



Gen. Math. Notes, Vol. 22, No. 1, May 2014, pp. 71-85

ISSN 2219-7184; Copyright © ICSRS Publication, 2014

www.i-csrs.org

Available free online at <http://www.geman.in>

On a Subclass of Univalent Functions Defined by Multiplier Transformations

Waggas Galib Atshan¹ and Thamer Khalil Mohammed²

¹Department of Mathematics

College of Computer Science and Mathematics

University of Al-Qadisiya, Diwaniya – Iraq

E-mail: waggashnd@gmail.com; waggas_hnd@yahoo.com

²Department of Mathematics, College of Education

University of Al-Mustansiriya, Baghdad – Iraq

E-mail: thamerk76@yahoo.com

(Received: 23-10-13 / Accepted: 14-2-14)

Abstract

In the present paper, we introduce a subclass of univalent functions with positive coefficients defined by multiplier transformations in the open unit disk $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. We obtain some geometric properties, like coefficient inequality, closure theorem, neighborhoods for the subclass $WA(\ell, \alpha, m, \eta, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \lambda)$, radii of starlikeness, convexity and close-to-convexity, weighted mean, arithmetic mean, linear combination and integral representation.

Keywords: *Univalent function, Multiplier transformations, Neighborhoods, Radius of starlikeness, Weighted mean, Arithmetic mean, Linear combination, Closure Theorem, Integral representation.*

1 Introduction

Let S be the class of functions of the form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (1)$$

which are analytic and univalent in the unit disk $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C}: |z| < 1\}$.

A function $f \in S$ is said to be starlike of order β ($0 \leq \beta < 1$) if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \beta, \quad (z \in U). \quad (2)$$

Denote the class of all starlike functions of order β in U by $S^*(\beta)$. A function $f \in S$ is said to be convex of order β if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > \beta, \quad (0 \leq \beta < 1, z \in U) \quad (3)$$

Denote the class of all convex functions of order β in U by $C(\beta)$.

A function $f \in S$ is said to be close – to – convex of order β if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \{f'(z)\} > \beta, \quad (0 \leq \beta < 1, z \in U) \quad (4)$$

Let TH be subclass of S consisting of functions of the form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (a_n \geq 0) \quad (5)$$

For the functions $f \in TH$ given by (5) and $g \in TH$ defined by

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (b_n \geq 0) \quad (6)$$

Define the convolution (or Hadamard product) of f and g by

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n. \quad (7)$$

For any integer m , we define the multiplier transformations I_m^ℓ (see [4, 5]) of functions $f \in TH$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_m^\ell f(z) &= z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha + \ell}{\alpha + \ell + n - 1}\right)^m a_n z^n \\
 &= z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \theta(n, \alpha, \ell) a_n z^n, \quad (\ell \geq 0, \alpha > 0, z \in U),
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{8}$$

Where

$$\theta(n, \alpha, \ell) = ((\alpha + \ell)/(a + \ell + n - 1))^m.$$

Definition 1: Let g be a fixed function defined by (6). The function $f \in TH$ given by (5) is said to be in the new class $WA(\ell, \alpha, m, \eta, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \lambda)$ if and only if

$$\left| \frac{\frac{z(I_m^\ell(f * g(z)))''}{(I_m^\ell(f * g(z)))'}}{\eta \frac{z(I_m^\ell(f * g(z)))''}{(I_m^\ell(f * g(z)))'} + (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)} \right| < \lambda,
 \tag{9}$$

Where $\ell \geq 0, \alpha > 0, m \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 < \eta < 1, 0 < \gamma_1 < 1, 0 \leq \gamma_2 < 1$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$. The following interesting geometric properties of this function subclass were studied by several authors for another classes, like, Altintas et al. [1] Atshan and Buti [2], Atshan and Kulkarni [3], Kanas et al. [7,8], Murugusundaramoorthy and Magesh [9,10] and Murugusundaramoorthy and Srivastava [11].

2 Coefficient Inequality

We obtain the necessary and sufficient condition for a function f to be in the class $WA(\ell, \alpha, m, \eta, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \lambda)$.

Theorem 1: Let $f \in TH$. Then $f \in WA(\ell, \alpha, m, \eta, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \lambda)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n((n - 1)(1 - \lambda\eta) - \lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2))\theta(n, \alpha, \ell)a_n b_n \leq \lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2),
 \tag{10}$$

where $\ell \geq 0, \alpha > 0, m \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 < \eta < 1, 0 < \gamma_1 < 1, 0 \leq \gamma_2 < 1$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$.

The result is sharp for the function

$$f(z) = z + \frac{\lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)}{n((n - 1)(1 - \lambda\eta) - \lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2))\theta(n, \alpha, \ell)} z^n.
 \tag{11}$$

Proof: Suppose that (10) is true for $z \in U$ and $|z| = 1$. Then, we have

$$\left| z(I_m^\ell(f * g(z)))'' \right| - \lambda \left| \eta z(I_m^\ell(f * g(z)))'' + (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)(I_m^\ell(f * g(z)))' \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)\theta(n, \alpha, \ell) a_n b_n z^{n-1} \right| - \lambda \left| \eta \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)\theta(n, \alpha, \ell) a_n b_n z^{n-1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) \left(1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\theta(n, \alpha, \ell) a_n b_n z^{n-1} \right) \right| \\
&= \left| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)\theta(n, \alpha, \ell) a_n b_n z^{n-1} \right| - \lambda \left| (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(\eta(n-1) + (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2))\theta(n, \alpha, \ell) a_n b_n z^{n-1} \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)\theta(n, \alpha, \ell) a_n b_n |z|^{n-1} \\
&\quad - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\lambda(\eta(n-1) + (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2))\theta(n, \alpha, \ell) a_n b_n |z|^{n-1} - \lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) \\
&= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n((n-1)(1-\lambda\eta) - \lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2))\theta(n, \alpha, \ell) a_n b_n - \lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) \leq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

by hypothesis.

Hence, by maximum modulus principle, $f \in WA(\ell, \alpha, m, \eta, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \lambda)$.

Conversely, assume that $f \in WA(\ell, \alpha, m, \eta, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \lambda)$. Then from (9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \frac{\frac{z(I_m^\ell(f * g(z)))''}{(I_m^\ell(f * g(z)))'} }{\eta \frac{z(I_m^\ell(f * g(z)))''}{(I_m^\ell f * g(z))'} + (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)} \right| \\
&= \left| \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)\theta(n, \alpha, \ell) a_n b_n z^{n-1}}{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(\eta(n-1) + (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2))\theta(n, \alpha, \ell) a_n b_n z^{n-1} + (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)} \right| < \lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\operatorname{Re}(z) \leq |z|$ for all $z (z \in U)$, we get

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)\theta(n, \alpha, \ell) a_n b_n z^{n-1}}{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(\eta(n-1) + (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2))\theta(n, \alpha, \ell) a_n b_n z^{n-1} + (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)} \right\} < \lambda. \quad (12)$$

We choose the value of z on the real axis so that

$$\frac{Z(I_m^\ell(f * g(z)))''}{(I_m^\ell(f * g(z)))'} \quad \text{is real}$$

Letting $z \rightarrow 1^-$ through real values, we obtain inequality (10).

Finally, sharpness follows if we take

$$f(z) = z + \frac{\lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)}{n((n-1)(1-\eta\lambda) - \lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2))\theta(n, \alpha, \ell)b_n} z^n, \quad (13)$$

$n=2, 3, \dots$

The proof is complete.

Corollary 1: Let $f \in WA(\ell, \alpha, m, \eta, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \lambda)$. Then

$$a_n \leq \frac{\lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)}{n((n-1)(1-\eta\lambda) - \lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2))\theta(n, \alpha, \ell)b_n}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots \quad (14)$$

3 Closure Theorem

Theorem 2: Let the functions f_k defined by

$$f_k(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n,k} z^n, \quad (a_{n,k} \geq 0, k = 1, 2, \dots, \mu),$$

be in the class $WA(\ell, \alpha, m, \eta, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \lambda)$ for every $k = 1, 2, \dots, \mu$. Then the function h defined by

$$h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} e_n z^n, \quad (e_n \geq 0),$$

also belongs to the class $WA(\ell, \alpha, m, \eta, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \lambda)$, where

$$e_n = \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{k=1}^{\mu} a_{n,k}, \quad (n \geq 2).$$

since $f_k \in WA(\ell, \alpha, m, \eta, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \lambda)$, then by Theorem 1, we have

Proof:

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n((n-1)(1-\lambda\eta) - \lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2))\theta(n, \alpha, \ell) a_{n,k} b_n \leq \lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2), \quad (15)$$

for every $k=1, 2, \dots, \mu$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n((n-1)(1-\lambda\eta) - \lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2))\theta(n, \alpha, \ell) e_n b_n \\ &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n((n-1)(1-\lambda\eta) - \lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)) \theta(n, \alpha, \ell) b_n \left(\frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{k=1}^{\mu} a_{n,k} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{k=1}^{\mu} \left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n((n-1)(1-\lambda\eta) - \lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2))\theta(n, \alpha, \ell) a_{n,k} b_n \right) \leq \lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2). \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem (1), it follows that $h \in WA(\ell, \alpha, m, \eta, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \lambda)$.

4 Neighborhoods for the Class $WA(\ell, \alpha, m, \eta, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \lambda)$

Definition 2: For any function $f \in TH$ and $\delta \geq 0$, the δ -neighborhood of f is defined as:

$$N_{\delta}(f) = \{g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n \in TH: \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|a_n - b_n| \leq \delta\}. \quad (16)$$

In particular, for the function $e(z) = z$, we see that,

$$N_{\delta}(e) = \{g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n \in TH: \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|b_n| \leq \delta\}. \quad (17)$$

The concept of neighborhoods was first introduced by Goodman [6] and then general by Ruscheweyh [12].

Definition 3: A function $f \in TH$ is said to be in the class $WA^{\rho}(\ell, \alpha, m, \eta, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \lambda)$ if there exists a function $g \in WA(\ell, \alpha, m, \eta, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \lambda)$, such that

$$\left| \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} - 1 \right| < 1 - \rho \quad (z \in U, 0 \leq \rho < 1).$$

Theorem 3: If $g \in WA(\ell, \alpha, m, \eta, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \lambda)$ and

$$\rho = 1 - \frac{\delta((1 - \lambda\eta) + \lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2))\theta(2, \alpha, \ell)a_2}{2((1 - \lambda\eta) + \lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2))\theta(2, \alpha, \ell)a_2 - \lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)}. \quad (18)$$

Then $N_\delta(g) \subset WA^\rho(\ell, \alpha, m, \eta, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \lambda)$.

Proof: Let $f \in N_\delta(g)$. We want to find from (16) that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n |a_n - b_n| \leq \delta,$$

which readily implies the following coefficient inequality

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n - b_n| \leq \frac{\delta}{2}.$$

Next, since $g \in WA(\ell, \alpha, m, \eta, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \lambda)$, we have from Theorem 1

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n \leq \frac{\lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)}{2((1 - \lambda\eta) + \lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2))\theta(2, \alpha, \ell)a_2}.$$

So that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} - 1 \right| &\leq \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n - b_n|}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n} \\ &\leq \delta \frac{((1 - \lambda\eta) + \lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2))\theta(2, \alpha, \ell)a_2}{2((1 - \lambda\eta) + \lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2))\theta(2, \alpha, \ell)a_2 - \lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)} = 1 - \rho. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Thus by Definition (3), $f \in WA^\rho(\ell, \alpha, m, \eta, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \lambda)$ for ρ given by (18).

This completes the proof.

5 Radii of Starlikeness, Convexity and Close-to-Convexity

Using the inequalities (2), (3), (4) and Theorem 1, we can compute the radii starlikeness, convexity and close - to - convexity.

Theorem 4: If $f \in WA(\ell, \alpha, m, \eta, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \lambda)$, then f is univalent starlike of order ψ ($0 \leq \psi < 1$) in the disk $|z| < r_1$, where

$$r_1(\ell, \alpha, m, \eta, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \lambda, \psi) = \inf_n \left\{ \frac{n(1-\psi)((n-1)(1-\lambda\eta) - \lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2))\theta(n, \alpha, \ell)b_n}{(n-\psi)\lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}, \quad n \geq 2$$

Proof: It is sufficient to show that

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq 1 - \psi, \quad (0 \leq \psi < 1),$$

for $|z| < r_1(\ell, \alpha, m, \eta, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \lambda, \psi)$,

we have

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| = \left| \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1)a_n z^{n-1}}{1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-1}} \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1)a_n |z|^{n-1}}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n |z|^{n-1}}.$$

Thus

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq 1 - \psi,$$

if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(n-\psi)a_n |z|^{n-1}}{1-\psi} \leq 1. \quad (20)$$

Hence, by Theorem 1, (20) will be true if

$$\frac{(n-\psi)|z|^{n-1}}{1-\psi} \leq \frac{n((n-1)(1-\lambda\eta) - \lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2))\theta(n, \alpha, \ell)b_n}{\lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)},$$

Equivalently if

$$|z| \leq \left\{ \frac{n(1-\psi)((n-1)(1-\lambda\eta) - \lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2))\theta(n, \alpha, \ell)b_n}{(n-\psi)\lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}, \quad n \geq 2$$

Setting $|z| = r_1$, we get the desired result.

Theorem 5: If $f \in WA(\ell, \alpha, m, \eta, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \lambda)$, then f is univalent convex of order ψ ($0 \leq \psi < 1$) in the disk $|z| < r_2$, where

$$r_2(\ell, \alpha, m, \eta, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \lambda, \psi) = \inf_n \left\{ \frac{(1-\psi)((n-1)(1-\lambda\eta) - \lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2))\theta(n, \alpha, \ell)b_n}{(n-\psi)\lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}, \quad n \geq 2.$$

Proof: It is sufficient to show that

$$\left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \leq 1 - \psi, \quad (0 \leq \psi < 1),$$

for $|z| < r_2(\ell, \alpha, m, \eta, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \lambda, \psi),$

We have

$$\left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| = \left| \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)a_n z^{n-1}}{1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} na_n z^{n-1}} \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)a_n |z|^{n-1}}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} na_n |z|^{n-1}}.$$

Thus

$$\left| \frac{zf''(z)}{zf'(z)} \right| \leq 1 - \psi,$$

If

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n(n-\psi)a_n |z|^{n-1}}{(1-\psi)} \leq 1. \tag{21}$$

Hence by Theorem 1, (21) will be true if

$$\frac{n(n-\psi) |z|^{n-1}}{(1-\psi)} \leq \frac{n((n-1)(1-\lambda\eta) - \lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2))\theta(n, \alpha, \ell)b_n}{\lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)}$$

Equivalently if

$$|z| \leq \left\{ \frac{(1-\psi)((n-1)(1-\lambda\eta) - \lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2))\theta(n, \alpha, \ell)b_n}{(n-\psi)\lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}, \quad n \geq 2.$$

Setting $|z| = r_2,$ we get the desired result.

Theorem 6: Let a function $f \in WA(\ell, \alpha, m, \eta, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \lambda).$ Then f is univalent close – to – convex of order ψ ($0 \leq \psi < 1$) in the disk $|z| < r_3,$ where

$$r_3(\ell, \alpha, m, \eta, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \lambda, \psi) = \inf_n \left\{ \frac{(1-\psi)((n-1)(1-\lambda\eta) - \lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2))\theta(n, \alpha, \ell)b_n}{\lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}, \quad n \geq 2$$

Proof: It is sufficient to show that

$$|f'(z) - 1| \leq 1 - \psi, \quad (0 \leq \psi < 1),$$

for

$$|z| < r_3(\ell, \alpha, m, \eta, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \lambda),$$

We have

$$|f'(z) - 1| = \left| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n z^{n-1} \right| \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n |z|^{n-1}.$$

Thus

$$|f'(z) - 1| \leq 1 - \psi,$$

If

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n a_n |z|^{n-1}}{1 - \psi} \leq 1. \quad (22)$$

Hence, by Theorem 1, (22) will be true if

$$\frac{n |z|^{n-1}}{1 - \psi} \leq \frac{n((n-1)(1-\lambda\eta) - \lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2))\theta(n, \square, \ell)b_n}{\lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)},$$

Equivalently if

$$|z| \leq \left\{ \frac{(1-\psi)((n-1)(1-\lambda\eta) - \lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2))\theta(n, \square, \ell)b_n}{\lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}, \quad n \geq 2$$

Setting $|z| = r_3$, we get the desired result.

6 Weighted Mean and Arithmetic Mean

Definition 4: Let f_1 and f_2 be in the class $WA(\ell, \alpha, m, \eta, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \lambda)$. Then the weighted mean V_j of f_1 and f_2 is given by:

$$V_j(z) = \frac{1}{2} [(1-j)f_1(z) + (1+j)f_2(z)], \quad 0 < j < 1$$

Theorem 7: Let f_1 and f_2 be in the class $WA(\ell, \alpha, m, \eta, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \lambda)$. Then the weighted mean V_j of f_1 and f_2 is also in the class $WA(\ell, \alpha, m, \eta, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \lambda)$.

Proof: By Definition (4), we have

$$V_j(z) = \frac{1}{2} [(1-j)f_1(z) + (1+j)f_2(z)] \quad (23)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{2} \left[(1-j) \left(z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n,1} z^n \right) + (1+j) \left(z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n,2} z^n \right) \right] \\
 &= z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \left[(1-j)a_{n,1} + (1+j)a_{n,2} \right] z^n.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since f_1 and f_2 are in the class $WA(\ell, \alpha, m, \eta, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \lambda)$ so by Theorem 1, we get

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n((n-1)(1-\lambda\eta) - \lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2))\theta(n, \alpha, \ell) a_{n,1} b_n \leq \lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2),$$

and

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n((n-1)(1-\lambda\eta) - \lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2))\theta(n, \alpha, \ell) a_{n,2} b_n \leq \lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n((n-1)(1-\lambda\eta) - (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)\lambda)\theta(n, \alpha, \ell) \left(\frac{1}{2} [(1-j)a_{n,1}z^n + (1+j)a_{n,2}] \right) b_n \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} (1-j) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n((n-1)(1-\lambda\eta) - \lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2))\theta(n, \alpha, \ell) a_{n,1} b_n \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2} (1+j) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n((n-1)(1-\lambda\eta) - \lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2))\theta(n, \alpha, \ell) a_{n,2} b_n \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} (1-j)\lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) + \frac{1}{2} (1+j)\lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) = \lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $V_j \in WA(\ell, \alpha, m, \eta, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \lambda)$.

The proof is complete.

Theorem 8: Let $f_1(z), f_2(z), \dots, f_{\mu}(z)$ defined by

$$f_i(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n,i} z^n, \quad (a_{n,i} \geq 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, \mu, n \geq 2) \tag{24}$$

be in the class $WA(\ell, \alpha, m, \eta, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \lambda)$. Then the arithmetic mean of $f_i(z)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, \mu$) defined by

$$h(z) = \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} f_i(z) \quad (25)$$

is also in the class $WA(\ell, \alpha, m, \eta, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \lambda)$.

Proof: By (24), (25), we can write

$$h(z) = \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} (z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n,i} z^n) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} a_{n,i} \right) z^n.$$

Since $f_i \in WA(\ell, \alpha, m, \eta, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \lambda)$ for every ($i=1, 2, \dots, \mu$) so by using Theorem 1,

We prove that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n((n-1)(1-\lambda\eta) - \lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2))\theta(n, \alpha, \ell) \left(\frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} a_{n,i} \right) b_n \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} \left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n((n-1)(1-\lambda\eta) - \lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2))\theta(n, \alpha, \ell) a_{n,i} b_n \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} \lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) = \lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2). \text{ The proof is complete.} \end{aligned}$$

7 Linear Combination

In the following theorem, we prove a linear combination for the class

$WA(\ell, \alpha, m, \eta, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \lambda)$.

Theorem 9: Let

$$f_i(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n,i} z^n, \quad (a_{n,i} \geq 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, \mu, n \geq 2)$$

belong to the class $WA(\ell, \alpha, m, \eta, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \lambda)$. Then

$$F(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} c_i f_i(z) \in WA(\ell, \alpha, m, \eta, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \lambda),$$

where

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\mu} c_i = 1.$$

Proof: By Theorem (1), we can write for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \mu\}$

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n((n-1)(1-\lambda\eta) - \lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2))\theta(n, \alpha, \ell)}{\lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)} a_{n,i} b_n \leq 1.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} F(z) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} c_i \left(z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n,i} z^n \right) \\ &= z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\mu} c_i a_{n,i} \right) z^n. \end{aligned}$$

However

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n((n-1)(1-\lambda\eta) - \lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2))\theta(n, \alpha, \ell)}{\lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\mu} c_i a_{n,i} \right) b_n \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} c_i \left[\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n((n-1)(1-\lambda\eta) - \lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2))\theta(n, \alpha, \ell)}{\lambda(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)} a_{n,i} b_n \right] \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then $F(z) \in WA(\ell, \alpha, m, \eta, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \lambda)$.

So the proof is complete.

8 Integral Representation

In the following theorem, we obtain integral representation for the function f .

Theorem 10: Let $f \in WA(\ell, \alpha, m, \eta, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \lambda)$. Then

$$(I_m^\ell (f * g(z)))' = e^{\int_0^z \frac{(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)\theta(t)\lambda}{t(1-\eta\theta(t)\lambda)} dt},$$

where $|\theta(t)| < 1, z \in U$.

Proof: By putting

$$\frac{z \left(I_m^\ell (f * g(z)) \right)''}{\left(I_m^\ell (f * g(z)) \right)'} = M(z),$$

in (9), we have

$$\left| \frac{M(z)}{\eta M(z) + (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)} \right| < \lambda,$$

or equivalently

$$\frac{M(z)}{\eta M(z) + (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)} = \theta(z)\lambda, \quad |\theta(z)| < 1, \quad z \in U.$$

We get

$$\frac{\left(I_m^\ell (f * g(z)) \right)''}{\left(I_m^\ell (f * g(z)) \right)'} = \frac{(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)\theta(z)\lambda}{z(1 - \eta\theta(z)\lambda)},$$

After integration, we have

$$\log \left(\left(I_m^\ell (f * g(z)) \right)' \right) = \int_0^z \frac{(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)\theta(t)\lambda}{t(1 - \eta\theta(t)\lambda)} dt.$$

Therefore,

$$\left(I_m^\ell (f * g(z)) \right)' = e^{\int_0^z \frac{(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)\theta(t)\lambda}{t(1 - \eta\theta(t)\lambda)} dt}.$$

and this gives the required result.

References

- [1] O. Altintas, O. Ozkan and H.M. Srivastava, Neighborhoods of a class of analytic functions with negative coefficients, *Appl. Math. Letters*, 13(3) (2000), 63-67.
- [2] W.G. Atshan and R.H. Buti, On generalized hypergeometric functions and associated classes of k-uniformly convex and k-stalike p-valent functions, *Adv. Appl. Math. Sci*, 6(2) (2010), 149-160.
- [3] W.G. Atshan and S.R. Kulkarni, Neighborhoods and partial sums of subclass of k-uniformly convex functions and related class of k-stalike functions with negative coefficients based on integral operators, *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics*, 33(4) (2009), 623-637.

- [4] N.E. Cho and T.H. Kim, Multiplier transformations and strongly close-to-convex functions, *Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society*, 40(3) (2003), 399-410.
- [5] R.M. EL-Ashwah and M.K. Aouf, New classes of p-valent harmonic functions, *Bulletin of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 2(3) (2010), 53-64.
- [6] A.W. Goodman, Univalent functions and non-analytic curves, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 8(3) (1975), 598-601.
- [7] S. Kanas and A. Wisniowska, Conic regions and k-uniform convexity, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 105(1-2) (1999), 327-336.
- [8] S. Kanas and T. Vaguchi, Subclasses of k-uniformly convex and starlike functions defined by generalized derivative II, *Publications Institute Mathematique*, 69(2001), 91-100.
- [9] G. Murugusundaramoorthy and N. Magesh, Starlike and convex functions of complex order involving the Dziok-Srivastava operator, *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, 18(5-6) (2007), 419-425.
- [10] G. Murugusundaramoorthy and N. Magesh, Certain subclasses of starlike functions of complex order involving generalized hypergeometric functions, *International of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, Article ID 178605 (2010), 12 pages.
- [11] G. Murugusundaramoorthy and H.M. Srivastava, Neighborhoods of certain classes of analytic functions of complex order, *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 5(2) (Article 24) (2004), 1-4.
- [12] S. Ruscheweyh, Neighborhoods of univalent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 81(1981), 521-527.