $\theta(r(z))+z r^{\prime}(z) \phi(r(z))$ is univalent in $U$ and $\theta(q(z))+z q^{\prime}(z) \phi(q(z)) \prec \theta(r(z))+z r^{\prime}(z) \phi(r(z))$, then $q(z) \prec r(z)$ and $q(z)$ is the best subordinant.

## 3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1. Let $q$ be convex univalent in $U$ with $q(0)=1$ and $\frac{1}{p^{2}} \operatorname{Re} \beta>0$. Let $f \in T_{p}$ satisfies $z^{p} I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s+1} f(z) \in H[q(0,1), 1] \cap Q$ and

$$
\left(1+\frac{\beta}{p}\left(\frac{p-\lambda}{p}\right)\right) z^{p}\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s+1} f(z)\right)+\left(\frac{\lambda \beta}{p}\left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)\right) z^{p}\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s} f(z)\right)
$$

is univalent in $U$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(z)+\frac{\beta}{p^{2}} z q^{\prime}(z) \prec\left(1+\frac{\beta}{p}\left(\frac{p-\lambda}{p}\right)\right) z^{p}\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s+1} f(z)\right)+\left(\frac{\lambda \beta}{p}\left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)\right) z^{p}\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s} f(z)\right) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(z) \prec z^{p}\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s+1} f(z)\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $q(z)$ is the best subordinant of (3.1).
Proof. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(z)=z^{p}\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s+1} f(z)\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (3.3) with respect to $z$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{r(z)}=\frac{(\lambda+p)\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s} f(z)\right)-(\lambda+p)\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s+1} f(z)\right)}{\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s+1} f(z)\right)} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.4) and using the identity (1.4), a simple computation show that

$$
\left(1+\frac{\beta}{p}\left(\frac{p-\lambda}{p}\right)\right) z^{p}\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s+1} f(z)\right)+\left(\frac{\lambda \beta}{p}\left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)\right) z^{p}\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s} f(z)\right)=r(z)+\frac{\beta}{p^{2}} z r^{\prime}(z)
$$

and now, by using Lemma (2.1), we get desired result.
Putting $q(z)=\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\delta},(0<\delta \leq 1)$ in the Theorem (3.1), we obtain the following Corollary :

Corollary 3.2. Let $0<\delta \leq 1$ and $\frac{1}{p^{2}} \operatorname{Re} \beta>0$. It $z^{p} I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s+1} f(z) \in H[q(0), 1] \cap Q$ and

$$
\left(1+\frac{\beta}{p}\left(\frac{p-\lambda}{p}\right)\right) z^{p}\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s+1} f(z)\right)+\left(\frac{\lambda \beta}{p}\left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)\right) z^{p}\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s} f(z)\right)
$$

be univalent in $U$. If

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(1+\frac{2 \beta \delta z}{p^{2}\left(1-z^{2}\right)}\right)\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\delta} \\
& \prec\left(1+\frac{\beta}{p}\left(\frac{p-\lambda}{p}\right)\right) z^{p}\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s+1} f(z)\right)+\left(\frac{\lambda \beta}{p}\left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)\right) z^{p}\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s} f(z)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\delta} \prec z^{p}\left(I_{p ; \lambda \mu}^{s+1} f(z)\right)$ and $q(z)$ is the best subordinant.
Theorem 3.3. Let $q$ be convex univalent in $U$ with $q(0)=1$ and $\frac{1}{1+p} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\alpha \lambda}{\delta}\right)>0$. Let $f \in T_{p}$ satisfies :

$$
\frac{I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s} f(z)}{z^{p}} \in H[q(0,1), 1] \cap Q
$$

and

$$
\frac{\alpha \lambda}{\delta(1+p)}\left[\frac{(\mu+p)\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu+1}^{s} f(z)\right)-(\mu+p)\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s} f(z)\right)}{z^{p}}\right]
$$

is univalent in $U$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(z)+\frac{\alpha \lambda}{\delta(1+p)} z q^{\prime}(z) \prec \frac{\alpha \lambda}{\delta(1+p)}\left[\frac{(\mu+p)\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu+1}^{s} f(z)\right)-(\mu+p)\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s} f(z)\right)}{z^{p}}\right] \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(z) \prec \frac{I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s} f(z)}{z^{p}} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $q(z)$ is the best subordinant of (3.5).
Proof. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\frac{I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s} f(z)}{z^{p}} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (3.7) with respect to $z$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z r^{\prime}(z)}{r(z)}=\frac{(\mu+p)\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu+1}^{s} f(z)\right)}{\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s} f(z)\right)}-(\mu+p) . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.8) and using the identity (1.5), a simple computation shows that

$$
\frac{\alpha \lambda}{\delta(1+p)}\left[\frac{(\mu+p)\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu+1}^{s} f(z)\right)-(\mu+p)\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s} f(z)\right)}{z^{p}}\right]=r(z)+\frac{\alpha \lambda}{\delta(1+p)} z r^{\prime}(z)
$$

and now, by using Lemma (2.1), we get desired result.
Putting $q(z)=\frac{1+A z}{1+B z}$ in the Theorem (3.2), we have the following Corollary :

Corollary 3.4. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}, A \neq B,|B|<1$. If $f \in T_{p}$ :

$$
\frac{I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s} f(z)}{z^{p}} \in H[q(0), 1] \cap Q
$$

and

$$
\frac{\alpha \lambda}{\delta(1+p)}\left[\frac{(\mu+p)\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu+1}^{s} f(z)\right)-(\mu+p)\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s} f(z)\right)}{z^{p}}\right]
$$

is univalent in $U$. If

$$
\frac{1+A z}{1+B z}+\frac{\alpha \lambda}{\delta(1+p)} \frac{(A-B) z}{(1+B z)^{2}} \prec \frac{\alpha \lambda}{\delta(1+p)}\left[\frac{(\mu+p)\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu+1}^{s} f(z)\right)-(\mu+p)\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s} f(z)\right)}{z^{p}}\right]
$$

Then $\left(\frac{1+A z}{1+B z}\right) \prec \frac{I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s} f(z)}{z^{p}}$ and $q(z)=\frac{1+A z}{1+B z}$ is the best subordinant.
Theorem 3.5. Let $\alpha_{i} \in \mathbb{C},(i=1,2,3)$ and let $q$ be convex univalent with $q(0)=1$, and assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{3}} q^{\prime}(z) q(z)\right\}>0 . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}$ is starlike univalent in $U$. Let $f \in T_{p}$ satisfies :

$$
z^{p} I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s+1} f(z) \in H[q(0), 1] \cap Q
$$

and

$$
\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2} z^{p}\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s+1} f(z)\right)+\alpha_{3}\left[\frac{(\lambda+p)\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s} f(z)\right)}{\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s+1} f(z)\right)}-(\lambda-p)\right]
$$

is univalent in $U$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2} q(z)+\alpha_{3}\left[\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}\right] \prec \alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2} z^{p}\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s+1} f(z)\right)+\alpha_{3}\left[\frac{(\lambda+p)\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s} f(z)\right)}{\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s+1} f(z)\right)}-(\lambda-p)\right], \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(z) \prec z^{p}\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s+1} f(z)\right) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $q$ is the best subordinant of (3.10).
Proof. Define the function $r(z)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(z)=z^{p}\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s}(f(z)) .\right. \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By setting $\theta(w)=\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2} w$ and $\phi(w)=\frac{\alpha_{3}}{w}$, we see that $\theta(w)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C}, \phi(w)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} /\{0\}$ and that $\phi(w) \neq 0, w \in \mathbb{C} /\{0\}$. Also, we get

$$
Q(z)=z q^{\prime}(z) \phi(q(z))=z \frac{\alpha_{3}}{q(z)} q^{\prime}(z)
$$

It is clear that $Q(z)$ is starlike univalent in $U$,

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z \theta^{\prime}(q(z))}{\phi(q(z))}\right\}=\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{3}} q(z) q^{\prime}(z)\right\}>0
$$

By a straight forward computation, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2} z^{p}\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s+1} f(z)\right)+\alpha_{3}\left[\frac{(\lambda+p)\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s} f(z)\right)}{\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s+1} f(z)\right)}-(\lambda-p)\right] \prec \alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2} r(z)+\alpha_{3}\left[\frac{z r^{\prime}(z)}{r(z)}\right] . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.10) and (3.13), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2} q(z)+\alpha_{3}\left[\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}\right] \prec \alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2} r(z)+\alpha_{3}\left[\frac{z r^{\prime}(z)}{r(z)}\right] . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by Lemma (2.2), we get $q(z) \prec r(Z)$.
Putting $q(z)=\frac{1+A z}{1+B z}$ in the Theorem (3.3), we obtain the following corollary.
Corollary 3.6. Let $\alpha_{i} \in \mathbb{C},(i=1,2,3)$ and let be convex univalent with $q(0)=1$ and assume that :

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{3}}\left(\frac{1+A z}{1+B z}\right)\left(\frac{A-B}{(1+B z)^{2}}\right)\right\}>0 .
$$

Suppose that $\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}$ is starlike univalent in $U$. Let $f \in T_{p}$ satisfies :

$$
z^{p} I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s+1} f(z) \in H[q(0), 1] \cap Q
$$

and

$$
\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2} z^{p}\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s+1} f(z)\right)+\alpha_{3}\left[\frac{(\lambda+p)\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s} f(z)\right)}{\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s+1} f(z)\right)}-(\lambda-p)\right]
$$

is univalent in $U$. If

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\left(\frac{1+A z}{1+B z}\right)+\alpha_{3}\left[\frac{z(A-B)}{(1+A z) /(1+B z)}\right] \\
& \prec \alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2} z^{p}\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s+1} f(z)\right)+\alpha_{3}\left[\frac{(\lambda+p)\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s} f(z)\right)}{\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s+1} f(z)\right)}-(\lambda-p)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\left(\frac{(1+A z}{1+B z}\right) \prec z^{p}\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s+1} f(z)\right)$ and $q(z)=\left(\frac{1+A z}{1+B z}\right)$ is the best subordinant.
Theorem 3.7. Let $\sigma, \delta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ and $q$ be convex univalent in $U$ with $q(0)=1$ and assume that :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\sigma q^{\prime}(z)}{\gamma}\right\}>0 . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $z q^{\prime}(z)$ is starlike univalent in $U$. Let $f \in T_{p}$ satisfies :

$$
z^{p} I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s+1} f(z) \in H[q(0), 1] \cap Q
$$

and

$$
(\sigma-\lambda \gamma+\gamma p) z^{p}\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s+1} f(z)\right)+\gamma(\lambda+p)\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s} f(z)\right)+\delta
$$

is univalent in $U$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma q(z)+\gamma z q^{\prime}(z)+\delta \prec(\sigma-\lambda \gamma+\gamma p) z^{p}\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s+1} f(z)\right)+\gamma(\lambda+p)\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s} f(z)\right)+\delta, \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(z) \prec z^{p}\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s+1} f(z)\right) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $q(z)$ is the best subordinant of (3.17).
Proof. Define the function $r(z)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(z)=z^{p}\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s} f(z)\right) \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

By setting $\theta(w)=\sigma w+\delta$ and $\phi(w)=\gamma$, we see that $\theta(w)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C}, \phi(w)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} /\{0\}$ and that $\phi(w) \neq 0, w \in \mathbb{C} /\{0\}$. Also, we get

$$
Q(z)=z q^{\prime}(z) \phi(q(z))=\gamma z q^{\prime}(z) .
$$

It is clear that $Q(z)$ is starlike univalent in $U$,

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\theta^{\prime}(q(z))}{\phi(q(z))}\right\}=\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\sigma q^{\prime}(z)}{\gamma}\right\}>0
$$

By a straight forward computation, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\sigma-\lambda \gamma+\gamma p) z^{p}\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s+1} f(z)\right)+\gamma(\lambda+p)\left(I_{p ; \lambda, \mu}^{s} f(z)\right)+\delta \prec \sigma r(z)+\gamma z r^{\prime}(z)+\delta . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.16) and (3.19) we have

$$
\sigma q(z)+\gamma z q^{\prime}(z)+\delta \prec \sigma r(z)+\gamma z r^{\prime}(z)+\delta .
$$

Therefore, by Lemma (2.2), we get $q(z) \prec r(z)$.
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