

On the Compactness of the Composition Operator C_σ

By

AKeel Mohammed Hussein

Department of Mathematics

College of Education

University of Qadisiya

Abstract

Let U denote the unit ball in the complex plane, the Hardy space H^2 is the set of functions

$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{\wedge}(n) z^n$ holomorphic on U such that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |f^{\wedge}(n)|^2 < \infty$ with $f^{\wedge}(n)$ denotes then the

Taylor coefficient of f .

Let ψ be a holomorphic self-map of U , the composition operator C_ψ induced by ψ is defined on H^2 by the equation

$$C_\psi f = f \circ \psi \quad (f \in H^2)$$

In this paper we have studied the compactness of the composition operator induced by the bijective map σ and discussed the adjoint the compactness of the composition operator of the map σ . We give some theorems on compactness of the composition operators. We have look also at some known properties on composition operators and tried to see the analogue properties in order to show how the results are changed by changing the map ψ in U .

In order to make the work accessible to the reader, we have included some known results with the details of the proofs for some cases and proofs for the properties.

Introduction

This paper consists of two sections. In section one, we are going to study the map σ and properties of σ , and also discuss σ as an inner map.

In section two, we are going to study the Composition Operator C_σ induced by the map σ and properties of C_σ , and also discuss the adjoint of Composition Operator C_σ induced by the map σ and also discuss C_σ as a compact operator.

Section One

Definition (1.1) : [ξ]

Let $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ is a unit ball in complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ and $\partial U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ is a boundary of U

Definition (1.2):

For $\lambda \in U$, define $\sigma(z) = \frac{2\bar{\lambda}z + 2}{2z + 2\lambda}$ ($z \in U$). Since the denominator equal zero only at $z = -\lambda$, then the map σ is holomorphic on the ball $\{|z| < |\lambda|\}$. Since $\lambda \in U$, then this ball contain U . Hence $\sigma : U \rightarrow U$ be holomorphic map on U .

Proposition (1.3) :

For $\lambda \in U$, then

$$|\sigma(z)|^2 - 1 = \frac{4(1 - |z|^2)(1 - |\lambda|^2)}{|2z + 2\lambda|^2}$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma(z)|^2 - 1 &= \left| \frac{2\bar{\lambda}z + 2}{2z + 2\lambda} \right|^2 - 1 = \frac{|2\bar{\lambda}z + 2|^2}{|2z + 2\lambda|^2} - 1 = \frac{|2\bar{\lambda}z + 2|^2 - |2z + 2\lambda|^2}{|2z + 2\lambda|^2} \\ &= \frac{(2\bar{\lambda}z + 2)(2\lambda\bar{z} + 2) - (2z + 2\lambda)(2\bar{z} + 2\bar{\lambda})}{|2z + 2\lambda|^2} \\ &= \frac{4|\lambda|^2|z|^2 + 4\bar{\lambda}z + 4\lambda\bar{z} + 4 - 4|z|^2 - 4\bar{\lambda}z - 4\lambda\bar{z} - 4|\lambda|^2}{|2z + 2\lambda|^2} = \frac{4(1 - |z|^2)(1 - |\lambda|^2)}{|2z + 2\lambda|^2} \end{aligned}$$

Proposition (1.4) :

If $\lambda \in U$, then σ take ∂U into ∂U .

Proof :

Let $z \in \partial U$, then $|z| = 1$, hence $|z|^2 = 1$. By (1.3) $|\sigma(z)|^2 - 1 = 0$, therefore $|\sigma(z)|^2 = 1$, hence $|\sigma(z)| = 1$, hence $\sigma(z) \in \partial U$, hence σ take ∂U into ∂U .

Definition (1.5): [η]

Let $\psi : U \rightarrow U$ be holomorphic map on U , ψ is called an inner map if $|\psi(z)| = 1$.

Proposition (1.6):

σ as an inner map .

Proof :

From (1.4) σ take ∂U into ∂U , hence $|\sigma(z)|=1$. By (1.6) σ as an inner map .

Remark(1.1.1) :

For $\lambda \in U$, we have $\sigma'(0) = \frac{|\lambda|^2 - 1}{\lambda^2}$, $\sigma'(\lambda) = \frac{|\lambda|^2 - 1}{4\lambda^2}$.

Section Two

Definition(2.1) : [4]

Let U denote the unit ball in the complex plane, the Hardy space H^2 is the set of functions

$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{\wedge}(n) z^n$ holomorphic on U such that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |f^{\wedge}(n)|^2 < \infty$ where $f^{\wedge}(n)$ denotes the

Taylor coefficient of f .

Remark (2.2) : [1]

We can define an inner product of the Hardy space functions as follows:

$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{\wedge}(n) z^n$ and $g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g^{\wedge}(n) z^n$, then the inner product of f and g is:

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{\wedge}(n) \overline{g^{\wedge}(n)}$$

Definition (2.3) : [11]

Let $\alpha \in U$ and define $K_{\alpha}(z) = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha z}$ ($z \in U$). Since $\alpha \in U$ then $|\alpha| < 1$, hence the

geometric series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\alpha|^{2n}$ is convergent and thus $K_{\alpha} \in H^2$ and $K_{\alpha}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{(\alpha)}^n z^n$.

Definition(2.4) : [4]

Let $\psi : U \rightarrow U$ be holomorphic map on U , the composition operator C_{ψ} induced by ψ is

defined on H^2 as follows $C_{\psi} f = f \circ \psi$ ($f \in H^2$)

Definition(2.5) : [7]

Let T be a bounded operator on a Hilbert space H , then the norm of an operator T is defined by $\|T\| = \sup\{\|Tf\| : f \in H, \|f\| = 1\}$.

Theorem (٣.٦) : [١٢]

If $\psi : U \rightarrow U$ is holomorphic map on U , then $f \circ \psi \in H^2$ and

$$\|f \circ \psi\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{1+|\psi(0)|}{1-|\psi(0)|}} \|f\| \text{ for every } f \in H^2.$$

The goal of this theorem $C_\psi : H^2 \rightarrow H^2$.

Definition(٣.٧) :

The composition operator C_σ induced by σ is defined on H^2 as follows $C_\sigma f = f \circ \sigma$

Proposition(٣.٨) :

$$\text{Let } \lambda \in U, \text{ for each } f \in H^2 \text{ then } f \circ \sigma \in H^2 \text{ and } \|f \circ \sigma\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{1+|\sigma(0)|}{1-|\sigma(0)|}} \|f\|$$

Proof :

Since $\sigma : U \rightarrow U$ is holomorphic map on U , then by (٣.٦)

$$f \circ \sigma \in H^2 \text{ and } \|f \circ \sigma\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{1+|\sigma(0)|}{1-|\sigma(0)|}} \|f\|, \text{ hence } C_\sigma : H^2 \rightarrow H^2$$

Remark (٣.٩) : [٤]

$$\begin{aligned} ١) \text{ One can easily show that } C_\kappa C_\psi &= C_{\psi \circ \kappa} \text{ and hence } C_\psi^n = C_\psi C_\psi \cdots C_\psi \\ &= C_{\psi \circ \psi \circ \cdots \circ \psi} = C_{\psi_n} \end{aligned}$$

٢) C_ψ is the identity operator on H^2 if and only if ψ is identity map from U into U and holomorphic on U .

٣) It is simple to prove that $C_\kappa = C_\psi$ if and only if $\kappa = \psi$.

Definition(٣.١٢): [٣]

Let T be an operator on a Hilbert space H , The operator T^* is the adjoint of T if

$$\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, T^*y \rangle \text{ for each } x, y \in H.$$

Theorem (٣.١٣) : [٥]

$\{K_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in U}$ forms a dense subset of H^2 .

Theorem (3.14) : [11]

If $\psi : U \rightarrow U$ is holomorphic map on U , then for all $\alpha \in U$

$$C_\psi^* K_\alpha = K_{\psi(\alpha)}$$

Definition(3.15) : [12]

Let H^∞ be the set of all bounded holomorphic maps on U .

Definition(3.16) : [6]

Let $g \in H^\infty$, the Toeplitz operator T_g is the operator on H^2 given by :

$$(T_g f)(z) = g(z) f(z) \quad (f \in H^2, z \in U)$$

Theorem (3.17) : [6]

If $\psi : U \rightarrow U$ is holomorphic map on U , then $C_\psi T_g = T_{g \circ \psi} C_\psi$ ($g \in H^\infty$)

Remark (3.18) : [8]

For each $f \in H^2$, it is well- know that $T_h^* f = T_{\bar{h}} f$, such that $h \in H^\infty$.

Proposition(3.19) :

If $\lambda \in U$, then $C_\sigma^* = T_g C_\gamma T_h$, where $h(z) = (z + \lambda)$, $g(z) = \frac{1}{\bar{\lambda} - z}$, $\gamma(z) = \frac{\lambda z - 1}{\bar{\lambda} - z}$

Proof :

By (3-16), $T_h^* f = T_{\bar{h}} f$ for each $f \in H^2$. Hence for all $\alpha \in U$,

$$\langle T_h^* f, K_\alpha \rangle = \langle T_{\bar{h}} f, K_\alpha \rangle = \langle f, T_{\bar{h}}^* K_\alpha \rangle \dots \dots (2-1)$$

On the other hand ,

$$\langle T_h^* f, K_\alpha \rangle = \langle f, T_h K_\alpha \rangle = \langle f, h(\alpha) K_\alpha \rangle \dots \dots (2-2)$$

From (3-1) and (3-2) one can see that $T_h^* K_\alpha = h(\alpha) K_\alpha$. Hence $T_h^* K_\alpha = \overline{h(\alpha)} K_\alpha$.

Calculation give :

$$C_\sigma^* K_\alpha(z) = K_{\sigma(\alpha)}(z) = \frac{1}{1 - \overline{\sigma(\alpha)} z} = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{(2\lambda\bar{\alpha} + 2) z}{2\alpha + 2\lambda}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{\frac{2\bar{\alpha} + 2\bar{\lambda} - 2\lambda\bar{\alpha}z - 2z}{2\bar{\alpha} + 2\bar{\lambda}}} = \frac{2\bar{\alpha} + 2\bar{\lambda}}{(2\bar{\lambda} - 2z) - \bar{\alpha}(2\lambda z - 2)} \\
&= \overline{(\alpha + \lambda)} \cdot \frac{1}{\bar{\lambda} - z} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \bar{\alpha} \left(\frac{\lambda z - 1}{\bar{\lambda} - z} \right)} \\
&= \overline{h(\alpha)} \cdot T_g k_\alpha(\lambda(z)) = T_g \overline{h(\alpha)} k_\alpha(\gamma(z)) \\
&= T_g \overline{h(\alpha)} C_\gamma k_\alpha(z) = T_g C_\gamma \overline{h(\alpha)} k_\alpha(z) \\
&= T_g C_\gamma T_h^* k_\alpha(z), \text{ therefore}
\end{aligned}$$

$$C_\sigma^* k_\alpha(z) = T_g C_\gamma T_h^* k_\alpha(z) \quad (z \in U).$$

But $\overline{\{K_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in U}} = H^2$, then $C_\sigma^* = T_g C_\gamma T_h^*$

Definition (۲.۲۰) : [۱۳]

Let T be an operator on a Hilbert space H , T is called compact if every sequence X_n in H is weakly converges to x in H , then TX_n is strongly converges to Tx . Moreover $(x_n \xrightarrow{w} x$ if $\langle x_n, u \rangle \rightarrow \langle x, u \rangle, \forall u \in H$ and $x_n \xrightarrow{s} x$ if $\|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0$.)

Theorem (۲.۲۱) : [۱۱]

If $\psi: U \rightarrow U$ is holomorphic map on U , then C_ψ is not compact if and only if ψ take ∂U into ∂U

Proposition(۲.۲۲) :

If $\lambda \in U$, then C_σ is not compact composition operator

Proof :

From (۱.۴) σ take ∂U into ∂U . By (۲.۲۱) C_σ is not compact composition operator.

Theorem (۲.۲۳) :

If $\psi: U \rightarrow U$ is holomorphic map on U , then $C_\psi C_\sigma^*$ is compact if and only if $C_\psi C_\gamma$ is compact, where $C_\sigma^* = T_g C_\gamma T_h^*$, $\gamma(z) = \frac{\lambda z - 1}{\bar{\lambda} - z}$

Proof:

Suppose that $C_\psi C_\gamma$ is compact . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} C_\psi C_\sigma^* &= C_\psi T_g C_\gamma T_h^* \quad (\text{since } C_\sigma^* = T_g C_\gamma T_h^* \text{ by } (\text{V.19})) \\ &= T_{g \circ \psi} C_\psi C_\gamma T_h^* \quad (\text{since } C_\psi T_g = T_{g \circ \psi} C_\psi \text{ by } (\text{V.17})). \end{aligned}$$

Since $C_\psi C_\gamma$ is compact operator , $T_{g \circ \psi}$ and T_h^* are bounded operators then $C_\psi C_\sigma^*$ is compact

Conversely , suppose that $C_\psi C_\sigma^*$ is compact . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} C_\psi C_\gamma &= C_\psi (C_\gamma^*)^* = C_\psi (T_g C_\sigma T_h^*)^* = C_\psi T_h C_\sigma^* T_g^* \\ &= T_{h \circ \psi} C_\psi C_\sigma^* T_g^* \quad (\text{since } C_\psi T_h = T_{h \circ \psi} C_\psi \text{ by } (\text{V.17})). \end{aligned}$$

Since $C_\psi C_\sigma^*$ is compact operator , $T_{h \circ \psi}$ and T_g^* are bounded operators then $C_\psi C_\gamma$ is compact .

Corollary (V.24) :

If $\psi : U \rightarrow U$ is holomorphic map on U , then $C_\psi C_\sigma^*$ is not compact if and only if there exist points $z_1, z_2 \in \partial U$ such that $(\gamma \circ \psi)(z_1) = z_2$.

Proof:

By (V.23) $C_\psi C_\phi^*$ is not compact if and only if $C_\psi C_\gamma = C_{\gamma \circ \psi}$ is not compact . Since $\gamma : U \rightarrow U$ and $\psi : U \rightarrow U$ are holomorphics on U , then also $\gamma \circ \psi$. Thus by (V.21) $C_{\gamma \circ \psi}$ is not compact if and only if $\gamma \circ \psi$ take ∂U into ∂U . So, there exist points $z_1, z_2 \in \partial U$ such that $(\gamma \circ \psi)(z_1) = z_2$.

Theorem (V.25) :

If $\psi : U \rightarrow U$ is holomorphic on U , then $C_\sigma^* C_\psi$ is compact if and only if $C_\gamma C_\psi$ is compact ,

where $C_\sigma^* = T_g C_\gamma T_h^*$, $\gamma(z) = \frac{\lambda z - 1}{\lambda - z}$

Proof:

Suppose that $C_\gamma C_\psi$ is compact . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} C_\sigma^* C_\psi &= T_g C_\gamma T_h^* C_\psi \quad (\text{since } C_\sigma^* = T_g C_\gamma T_h^* \text{ by } (\text{V.19})) \\ &= T_g C_\gamma T_h^- C_\psi \quad (\text{by } (\text{V.16})) \\ &= T_g T_{h^- \circ \gamma} C_\lambda C_\psi \quad (\text{since } C_\gamma T_h^- = T_{h^- \circ \gamma} C_\gamma \text{ by } (\text{V.17})). \end{aligned}$$

Since $C_\gamma C_\psi$ is compact operator , T_g and $T_{h^- \circ \gamma}$ are bounded operators then $C_\sigma^* C_\psi$ is compact

Conversely ,Suppose that $C_{\sigma}^* C_{\psi}$ is compact . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\gamma} C_{\psi} &= (C_{\gamma}^*)^* C_{\psi} \\ &= (T_g C_{\sigma} T_h^*)^* C_{\psi} \quad (\text{since } C_{\gamma}^* = T_g C_{\sigma} T_h^*) \\ &= T_h C_{\sigma}^* T_g^* C_{\psi} \end{aligned}$$

Note that , by (٢.١٣) it is enough to prove the compactness on the family $\{K_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in U}$. Hence for each $z \in U$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\gamma} C_{\psi} K_{\alpha}(z) &= T_h C_{\sigma}^* T_g^* C_{\psi} K_{\alpha}(z) \\ &= T_h C_{\sigma}^* T_g^* K_{\alpha}(\psi(z)) \\ &= T_h C_{\sigma}^* \overline{g(\alpha)} K_{\alpha}(\psi(z)) \quad (\text{since } T_g^* K_{\alpha} = \overline{g(\alpha)} K_{\alpha}) \\ &= \overline{g(\alpha)} T_h C_{\sigma}^* K_{\alpha}(\psi(z)) \\ &= \overline{g(\alpha)} T_h C_{\sigma}^* C_{\psi} K_{\alpha}(z) \end{aligned}$$

Since $C_{\sigma}^* C_{\psi}$ is compact , T_g is bounded and $g \in H^{\infty}$, then $C_{\gamma} C_{\psi}$ is compact .

Corollary (٢.٢٦) :

If $\psi : U \rightarrow U$ is holomorphic map on U , then $C_{\sigma}^* C_{\psi}$ is not compact if and only if there exist points $z_1, z_2 \in \partial U$ such that $(\psi \circ \gamma)(z_1) = z_2$.

Proof:

By (٢.٢٥) $C_{\sigma}^* C_{\psi}$ is not compact if and only if $C_{\gamma} C_{\psi} = C_{\psi \circ \gamma}$ is not compact . Since $\gamma : U \rightarrow U$ and $\psi : U \rightarrow U$ are holomorphics on U , then also $\psi \circ \gamma$. Thus by (٢.٢١) $C_{\psi \circ \gamma}$ is not compact if and only if $\psi \circ \gamma$ take ∂U into ∂U . So, there exist points $z_1, z_2 \in \partial U$ such that $(\psi \circ \gamma)(z_1) = z_2$.

REFERENCES

- [١] Ahlfors, L.V. (١٩٦٦) , "**Complex Analysis**", Sec , Ed., McGraw-Hill Kogakusha Ltd .
- [٢] Appell, M.J., Bourdon , P.S. & Thrall, J.J. (١٩٩٦) , "**Norms of Composition Operators on the Hardy Space**" , Experimented Math ., pp.١١١-١١٧ .
- [٣] Berberian, S.K., (١٩٧٦) " **Introduction to Hilbert Space**" ,Sec. Ed .,Chelesa publishing Com., New York , N.Y. .
- [٤] Bourdon, P.S. & Shapiro, J.H., , (١٩٩٩) "**Cyclic Phenomena for Composition Operators**", Math. Soc., (٥٩٦), ١٢٥.
- [٥] Cowen ,C.C. , (١٩٨٨) "**Linear Fraction Composition Operator on H^2** ", Integral Equations Operator Theory ,١١, pp. ١٥١ -١٦٠.
- [٦] Deddnes, J.A. (١٩٧٢) "**Analytic Toeplitz and Composition Operators** ", Con . J. Math. , vol (٥), pp. ٨٥٩-٨٦٥ .
- [٧] Duren, P.L., (١٩٧٠) " **Theory of H^p Space** ", Academic press, New york .
- [٨] Halmos , P.R ., (١٩٨٢) "**A Hilbert Space Problem Book** " , Springer- Verlag , New York .
- [٩] Radjavi ,H & Rosenthal, P., (١٩٧٣). " **Invariant Subspace**" , Springer-Verlage, Berlin , Heidelberg , Newyork .
- [١٠] Schwartz , H.J. , (١٩٦٩) " **Composition Operator on H^2** " , Ph .D.thesis.Univ.of Toled.
- [١١] Shapiro, J.H., (١٩٩٣) " **Composition operators and Classical Function Theory** ", Springer-Verlage, New York,
- [١٢] Shapiro, J.H., " **Lectures on Composition operators and Analytic Function Theory** " .
www.mth.mus.edu/~shapiro / pubrit / Downloads / computer / complutro . pdf .
- [١٣] Zorboska ,N.,(١٩٩٩)"Closed Range Essentially Normal Composition Operators are Normal"
.ActaSic .Math. (Szeged),٦٥,pp.٢٨٧-٢٩٢.

حول تراص المؤثر التركيبي C_σ

من قبل

عقيل محمد حسين

قسم الرياضيات

كلية التربية

جامعة القادسية

المستخلص

ليكن U يرمز إلى كرة الوحدة في المستوى العقدي، إن فضاء هاردي H^2 هو مجموعة كل الدوال

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{\wedge}(n) z^n \text{ التحليلية على } U \text{ بحيث أن } \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |f^{\wedge}(n)|^2 < \infty \text{ و } f^{\wedge}(n) \text{ يرمز إلى معاملات تيلر للدالة } f$$

لتكن $\psi: U \rightarrow U$ دالة تحليلية على U ، المؤثر التركيبي المحتث من ψ يعرف على فضاء هاردي H^2 بواسطة:

$$C_\psi f = f \circ \psi \quad (f \in H^2).$$

درسنا في هذا البحث تراص المؤثر التركيبي المحتث من الدالة σ حيث ناقشنا المؤثر المرافق للمؤثر التركيبي الغير

مرصوص المحتث من الدالة σ . وأعطينا بعض المبرهنات على تراص المؤثرات التركيبية. بالإضافة إلى ذلك نظرنا إلى بعض

النتائج المعروفة وحاولنا الحصول على نتائج مناظرة لنتمكن من ملاحظة كيفية تغير النتائج عندما تتغير الدالة التحليلية ψ .

ومن أجل جعل مهمة القارئ أكثر سهولة، عرضنا بعض النتائج المعروفة عن المؤثرات التركيبية وعرضنا براهين

مفصلة وكذلك برهنا بعض النتائج.